Appendix A: Select Relevant Table for Chapter 5

Table A1: Result of Kolmogorov-Smirnov Test.

	Category	gory Kolmogorov-Smirnov				
		Statistic	DF	p Value	Decision Rule	Decision
Proper designing of quality control policies	CA	0.395	226	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
	Student	0.292	146	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
Integrity of all the partners in an	CA	0.329	226	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
accounting firm	Student	0.381	146	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
Education and training of new members in a firm	CA	0.390	226	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
	Student	0.210	146	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
Stringent policies on punishment on	CA	0.302	226	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
breach of quality	Student	0.262	146	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
Insufficiency in information provided	CA	0.311	226	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
by engagement partner to firm on audit client	Student	0.302	146	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
Long association with audit client	CA	0.266	226	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
	Student	0.259	146	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
Mandatory checking of credibility of	CA	0.392	226	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
the client	Student	0.276	146	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected

261

Reduction of maximum period of	CA	0.286	226	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
association from 7 to 3 years	Student	0.205	146	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
Formal training to each member of an	CA	0.372	226	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
audit team	Student	0.256	146	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
Involvement of another senior partner	CA	0.370	226	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
to review the audit strategy of an engagement team	Student	0.263	146	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
Competence and integrity of the	CA	0.373	226	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
auditor's expert	Student	0.253	146	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
Constant monitoring of the internal	CA	0.349	226	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
quality control policies and their compliance by a professional institute	Student	0.250	146	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
Lacuna in monitoring competence and	CA	0.348	226	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
ethical requirements of engagement team members	Student	0.318	146	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
Lesser appointments of the engagement	CA	0.407	226	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
quality control reviewer (EQCR) by Indian firms	Student	0.207	146	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
Independence of the EQCR	CA	0.409	226	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
	Student	0.343	146	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
System within the firm for resolving	CA	0.391	226	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
differences of opinion between EQCR and engagement partner	Student	0.211	146	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected

Table A1: (Continued)

	Category	Kolmogorov-Smirnov				
		Statistic	DF	p Value	Decision Rule	Decision
Documentation of engagement quality	CA	0.383	226	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
control review findings	Student	0.262	146	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
Retention of engagement	CA	0.363	226	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
documentation for a sufficient period	Student	0.277	146	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
Resolving noncompliance by firm	CA	0.448	226	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
members within the quality control framework	Student	0.331	146	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
Sufficient professional skepticism by	CA	0.406	226	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
statutory auditors	Student	0.382	146	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
Failure to exercise sufficient	CA	0.370	226	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
professional skepticism in recent accounting frauds	Student	0.317	146	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
Noncompliance with auditing standard	CA	0.345	226	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
	Student	0.302	146	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
Sufficiency of auditing standards	CA	0.398	226	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
	Student	0.277	146	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
Inadequacy in time allotted for	CA	0.352	226	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
conducting substantive audit	Student	0.292	146	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected

263

Inadequacy in cost incurred for	CA	0.394	226	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
conducting substantive audit	Student	0.269	146	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
Revision of fee structure for statutory	CA	0.310	226	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
auditors	Student	0.285	146	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
Reducing maximum audit per period	CA	0.233	226	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
for each statutory auditor	Student	0.241	146	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
Detailed verification for select	CA	0.392	226	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
companies	Student	0.297	146	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
Periodic audit as a measure to reduce	CA	0.351	226	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
audit burden	Student	0.277	146	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
Restricted access to client's information	CA	0.337	226	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
	Student	0.336	146	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
Acceptance of engagement subject to	CA	0.383	226	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
fulfilment of preconditions	Student	0.319	146	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
Clarification on difference in the form	CA	0.412	226	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
of the audit report	Student	0.300	146	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
Mandatory checking of the internal	CA	0.455	226	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
control system by statutory auditors	Student	0.260	146	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
Statutory auditors' dependence on the	CA	0.393	226	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
internal auditor	Student	0.267	146	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected

Table A1: (Continued)

