

# INDEX

- Adaptiveness (*see also* Complexity),  
133–140, 143–145, 161, 163  
wall, 133
- Agents, 6
- Aging, 7
- Agrochemicals in Asia, 83
- Airbus A400M, 38
- Amazon, 92, 105
- Apple, 90, 92–93, 99–100, 121–122
- 1973 Arab–Israeli War (*see* Yom  
Kippur War)
- Artificial intelligence (AI), 2, 18, 28, 74,  
114, 145, 153, 165  
advantages, 148  
AI-generated insights, 103–105  
augmenting modelling stage, 156–159  
augmenting monitoring stage, 159–163  
augmenting research stage, 154–156  
excuse, 145  
general, 148  
scenario planning in combination  
with, 144–145
- AT&T, 34–35, 44
- Automation, 18
- ‘Automotive’, 155–156
- Autonomous driving, 145
- Availability bias, 49
- Battery technologies, 9
- BCG Matrix, 28
- Bias  
availability, 49  
cognitive, 10–11
- Big bang problems, 47–48
- Big Data, 2, 105, 170, 172
- ‘Black box’ of Game Theory, 144
- Black swans, 79–80
- Blockbuster, 7–8, 14
- Brainstorm, 144, 154
- Building Narratives, 89
- California Institute of Technology, 39
- Captive banks, 8–9  
real competition for, 9
- Carmakers, 10
- Characters, 89–90
- Chernobyl nuclear disaster, 79
- Chicago Times, 35
- Choice Cascade, 95–96
- Clarity (*see also* Relevance; Validity),  
13–17
- Classical agent–principal dilemma, 6
- Classical crowdsourcing, 104
- Climate change, 2, 4, 73, 132, 175
- Cognitive bias, 10–11
- Complex adaptive systems, 147–148,  
154, 159, 180–182
- Complex quantitative models, 33
- Complex simulation models, 36
- Complexity (*see also* Adaptiveness),  
124–133, 138, 140–141,  
143–145, 161, 163  
management, 17–19  
wall, 126
- Complicatedness, 127–128, 136
- Conflict, 90
- Consultants, 12
- Corporations, 11
- Creativity, 19–20, 31, 48–49, 59, 77,  
120
- Crisis-response-scenario, 91
- Critical uncertainties, 59, 76–83, 85,  
156–158
- Crowdsourcing, 103–105
- Cutting-edge technology, 97–100
- Decision analysis, 32
- Decision makers, 2–3, 6–7, 11–12, 16–17,  
20, 23, 77–78  
ideal, 13  
liability of, 12

