MABR 1,3

Evaluating the use of alternative maritime power in Taiwan

Chung-Shan Yang

Department of Aviation and Maritime Transportation Management, Chang Jung Christian University, Tainan, Taiwan

Abstract

Purpose – The purpose of this study is to empirically evaluate the impacts of institutional pressures on the use of alternative maritime power (AMP) and which in turn enhance environmental performance in the maritime shipping context.

Design/methodology/approach – Factor analysis was used to identify the key coercive pressure, normative pressure, mimetic pressure, AMP practice and green performance dimensions. The author collects data from a survey of 184 maritime shipping operators in Taiwan and applies a structural equation modelling (SEM) to test the research hypotheses.

Findings – The findings show that AMP practice act as mediator variables between institutional pressures and environmental performance.

Originality/value – Theoretical contributions and managerial implications are drawn to help maritime shipping operators to improve environmental performance.

Keywords Environmental performance, Institutional pressures, Alternative maritime power, Maritime shipping

Paper type Research paper

1. Introduction

Although ship transport is widely acknowledged as the most eco-efficient mode in terms of emissions per cargo tonnage transported (Song, 2014) to meet the globalization of business and increases in trade volume, cargo size and number of ships, the rapid growth in the maritime sector has raised serious concerns about its environmental impacts, i.e. hazardous/harmful/toxic materials, noise pollution, greenhouse gases (GHGs), waste and demand on energy (Yang *et al.*, 2013). The main pollutants emitted by ships engine combustion are GHGs, nitrogen oxides (NO₂), sulfur oxides (SO₂), carbon monoxide (CO), particulate matter (PM) and diesel particulate matter (DPM) (Eyring et al., 2005), because of over 95 per cent of the world's shipping fleet is diesel engine powered (Tseng and Pilcher, 2015), high sulfur content of marine bunkers, and low quality marine fuels due to the high temperatures and pressures inside internal combustion engines (Kotrikla et al., 2016). The regulations for the prevention of air pollution from ships under the international framework of Annex VI MARPOL 73/78 and at European level according to the provisions of Directive 2012/33/EU (Dragović et al., 2015) are global regimes that clearly addresses the control of air emissions from ships (Tichavska and Tovar, 2015).



Maritime Business Review Vol. 1 No. 3, 2016 pp. 208-224 Emerald Group Publishing Limited 2397-3757 DOI 10.1108/MABR-08-2016-0016 Ships still need auxiliary engine provide electricity to some basic functional activities (i.e. lighting, chilling, refrigeration, cooling, heating, pumps, fans, emergency

© Pacific Star Group Education Foundation

equipment, and elevators) when docked at berth (Tseng and Pilcher, 2015). Because of the proximity of harbors to dense urban populations, in-port ship exhaust pollutants affect human beings directly and then could indirectly affect human population, built environment of many urbanized ports (Giercke, 2003; Saxe and Larsen, 2004; Tzannatos, 2010; Ng and Song, 2010; Acciaro, 2014) and coastal regions in Europe, Asia and North America, which have dense seaports and busy shipping activities (Dore *et al.*, 2007). Most importantly, however, harmful ship emissions into the air have been addressed as a risk factor for cardiovascular, respiratory conditions or even human death (Corbett *et al.*, 2007). Air pollution can cause many health problems including lung cancer, cardiovascular disease and birth defects. Ships contribute to these problems; Corbett *et al.* (2007) estimated that about 60,000 annual cardiopulmonary and lung cancer deaths along the European, East Asian and South Asian coastlines are due to PM emissions from marine vessels (Chang and Wang, 2012).

The need to control air pollution at ports is widely acknowledged as an active policy issue by various authoritative port associations (Tzannatos, 2010; IAPH, 2007; ESPO, 2007). New and upcoming regulations aim to decrease emissions from shipping, and, coupled with increased environmental consciousness of ship owners and harbor operators, shore supply is becoming a more popular and feasible option (Sciberras *et al.*, 2015). Alternative maritime power (AMP)/cold-ironing technology approach allows vessels at berth to use shore power rather than rely on electricity generated by their auxiliary engines, thus reducing exhaust NOx, SOx and PM emissions in ports which are viewed as useful strategies (Chang and Wang, 2012; Kim *et al.*, 2012; Lam and Notteboom, 2014; Tseng and Pilcher, 2015; Sciberras *et al.*, 2015; Ballini and Bozzo, 2015).

