## Index

Note: Page numbers followed by 'n' with number indicate notes.

AASB. See Auditing and	American Institute of Certified
Assurance Standards	Public Accountants
Board (AASB)	(AICPA), 46
Acceptance and continuance of	Annual reports, 30
client relationship and	Appointment procedure for
audit engagements	statutory auditor
in USA, 50	in USA, 67
in UK, 75	in UK, 91
in India, 97	in India, 110
Acceptance and continuance of	Appointment procedure of
the client relationship	statutory auditor, 124
and specific	ASB. See Auditing Standards
engagements	Board (ASB)
in USA, 46	Assignment of engagement teams
in UK, 71	in USA, 50
in India, 94–95	in UK, 75
Accounting estimate(s), 86–87	in India, 97
auditing, 122, 155, 179, 194,	Assurance, firm, 3
215, 233	Attitude to authority, 36
based on ISA (UK &	AU Section-161, 49
Ireland)-540, 86–87	AU Section-220, 49–50
based on SAS-122 (AU-C	Audit, 3
540), 61–62	firm inspection, 30
SA-540, 106–108	inspection mechanism, 125
Accounting firm(s), 27–28, 134	methodology, 28
of auditors, 3	quality control for audit
quality control policies of	procedure, 185,
in India, 93–96	186-187
in UK, 69–74	regulations, 36-37
in USA, 45–49	Audit documentation, 87–88,
structured governance	122–123, 128,
arrangements, 27-28	195–196, 197, 215,
Agreement (A), 133, 184, 274	217, 233, 236

Chi-square test of	audit evidence, 102-103
homogeneity, 179, 181	audit planning, 102
respondents percentage,	audit report, 109–110
155–159	audit sampling, 103
SA-230, 108	internal control system
USA, 62–64	and role of internal
Audit engagement, 78–79, 119,	auditors, 100-101
128, 142, 188–189,	overall objectives of
203, 207, 225, 227	independent auditor,
Chi-square test of	99
homogeneity, 169, 171	quality control policies of
SA-210, 99–100	engagement team,
USA, 53–54	97–99
Audit evidence, 81–82, 120,	working of expert,
128, 191–192, 193,	105-106
209, 211–212, 229,	in UK, 74
231	accounting estimates,
Chi-square test of	86–87
homogeneity,	audit documentation,
174–176	87-88
respondents percentage,	audit engagement, 78–79
148–152	audit evidence, 81–82
SA-500, 102–103	audit planning, 81
Audit Firm Governance Code, 70	audit report, 88–90
Audit inspection mechanism	audit sampling, 82–83
in USA, 68	external party
in UK, 92	confirmation, 83–84
in India, 111–112	internal control system
Audit planning, 81, 120, 128,	and role of internal
190–191, 209, 210,	auditors, 79–80
229-230	overall objectives of
Chi-square test of	independent auditor,
homogeneity, 169, 173	77–78
respondents percentage,	quality control policies of
145–148	engagement team,
SA-300, 102	74–77
USA, 56–57	work of expert, 84–85
Audit policies and procedures of	in USA, 49
engagement team	accounting estimates,
in India	61-62
accounting estimate,	audit documentation,
106-108	62-64
audit documentation, 108	audit engagement, 53-54
audit engagement,	audit evidence, 57–58
99–100	audit planning, 56-57