- Decision making
  - processes, 6, 11
  - quality, 13
- Deep Blue computer, 146
- DeepL, 146
- Desirable future, 37
- Dieselgate scandal, 9–10
- Differentiator, 117, 119, 161
- Digital dementia, 79
- Digital media, 106–107
- Digital photography, 77
- Digital Strategy, 113
- Digitalisation, 50, 107, 135, 147
- Digitalising, 7
- Driving forces, 72–76, 154–156
- Dynamic scenario modelling, 142–143
- Dynamic strategic thinking, 7–12
- Dynamic strategy, dimensions of, 12–13
  - clarity, 13–17
  - relevance, 19–21
  - validity, 17–19
- Economic drivers, 73
- Enemies
  - adaptiveness, 133–140
  - complexity, 124–133
- Environmental drivers, 73
- Environmental onion, 54
- Epistemology, 4
- European Bank, 71
- Evolutionary change, 92
- External circle, 55
- Facebook, 92
- Fast-moving Consumer Goods (FMCG)
  - Company, 72
- Fiction to science to strategy, 145–148
- Financial engineering, 116
- Flight of Flamingos scenario, 51
- Focal question, 68–72, 154, 156–158, 161–162
- Forecast methods, 32
- Forecasting future, 31–36
- Future
  - desirable, 37
  - predicting or forecasting, 31–36
  - ‘Future-now’ thinking, 38
- Futurism, 31
- Game Theory, 139–140, 143–144, 154
- Garbage in, garbage out issue, 76
- General Electric (GE), 42, 116
- German Mittelstand, 53
- Global Business Network (GBN), 26, 37, 43–44, 58–59, 67–68
- Globalisation, 1–2
- Gnoseology, 4
- Go (Japanese board game), 146
- Gold standard of corporate scenario
  - generation, 67
- Google, 92
- Google Translate, 146
- Group Planning department, 41
- Gulf Stream, 88
- Healthcare Company, 72
- HMD, 100
- Holistic view, 56
- Horizon Planning initiative, 40
- Horizon year, 70
- Human intuition, 57–58
- Humanity, 3
- Humankind, 1–4
- Hyper-connectivity, 1–2
- IBM, 39, 44, 93, 146
- Icarus scenario, 51
- ‘Imitation Game, The’, 146
- Impact-uncertainty grid, 81
- Indiana Jones, 12–13
- Industry scenarios, 71, 85
- Infinite-possibility archetype, 92–93
- Innovation, 36, 49–50, 88, 92, 94, 179
- iPhone (Apple), 99–100, 122
- iPod, 27
- iStockPhoto, 104
- Kodak, 7–8, 14, 77, 98, 135
- KPIs, 73, 111–112, 139
- Lame Duck scenario, 51
- Leaders, 8
- Logic, 83
- Long view, 13–17, 53
- Longitude Prize*, 104
- Machine learning, 32, 146–147, 172
- Machine objectivity, 57–58
- Macro environment drivers, 73
- Managers, 6
- Markets, 7, 10
- Med-Tech, 74, 84, 86
- Media, 123
- Media company, 82–83

- Medium circle, 55
- Mental model, 49, 127–129, 132–133, 135–137, 154, 159, 177, 179–180
- ‘Middle of the road scenario’, 59
- Modern scenario planning, 37
- Monitor Group, 62*n*7
- Moore’s law, 32, 62*n*18
- Motivation, 48, 117, 120, 133, 140–141
- Natural Language Processing, 104
- Netflix, 8, 24, 27
- Neural networks, 147
- Nokia, 7–8, 99–100
- Non-scenario-related forecasting techniques, 36
- Non-strategic goals, 117
- October War (*see* Yom Kippur War)
- ‘Official’ future, 39
- Oracle of Delphi, 31
- Organization of the Petroleum Exporting Countries (OPEC), 41
- Ostrich scenario, 50
- Outside-in thinking, 28, 44, 54–55, 69, 73
- Paralysis, 94  
  issue, 78–79
- Pendulum, 65*n*57
- Perpetual transition, 93
- Persons, 89–90
- Plausibility, 27–28, 55–56, 108
- Plausible futures, 30, 33, 49, 60
- Playing field, 4, 14–15, 23, 84, 115, 122, 126
- Political drivers, 73
- Porter’s five forces, 28, 116
- Possible futures, 26, 33, 49
- Pragmatism, 19–20
- Predicting future, 31–36
- Preferable futures, 33
- ‘Principals’, 6
- Probabilistic modified trend scenario, 67
- Probable futures, 33
- Prognosis, 141–143
- Project by project basis, 40
- R&D strategy for global med-tech player, 84
- Ramadan War (*see* Yom Kippur War)
- RAND Corporation, 37–39, 67
- ‘Rank and yank’ policies, 116
- Real-time scenario modeling (*see also* Traditional scenario planning process), 153
- AI augmenting modelling stage, 156–159
- AI augmenting monitoring stage, 159–163
- AI augmenting research stage, 154–156
- Real-time update, 160
- Relevance (*see also* Clarity; Validity), 13, 19–21
- Renaissance scholar, 12–13
- Research and Development Corporation (RAND Corporation), 25, 37–39, 67
- Revolutionary plots, 92
- Risk, 54
- Saint, 12–13
- Samsung, 90, 122
- Scenario planning (*see also* Traditional scenario planning process), 25–27, 28–30, 37–6, 79
- application, 46–52
- in combination with AI, 144
- guidelines for designing scenarios, 52–61
- key principle, 32
- timeline, 37
- usage of management tools, 46
- Scenario process (*see also* Real-time scenario modeling), 103
- AI-generated insights, 103–105
- crowdsourcing, 103–105
- democratising, 105–106
- new ways of telling stories, 106–108
- speeding up, 106
- Scenarios, 23–31, 106
- differing from predicting or forecasting future, 31–36
- framework, 83–84, 158
- health, 161–163
- modelling, 144
- monitoring, 97–100, 159–161
- narratives, 84–93, 158–159
- number of, 58–59
- process, 68
- team, 57–59
- thinking, 14, 21, 33, 36, 39, 55, 67, 75–76, 141–142
- Scenarios 5.0, 103
- Second spring, 147