In this study, I argue about the reasons why shipping companies and port corporations adopt AMP/shore power dependent on the institutional pressures that are exerted on them by public organizations and competitors in shipping industry. However, to date, no research has empirically investigated the effects of institutional pressures on AMP practice and its consequence of environmental performance improvement. This study will help determine whether adopting these AMP practice is worth the effort of these organizations in terms of environmental performance. It will also help us identify what relationships seem to be significant, providing guidance to shipping liners and port corporations in what AMP practice may be worthwhile to adopt.

The research framework, shown in Figure 1, is developed to investigate the relationships between three institutional pressures (i.e. coercive, normative and mimetic pressure), AMP practice and environmental performance of shipping liners and port



Figure 1. The conceptual model

Use of alternative maritime power

MABR corporations. There are six sections in this paper. Following this introduction, the next section is a review of previous research on institutional pressures, AMP and 1.3 environmental performance. Four research hypotheses are postulated. Section 3 discusses the research methodology, including measures of the survey, sampling techniques and research methods. Section 4 presents the analytical results of descriptive statistics, confirmatory factor analysis (CFA) and structural equation modeling (SEM). Section 5 presents conclusions drawn from the research findings and the implications are discussed, and limitations and further research suggestions are presented in the final section.

2. Theoretical background and hypotheses

2.1 Institutional pressures

Institutional theory argues that firms embedded in social networks perceive strong pressure to conform to institutional expectations and norms for appropriate organizational structures, operations and practices (DiMaggio and Powell, 1983; Zucker, 1987; Scott and Christensen, 1995) to acquire social legitimacy and access to important and rare resources because violations may jeopardize organizational performance (Scott, 2001) and long-term development. DiMaggio and Powell (1983) argue that managerial decisions are strongly influenced by three institutional mechanisms coercive, normative and mimetic isomorphism – that emphasize the role of pressures exerted by international and national government agencies, professional organizations and social expectations, respectively, to produce similar practices and structures.

A firm's enrollment in a voluntary environmental initiative is driven not only by financial considerations but also by the need to attain socially constructed environmental legitimacy (Rivera, 2004). Several studies (Jennings and Zandbergen, 1995; Rugman and Verbeke, 1998; Majumdar and Marcus, 2001; Delmas and Toffel, 2004) have applied institutional theory on the field of firms' environmental management practices. In this study, through the lens of institutional pressures from international organizations (i.e. United Nations [UN], European Union [EU], International Maritime Organization [IMO]) that impose conventions/directives/regulations on shipping operations and rival organizations that compete for businesses, I provide the reasons why shipping companies and port corporations that adopt AMP depend on coercive/ normative pressures from international organizations and mimetic pressure that are exerted on them by competitors.

2.1.1 Coercive pressure. Coercive pressure, usually imposed by binding international organizations and national governments, require the company to comply with the relevant regulations and pursue specific behaviors by relying on legal sanctions or threats (Meyer and Rowan, 1977). Government regulations or the threat of penalties can provide clear standards of behavior for firms, as well as force the adoption of practices by firms (Simpson, 2012). Failing to respond to these regulations engenders significant risk to a firm's legitimacy and viability. Regulations are generally considered to be the greatest source of external influence on a firm's activities (Lai and Wong, 2012) and coercive pressure is usually an important factor that drives environmental management practices. With increasing consciousness of environmental protection, UN, EU, and IMO have established many environmental conventions/directives/regulations, which exert coercive pressure on shipping-related companies, and managers typically interpret it as the most obvious pressure to organizations' environmental initiatives (Zhu and Sarkis,

2007). Organizations should comply with these environmental conventions/directives and regulation, otherwise they will face the threat of government levying legal actions, penalties, or even worst, removal from the market (Sarkis *et al.*, 2010). Base on the discussion in this section, this study, therefore, proposes the following hypothesis:

H1. Coercive pressure is positively associated with alternative maritime power practice.

2.1.2 Normative pressure. Institutional power over of an organization can be regulative (e.g. government regulations, contracts or the threat of penalty), as well as more normative, in the form of peer practices, and industry standards (Scott and Christensen, 1995) often have less power to penalize firms for non-compliance (Simpson, 2012). The normative pillar of the institutional environment refers to sets of expectations, within particular organizational contexts, of what constitutes appropriate and legitimate behavior (Scott and Christensen, 1995). Normative pressures arise from values and norms of conduct promoted by professional networks, industry associations and academic institutions (Hoffman, 1999). These expectations are transferred and gradually become shared norms which influence firm attitudes toward the maintenance of relationship networks (Heide and John, 1992) and put the firm in a position to assure constituents in the field that it maintains procedural legitimacy (Zsidisin et al., 2005). The desire to improve or maintain of cooperative relationships, maritime shipping companies and port corporations conform to normative pressure and the implementation of AMP practices. Previous researches have also found that members of associations face stronger normative pressure to get involved in voluntary environmental initiatives and then enhance environmental performance (Delmas, 2002; Garcia-Johnson, 2000; King and Lenox, 2000). Base on the discussion in this section, this study, therefore, proposes the following hypothesis:

H2. Normative pressure is positively associated with alternative maritime power practice.