audit report, 64–66	Chi-square test of
audit sampling, 58	homogeneity, 179, 180
external party	respondents percentage, 155
confirmation, 59-60	Auditing and Assurance
internal control system	Standards Board
and role of internal	(AASB), 39
auditors, 54-56	Auditing sections (AU sections).
objectives of independent	See Statement of
auditor, 52–53	Auditing Standard
quality control policies of	(SAS)
engagement team,	Auditing Standards Board
49-52	(ASB), 49
work of expert, 60–61	Auditor, 99
Audit quality, 6, 10, 26	independent (SA-200)
contextual factors of, 33–37	report, 29
factors of audit quality, 26	r
input factors, 27–28	Big Six firms, 7
output factors, 29–30	Business practices, 33, 244
process factors, 28–29	r, ,
regulatory framework at	CAs. See Chartered accountants
international level,	(CAs)
37–39	CCAI. See Council of Chartered
Audit report, 88–90, 123–124,	Accountants of India
128, 196–198, 215,	(CCAI)
218, 237, 238	Central Intelligence Agency
Chi-square test of	(CIA), 45
homogeneity, 179, 181	CEO. See Chief executive officer
respondents percentage, 159	(CEO)
SA-700, 109–110	Certified public accountant
USA, 64–66	firms (CPA firms), 45
Audit sampling, 82–83, 121,	Chartered accountants (CAs), 4,
128, 192, 194, 209,	185
213, 229, 229–233,	analysing opinions of CAs
234	and Students, 133
Chi-square test of	audit documentation,
homogeneity, 174,	155-159
177	audit engagement, 142
respondents percentage, 152	audit evidence, 148–152
SA-505, 103	audit planning, 145-148
USA, 58	audit report, 159
Audited financial statements,	audit sampling, 152
29	auditing accounting
Auditing accounting estimates,	estimates, 155
122, 128, 194, 196,	external party confirmation,
215, 216, 233, 235	152-155

general issues governing	quality control for audit
quality of audit,	procedure, 203,
159-164	204-205
internal control system	quality control procedure
and internal auditors,	for statutory financial
142-145	audit, 219, 222
overall objectives of	work of expert, 215, 216
independent auditor,	Chartered Accountants
139–142	(Amendment) Act, 41
quality control for audit	Chi-square test of homogeneity,
procedure, 134–139	165–184
research methodology,	methodology, 165-166
133–134	audit documentation, 179,
work of expert, 155	181
examining homogeneity of	audit engagement, 169,
opinions between CAs	171
and students,	audit evidence, 174-176
165-184	audit planning, 169, 173
nonparametric Mann-	audit report, 179, 181
Whitney test, 198	audit sampling, 174, 177
audit documentation, 215,	auditing accounting
217	estimates, 179, 180
audit engagement, 203, 207	external party
audit evidence, 209,	confirmation, 174, 178
211-212	general issues governing
audit planning, 209, 210	quality of audit,
audit report, 215, 218	179–184
audit sampling, 209, 213	internal control system
auditing accounting	and internal auditors,
estimates, 215, 216	169, 172
external party confirmation,	objectives of independent
209, 214	auditor, 169, 170
fulfilment of assumptions	quality control for audit
for study, 200-201	procedure, 166–168
general issues governing	work of expert, 174-179
quality of audit, 215,	Chief executive officer (CEO),
219, 220-221	46, 94, 115
internal control system	CIA. See Central Intelligence
and internal auditors,	Agency (CIA)
203, 208	Clarified Auditing Sections
methodology for test,	(AU-C Sections), 49
201-202	Client engagement, 57
overall objectives of	CLPs. See Continuous learning
independent auditor,	programmes (CLPs)
203, 206	Collective behaviour, 36

Commercial law, 33	Continuous professional
Comparative study of quality	development for
control framework,	statutory auditors
114	in USA, 67
audit documentation,	in UK, 91
122–123	in India, 111
audit engagement, 119	Corporate fraud, 5
audit evidence, 120	Corporate governance, 35–36
audit planning, 120	Council of Chartered
audit report, 123–124	Accountants of India
audit sampling, 121	(CCAI), 41
auditing accounting	CPA firms. See Certified public
estimates, 122	accountant firms (CPA
external confirmations, 121	firms)
general issues governing	CPD. See Continuous
quality of audit,	professional
124–125	development (CPD)
objectives of independent	Cultural factors, 36
auditor, 118	,
quality control procedure at	Data reliability rule, 133
engagement level,	Decision rule, 202
117-118	Degree of freedom, 202
quality control procedure	Demographic profile of
at firm level,	respondents, 21–23
114-116	Dependent variable, 133, 200
use of work of internal	Differences of opinion
auditors, 119-120	in USA, 48
using work of expert,	in UK, 73
121–122	in India, 96
Consultation	Differences of opinion in case of
in USA, 47	audit engagement
in UK, 72	in USA, 51
in India, 95	in UK, 76
Consultation in case of audit	Disagreement (D), 133, 184,
engagement	274
in USA, 51	Documentation of audit
in UK, 76	engagement
Contextual factors of audit	in USA, 51
quality, 33-37	in UK, 76–77
Continuous learning	in India, 98
programmes (CLPs),	Documentation of system of
132, 215, 237	quality control
Continuous professional	in USA, 48
development (CPD),	in UK, 74
124–125	in India, 96–97