	Category	Kolmogorov-Smirnov				
		Statistic	DF	p Value	Decision Rule	Decision
Excessive reliance placed on internal	CA	0.393	226	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
auditors' work	Student	0.313	146	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
Testing the competence and integrity of	CA	0.317	226	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
the internal auditor	Student	0.323	146	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
Strengthening the audit committee	CA	0.378	226	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
	Student	0.303	146	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
Thorough checking of internal	CA	0.356	226	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
auditors' report on risk of material misstatement	Student	0.308	146	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
Lack of enforceability of standards	CA	0.282	226	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
governing internal audit operations	Student	0.235	146	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
Involvement of all members of the	CA	0.405	226	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
engagement team in the planning process	Student	0.301	146	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
Possession of necessary competence	CA	0.452	226	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
and independence for formulating an effective plan	Student	0.347	146	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected

Investigation into client's ethical	CA	0.399	226	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
orientation for updating of plan	Student	0.402	146	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
Allotment of more resources in high-	CA	0.390	226	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
risk areas	Student	0.294	146	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
Assessment of nature, time and scope	CA	0.476	226	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
of audit before formulating plans	Student	0.294	146	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
Maintaining documentation of audit	CA	0.448	226	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
strategy, audit programme, and audit completion	Student	0.310	146	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
Involvement of another partner of the	CA	0.401	226	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
firm to review audit strategy	Student	0.280	146	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
Limitations of persuasive audit	CA	0.444	226	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
evidence	Student	0.312	146	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
Proper design of the audit procedure	CA	0.488	226	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
for collecting sufficient appropriate audit evidence	Student	0.303	146	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
Verification of assets as a basis of their	CA	0.426	226	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
existence	Student	0.287	146	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
Verification of the internal control	CA	0.425	226	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
system through direct observation of control	Student	0.327	146	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected

Table A1: (Continued)

	Category	ategory Kolmogorov-Smirnov				
		Statistic	DF	p Value	Decision Rule	Decision
Reliability of formal written enquiries	CA	0.374	226	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
	Student	0.331	146	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
Nonreliability of confirmation received	CA	0.303	226	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
from external parties	Student	0.347	146	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
Excessive reliance on the	CA	0.424	226	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
management's expert	Student	0.373	146	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
Inclusion of an expert in the engagement team to evaluate the reports of the management expert	CA	0.391	226	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
	Student	0.350	146	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
Collection of evidence on the	CA	0.441	226	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
competence and integrity of the management expert	Student	0.296	146	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
Reliance on management's system of	CA	0.358	226	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
physical verification	Student	0.300	146	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
Physical inspection of stock and asset	CA	0.459	226	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
positions	Student	0.318	146	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
Surprise inspection into stock and asset	CA	0.403	226	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
position	Student	0.265	146	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected

Application of more a stringent procedure to receive confirmation from	CA Student	0.410 0.270	226 146	0.000 0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$ $H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected Rejected
a third party, when the client and third party have conflicts of interest	Student	0.270	140	0.000	H ₀ < 0.03	Rejected
Sampling in the audit procedure	CA	0.336	226	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
	Student	0.279	146	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
Constant change in the nature, timing	CA	0.429	226	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
and scope of the audit procedure to gather reliable samples	Student	0.320	146	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
Acquiring adequate knowledge in	CA	0.451	226	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
statistical application to design appropriate sample	Student	0.333	146	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
Selection of large audit sample subject	CA	0.446	226	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
to risk tolerance of statutory auditors	Student	0.343	146	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
Scientific designing of confirmation	CA	0.454	226	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
requests	Student	0.281	146	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
Applying an alternative method for	CA	0.438	226	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
obtaining confirmation if the parties are in legal dispute	Student	0.342	146	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
Necessity of confirmation for accounts	CA	0.433	226	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
receivable and accounts payable balance only	Student	0.302	146	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected

Table A1: (Continued)