- Self-learning approach, 146
- Shareholders, 112, 123–124, 172, 177
- Shell, 41–43, 67
  - approach, 42
  - legacy, 44
- Slides, 107
- Social drivers, 73
- Societies, 7
- Sound strategy process, 97
- Stakeholders, 11, 16, 20, 31, 34, 49–50, 55–56, 47, 69, 75–76, 87, 99, 107–108, 111, 114, 117, 120–121, 139, 171–172
- Stanford Research Institute, 37, 39
- Static strategic thinking, 11–12
- Static strategy, trap of, 5–7
- STEEP framework, 73–74
- Stochastic Neural Analog Reinforcement Computer, 146
- Strategic Analytics, 113
- Strategic goals, 114, 116–118, 132, 137, 153–154, 163
- Strategic objective, 111, 115, 118, 154, 158
- Strategic thinking, 1–2, 116, 137, 165
  - dynamic, 7–12
- Strategy, 8, 13–15, 17, 113
  - advisors, 123–124
  - consultants, 138
  - fiction to science to, 145–148
  - narratives valid in, 171–172
- Structural uncertainties, 79
- Superiority, 153
- Superpower for strategists, 111
  - attempts to protect against enemies, 139–143
  - from fiction to science to strategy, 145–148
  - original strength of strategists, 116–124
  - secret of strategic success—and failure, 114–116
  - against strongest enemies, 143–145
  - true enemies, 124–138
- System Dynamics, 139–144
- Systems thinking, 88
- Technological drivers, 73
- Tectonic-change-plot, 92
- Tesla Roadster, 118, 121
- Time, 117–119
  - horizon, 15, 70
- Traditional ‘rule-based’ programming, 146
- Traditional scenario planning process (*see also* Scenario planning; Real-time scenario modeling)
  - critical uncertainties, 76–83
  - driving forces, 72–76
  - focal question, 68–72
  - implications and options, 93–97
  - monitoring scenarios with cutting-edge technology, 97–100
  - scenario framework, 83–84
  - scenario narratives, 84–93
- ‘Tulip Mania’, 115
- Turbulence, 26
- Turing Test (*see* ‘Imitation Game, The’)
- Uncertainty, 3, 10, 15, 25–26, 75, 83
  - critical, 76–83, 85, 156–158
  - dimensions of dynamic strategy, 12–21
  - dynamic strategic thinking, 7–12
  - embracing, 17–19
  - secondary, 82
  - structural, 79
  - trap of static strategy, 5–7
- Unified Planning Machinery system, 40
- Unknowingness, 80
- US Air Force and Douglas Aircraft technology, 38
- Validity (*see also* Clarity; Relevance), 13, 17–19
- Volatility, 80
- Wall Street company, 76
- Wall Street Journal, 35
- What-if analyses, 37
- What-if principle, 47, 86
- Wind tunneling, 160
  - analogy, 96
- Winners-and-losers plot, 90
- Yellow journalism, 89
- Yom Kippur War, 41
- Zoom-in approach, 57
- Zoom-out approach, 57