Engagement documentation	Financial fraud, 4, 7
in USA, 48	Financial manoeuvring, 4–5
in UK, 73	Financial reporting
in India, 96	applicable financial
Engagement level	reporting framework,
	34–35
input factors at, 28	
process factors at, 29	laws and regulations relating
Engagement performance	to, 33–34
in USA, 47–48	supply chain, 30–33
in UK, 72–73	timetable, 37
in India, 95–96	Financial Reporting Council
Engagement performance of an	(FRC), 69–70
audit engagement	Financial statements, 1, 88
in USA, 51	audited financial statements,
in UK, 75–76	29
in India, 98	auditing, 29
Engagement quality control	quality control for statutory
review (EQCR), 47,	audit of, 5, 43
51, 72, 95, 98,	audit policies and
115–116, 203	procedures of
in USA, 47–48	engagement team,
in UK, 72–73	97-110
in India, 95	general issues governing
Engagement quality control	quality of audit,
review in case of audit	110-112
engagement	quality control framework
in USA, 51	in India, 93
in UK, 76	quality control framework
Engagement team, quality	in UK, 69–92
control policies of	quality control framework
(SA-220), 97–99	in USA, 45–68
Ethical audit, 8	quality control policies of
European Union (EU), 69	accounting firm,
External confirmations, 121	93–96
External party confirmation(s),	in select countries, 44
128	quality of statutory audit in,
Chi-square test of	25
homogeneity, 174, 178	contextual factors of
respondents percentage,	audit quality,
152-155	33-37
USA, 59–60	factors of audit quality,
	26-30
Financial Accounting Standards	financial reporting supply
Board (FASB), 1n1	chain, 30-33
Financial decisions, 3, 25	users, 32, 33

Firm level	IAESB. See International
input factors at, 27-28	Accounting Education
process factors at, 28–29	Standards Board
Foreign investors, 5	(IAESB)
FRC. See Financial Reporting	IASB. See International
Council (FRC)	Accounting Standard
Council (111C)	Board (IASB)
General issues governing quality	ICAI. See Institute of Chartered
of audit, 110–112,	Accountants of India
114, 124, 128	(ICAI)
appointment procedure of	IESBA. See International Ethics
	Standards Board for
statutory auditor,	
124	Accountants (IESBA)
audit inspection mechanism,	IFAC. See International
125	Federation of
Chi-square test of	Accountants (IFAC)
homogeneity,	IFRS. See International
179–184	Financial Reporting
CPD for statutory auditors,	Standards (IFRS)
124-125	IMF. See International
in India, 110–112	Monetary Fund (IMF)
nonaudit services of statutory	Independent auditor, 128
auditors, 125	Chi-square test of
respondents percentage,	homogeneity, 169,
159-164	170
in UK, 90–92	overall objectives of an
in USA, 66–68	independent auditor,
whistle blower policies, 124	77–78, 118,
Generally Accepted	139–142, 185, 188,
Accounting Principles	203, 206, 225, 226
(GAAPs), 1	percentage of respondents.
Generally Accepted Auditing	140–141
Standards (GAAS),	USA, 52–53
50, 52	Independent variable(s), 133,
30, 32	200
Human resources	using Pearson's correlation
in USA, 47	coefficient and T-test,
in UK, 71–72	219, 222–237
in India, 95	India 217, 222–237
Hypothesis, 201–202, 222	quality control framework in
TAACD C I 1	93
IAASB. See International	audit policies and
Auditing and	procedures of
Assurance Standards	engagement team,
Board (IAASB)	97-110