	Category	ry Kolmogorov-Smirnov				
		Statistic	DF	p Value	Decision Rule	Decision
Statutory auditor's responsibility even	CA	0.456	226	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
if he is relying on the work of the auditor's expert	Student	0.352	146	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
Evaluation of the competence and	CA	0.433	226	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
independence of auditor's expert	Student	0.308	146	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
Problem of accounting estimates in	CA	0.319	226	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
drawing conclusive evidence about the financial statement	Student	0.285	146	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
Difficulty in identifying management	CA	0.440	226	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
bias using accounting estimates	Student	0.350	146	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
Enquiry into management's	CA	0.480	226	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
justification behind using certain accounting estimates	Student	0.326	146	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
Nonreliance on the expert's certificate	CA	0.382	226	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
on accounting estimates	Student	0.314	146	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
Necessity of audit documents to	CA	0.458	226	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
address further queries	Student	0.346	146	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected

Proper documentation of the	CA	0.497	226	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
regulatory requirements of the audit procedure	Student	0.274	146	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
Documentation of professional	CA	0.419	226	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
skepticism by statutory auditors	Student	0.312	146	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
Documentation of the basis for the	CA	0.382	226	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
auditor's conclusions	Student	0.310	146	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
Documentation of additional	CA	0.405	226	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
procedures undertaken by statutory auditors	Student	0.306	146	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
Incorporating compliance with	CA	0.379	226	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
appropriate SA in the audit report	Student	0.358	146	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
Disclosure of noncompliance with a	CA	0.404	226	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
particular SA in the audit report	Student	0.312	146	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
Incorporating the future viability of the	CA	0.253	226	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
company operation in the audit report	Student	0.259	146	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
Inability of the auditor to check	CA	0.397	226	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
compliance with all regulations in financial statements	Student	0.348	146	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
Management's influence in the	CA	0.343	226	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
appointment of statutory auditors	Student	0.304	146	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected

Table A1: (Continued)

	Category	Kolmo	Kolmogorov-Smirnov			
		Statistic	DF	p Value	Decision Rule	Decision
Appointment by an independent	CA	0.332	226	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
regulatory authority	Student	0.299	146	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
Necessity of whistle blowers for	CA	0.423	226	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
identifying red flags	Student	0.262	146	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
Proper enforcement of Whistle Blower	CA	0.401	226	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
Policy in Clause 49 of the listing agreement mandated by the SEBI	Student	0.238	146	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
Effectiveness of continuous learning	CA	0.371	226	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
programmes (CLPs) of ICAI	Student	0.269	146	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
Periodical evaluation of outcome of	CA	0.404	226	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
CLPs	Student	0.313	146	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
Failure of the current auditing system	CA	0.353	226	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
to detect systematically designed accounting frauds	Student	0.302	146	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
Impact of the consulting service on	CA	0.246	226	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
audit quality	Student	0.270	146	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
Complete diversification of accounting	CA	0.282	226	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
firms providing audit and consultancy services	Student	0.289	146	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected

CA	0.404	226	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
Student	0.333	146	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
CA	0.275	226	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
Student	0.237	146	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
CA	0.331	226	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
Student	0.258	146	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
CA	0.315	226	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
Student	0.363	146	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
CA	0.280	226	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
Student	0.241	146	0.000	$H_0 < 0.05$	Rejected
	Student CA Student CA Student CA Student CA Student CA	Student 0.333 CA 0.275 Student 0.237 CA 0.331 Student 0.258 CA 0.315 Student 0.363 CA 0.280	Student 0.333 146 CA 0.275 226 Student 0.237 146 CA 0.331 226 Student 0.258 146 CA 0.315 226 Student 0.363 146 CA 0.280 226	Student 0.333 146 0.000 CA 0.275 226 0.000 Student 0.237 146 0.000 CA 0.331 226 0.000 Student 0.258 146 0.000 CA 0.315 226 0.000 Student 0.363 146 0.000 CA 0.280 226 0.000	Student 0.333 146 0.000 $H_0 < 0.05$ CA 0.275 226 0.000 $H_0 < 0.05$ Student 0.237 146 0.000 $H_0 < 0.05$ CA 0.331 226 0.000 $H_0 < 0.05$ Student 0.258 146 0.000 $H_0 < 0.05$ CA 0.315 226 0.000 $H_0 < 0.05$ Student 0.363 146 0.000 $H_0 < 0.05$ CA 0.280 226 0.000 $H_0 < 0.05$

Source: Compilation of Field Survey Data using SPSS 20.