general issues governing	International Auditing and
quality of audit,	Assurance Standards
110-112	Board (IAASB), 38,
quality control policies of	43, 49
accounting firm,	International Ethics Standards
93–96	Board for Accountants
roles of firm's personnel, 39	(IESBA), 38
SQC-1, 40	International Federation of
system of quality control,	Accountants (IFAC),
39–40	37–38, 43, 49
Individual audit, information	International Financial
on, 30	Reporting Standards
Information system, 35, 244	(IFRS), 34-35
Input factors, 26	International level, regulatory
at engagement level, 28	framework governing
at firm level, 27–28	audit quality at,
at national level, 27	37–39
personal attributes, 27	International Monetary Fund
Institute of Chartered	(IMF), 45
Accountants of India	International Public Sector
(ICAI), 4, 39, 128	Accounting Standard
Internal auditors, 79–80,	Board (IPSASB),
189–190, 203, 208,	38-39
225, 228-229	International Public Sector
SA-610, 100–101	Accounting Standards
use of work of internal	(IPSAS), 38-39
auditors, 119-120	International Standard on
See also Statutory auditor(s)	Auditing (ISA), 38, 49
Internal control system and	(UK & Ireland)-200, 77-78
internal auditors,	(UK & Ireland)-210, 78-79
79–80, 128,	(UK and Ireland)-220, 97
189–190, 203, 208,	quality control policies of
225, 228-229	accounting firm,
Chi-square test of	69-74
homogeneity, 169, 172	quality control policies of
Respondents' percentage,	engagement team,
142-145	74-77
USA, 54–56	(UK & Ireland)-230, 87-88
SA-610, 100–101	(UK & Ireland)-300, 81
International Accounting	(UK & Ireland)-500, 81-82
Education Standards	(UK & Ireland)-505, 83–84
Board (IAESB), 39	(UK & Ireland)-530, 82-83
International Accounting	(UK & Ireland)-540, 86–87
Standard Board	(UK & Ireland)-610, 79–80
(IASB), 38-39	(UK & Ireland)-620, 84-85

(UK & Ireland)-700, 88-90	Mann-Whitney test, 198
See also Quality Control for	See also Nonparametric
Audit Procedure;	Mann-Whitney test
Standard on Auditing	Mean score, 184
(SA)	audit
International Standard on	documentation, 195–196
Quality Control	197
(ISQC), 26n1, 38,	engagement, 188-189
69-74, 114	evidence, 191–192,
ISQC-1, 69-70, 93-94	193
IPSAS. See International Public	planning, 190-191
Sector Accounting	report, 196–198
Standards (IPSAS)	sampling, 192, 194
IPSASB. See International Public	auditing accounting
Sector Accounting	estimates, 194, 196
Standard Board	external party confirmation,
(IPSASB)	192, 195
ISA. See International Standard	general issues governing
on Auditing (ISA)	quality of audit, 198,
<del>-</del> '	199
Kolmogorov–Smirnov test,	internal control system and
260-271	internal auditors,
	189-190
Leadership responsibilities for	overall objectives of
quality on audits	independent auditor,
in USA, 50	185, 188
in UK, 75	quality control
in India, 97	for audit procedure, 185,
Leadership responsibilities for	186-187
quality within the firm	procedure for statutory
in USA, 46	financial audit, 198,
in UK, 70	200
in India, 94	work of expert, 194, 195
Litigation environment, 37, 244	Monitoring
	in USA, 48
Management	in UK, 73–74
and charged with	in India, 96
governance, 32	Monitoring of audit
and regulator, 32	engagement
statutory auditor and, 30-31	in USA, 51
and users of financial	in UK, 76
statements, 33	in India, 98
Management Discussion	
and Analysis	National level
(MD & A), 1	input factors at, 27

process factors at, 28	work of expert, 215, 216
Neutral (N), 20, 133, 184, 198,	Null hypothesis, 200
274	26.20
Non-Big Six firms, 7, 12, 15	Output factors, 26, 29
Nonaudit services of statutory	aggregate view on audit firm
auditors, 67, 111,	inspection, 30
125, 245	annual reports, 30
in USA, 67–68	audited financial statements,
in UK, 91–92	29
in India, 111	auditor's report, 29
Nonparametric	information on individual
Mann-Whitney test,	audit, 30
198-219	reports from those charged
assumptions for,	with governance,
200-201	29-30
audit documentation, 215, 217	transparency report, 30
audit engagement, 203	PCAOB. See Public
audit engagement, 203, 207	Company Accounting
audit evidence, 209,	Oversight Board
211-212	(PCAOB)
audit planning, 209, 210	Pearson's correlation
audit report, 215, 218	coefficient, 219,
audit sampling, 209, 213	222-237
auditing accounting	Personal attributes, 27
estimates, 215, 216	Primary data, questionnaire for,
CAs and students using, 198	273
external party confirmation,	Principle-based approach, 34-35
209, 214	Process factors, 26
general issues governing	at engagement level, 29
quality of audit, 215,	at firm level, 28–29
219	at national level, 28
internal control system and	Public Company Accounting
internal auditors, 203,	Oversight Board
208	(PCAOB), 7
methodology, 201-202	
overall objectives of	QRB. See Quality review board
independent auditor,	(QRB)
203, 206	Quality control
quality control for audit	for audit procedure, 185,
procedure, 203,	186-187, 203,
204-205	204-205, 222-225
quality control procedure for	environment, 5
statutory financial	policies of accounting firm,
audit, 219, 222	69-74, 93-96

policies of engagement team	and management, 32
(SA-220), 97–99	and those charged with
procedure	governance, 33
at engagement level,	Regulatory framework, 26
117-118	governing audit quality at
at firm level, 114-116	international level,
for statutory financial	37–39
audit, 127, 133,	in India, 5
164–165, 198, 200,	at national level, 27
219, 222	Relationship between
of statutory audit, 5	independent variables
for statutory audit of	and satisfactory
financial statements, 5	quality control of
system, 39–40	statutory audit, 219
Quality Control for Audit	audit documentation, 233, 236
Procedure, 128	audit engagement, 225, 227
Chi-square test of	audit evidence, 229,
homogeneity,	231–232
166–168	audit planning, 229, 230
respondents percentage,	audit report, 237, 238
134–139	audit sampling, 229, 233, 234
in different agreement	auditing accounting
levels, 135–138	estimates, 233, 235
Quality control framework	external party confirmation,
in India, 93–112	233, 234
in UK, 69–92	general issues governing
in USA, 45–68	quality of audit, 237,
Quality of statutory audit of	239–240
financial statements, 25	internal control system and
contextual factors of audit	internal auditors, 225,
quality, 33–37	228–229
factors of audit quality,	overall objectives of
26–30	independent auditor,
financial reporting supply	225, 226
chain, 30–33	quality control for audit
Indian scenario, 39–41	procedure,
regulatory framework	222–225
governing audit quality	work of expert, 233, 235
at international level,	Relevant ethical requirement
37–39	in USA, 46
Quality review board (QRB), 41	in UK, 70–71
Quality Torion board (QID), 11	in India, 94
Regulator(s), 32	Relevant ethical requirement in
and financial statements	an audit engagement
users, 33	in USA, 50
40010, 00	

in UK, 75	using mean score,
in India, 97	184-198
Reliability of data, 133	objectives, 128
Reports	percentage of respondents,
annual reports, 30	165
auditor's report, 29	quality control procedure for
from charged with	statutory financial
governance, 29-30	audit, 127, 133,
transparency report, 30	164–165
Respondents' perception	reliability of data, 133
analysing opinions of CAs and	satisfactory quality control
students, 133-165	of statutory audit,
audit documentation,	219, 222–237,
155-159	238-240
audit engagement, 142	significant difference between
audit evidence, 148–152	CAs and students
audit planning, 145–148	using nonparametric
audit report, 159	Mann-Whitney test,
audit sampling, 152	198-219
auditing accounting	variables selection, 128–132
estimates, 155	Rule-based framework, 35
external party	
confirmation,	SA. See Standard on Auditing
152–155	(SA); Strong
general issues governing	agreement (SA)
quality of audit,	Sarbanes Oxley Act (SOX Act),
159–164	9
internal control system	SAS. See Statement of Auditing
and internal auditors,	Standard (SAS)
142–145	SAS-122 (AU-C 200),
overall objectives of	independent auditor
independent auditor,	objectives, 52–53
139–142	SAS-122 (AU-C 210), audit
quality control for audit	engagement, 53–54
procedure, 134–139	SAS-122 (AU-C 220), quality
research methodology,	control policies of
133–134	engagement team,
work of expert, 155	49–52
examining homogeneity of	SAS-122 (AU-C 230), audit
opinions between CAs	documentation,
and students,	62–64 SAS 122 (ALL C 200) - 1':
165–184	SAS-122 (AU-C 300), audit
importance associated with	planning, 56–57
variable by individual	SAS-122 (AU-C 500), audit
respondent groups	evidence, 57–58

SAS-122 (AU-C 303), external	audit planning (SA-300),
party confirmation,	102
59-60	audit report (SA-700),
SAS-122 (AU-C 530), audit	109-110
sampling, 58	audit sampling (SA-505),
SAS-122 (AU-C 540),	103
accounting estimates,	internal control system and
61-62	role of internal
SAS-122 (AU-C 620), work of	auditors (SA-610),
expert, 60–61	100-101
SAS-122 (AU-C 700), audit	overall objectives of an
report, 64–66	independent auditor
SAS-128 (AU-C 610), internal	(SA-200), 99
control system and	quality control policies of
role of internal	engagement team
auditors, 54–56	(SA-220), 97–99
SD. See Strong disagreement (SD)	working of expert (SA-620),
SEBI. See Securities Exchange	105-106
Board of India (SEBI)	See also International
SEC. See Securities and	Standard on Auditing
<b>Exchange Commission</b>	(ISA)
(SEC)	Standard on Quality Control
Securities and Exchange	(SQC), $3n11$ , $114$
Commission (SEC), 4	SQC-1, 4, 17, 40, 93–97,
Securities Exchange Board of	134
India (SEBI), 4	Standardised test statistic $(Z)$ ,
SOX Act. See Sarbanes Oxley	202
Act (SOX Act)	Statement of Auditing Standard
SQC. See Standard on Quality	(SAS), 49-52
Control (SQC)	Statement of Quality Control
SQCS. See Statement of Quality	Standard (SQCS),
Control Standard	114
(SQCS)	SQCS-8, 45-49
Stakeholders, 1, 2, 25	Statutory auditor(s), 25, 119
interactions among, 30-33	appointment procedure of,
Standard on Auditing (SA),	124
3 <i>n</i> 10, 39, 97, 128	CPD for, 124–125
accounting estimates	and financial statements
(SA-540), 106–108	users, 32
audit documentation	and management, 30-31
(SA-230), 108	nonaudit services of, 125
audit engagement (SA-210),	plans, 81
99–100	and regulators, 32
audit evidence (SA-500),	and those charged with
102-103	governance, 31–32

Statutory financial audit, quality	quality control policies of
control procedure for,	accounting firm,
198, 200, 219, 222	69-74
Strong agreement (SA), 133,	United States of America (USA)
184, 274	4, 35, 37–38, 44
Strong disagreement (SD), 133,	quality control framework in
184, 274	45
	audit policies and
<i>t</i> -test, 219, 222–237	procedures of
Test statistic $(U)$ , 200, 201–202	engagement team,
Transparency report, 30	49-66
	general issues governing
Uncertainty avoidance, 36	quality of audit,
United Kingdom (UK), 34–35,	66-68
44, 69	quality control policies of
principle-based approach, 34	accounting firm,
quality control framework in,	45-49
69	SAS-122 (AU-C 210), 119
audit policies and	
procedures of	Variables selection, 128-132
engagement team,	
74-90	Whistle blower policies, 124
general issues governing	in USA, 67
quality of audit,	in UK, 91
90-92	in India, 110–111