2.1.3 Mimetic pressure. Mimetic pressures occur when an organization imitates the actions of successful competitors in the industry in an attempt to duplicate their success. Such action is typically defined as competitive benchmarking. The performance of these successful companies, which are considered as leaders in their sector, forces other companies in the same sector to become like them, as they face the same environmental conditions (DiMaggio and Powell, 1991). Firms may not only imitate organizations they perceive as successful in their industry but also imitate organizations with which they have social ties (Galaskiewicz and Wasserman, 1989). The rationale is simply to follow actions of successful competitors to repeat their successful path (Christmann and Taylor, 2001). In particular, the firm will ascribe its competitors' success to their strategic choices and imitate these successful firms by adopting the same practices (John et al. 2001; Zsidisin et al. 2005). Good practice pervades because of competitive pressure. If main competitors have adopted green strategy are perceived favorably by customers, considered a competitive differentiator, benefited greatly and gain comparative competitive advantages, other companies will also adopted environmental practices. Effective environmental shipping practices have become important point of difference and opportunity to reduce pollutants, attract shippers and build corporate green image. Firms may mimic the environmental practices that successful leading Use of alternative maritime power MABR firms have adopted. Base on the discussion in this section, this study, therefore, proposes the following hypothesis:

H3. Mimetic pressure is positively associated with alternative maritime power practice.

2.2 Alternative maritime power

212

Ship service electrical power consumption at the shore side has rapidly grown for commercial ships (Peterson et al., 2007), as the EU Sulphur Directive limits the sulphur content of fuels used by ships in EU ports to less than 0.1 per cent by mass when the scheduled stay is longer than 2 h and shuts down all engines to use a shore electrical supply are considered compliant and then reduce air pollutions generated at berth (Sciberras et al., 2015). Shore power or AMP is the provisions for land-to-ship power supply systems that allow ships to plug in to the shore electrical network and switch off onboard diesel-powered generators while docked (Ballini and Bozzo, 2015). AMP entails three basic components: a shore-side electrical system and infrastructure, a cable management system and a ship-side electrical system (Tseng and Pilcher, 2015). It helps to reduce emissions while ships at berth, improve air quality, reduce noise and vibrations in port areas (Yang et al., 2011). This study defines AMP practice as the company devoted to providing AMP-related workers, and employees an experience with education for knowledge enhancement, AMP operational training and high-quality collaboration with partners (i.e. suppliers and customers) between those conducting AMP implementation. Shore-side electricity supply can effectively reduce hazardous emissions (e.g. SOx, NOx, DPM, PM) in the local environment significantly (Chang and Wang, 2012; Ballini and Bozzo, 2015), increase sustainability and reduce the environmental impact of shipping activities at berth (Theodoros, 2012; Zis et al., 2014; Tseng and Pilcher, 2015) and improve the socioeconomic conditions for workers and for those living in and around the port area (Tseng and Pilcher, 2015). Base on the discussion in this section, this study, therefore, proposes the following hypothesis:

H4. AMP practice is positively associated with environmental performance.

2.3 Environmental performance

Environmental performance refers to the measurement of the interaction between the business and the environment (Olsthoorn *et al.*, 2001). Environmental performance can be measured in terms of various indexes that include the reduction in consumption of energy, environmental pollutions, wastes, waste water emissions and air pollutant emissions in the shipping industry. Zhu and Sarkis (2004) proposed that enterprises having higher levels of adoption of green practices will have better environmental performance improvements. AMP practices can effectively reduce hazardous emissions (e.g. air pollutant emissions: SOx, NOx, PM and DPM), thus enhance environmental performance in the local environment (Ballini and Bozzo, 2015; Chang and Wang, 2012).

3. Methodology

3.1 Sample

Evergreen Marine Corporation, Yang Ming Marine Transport Corporation, China Steel Express Corporation, Port of Keelung (Taiwan International Ports Corporation) and Port of Kaohsiung (Taiwan International Ports Corporation) in Taiwan were used as survey source for this study because they are currently using the AMP system. This

study identified key interviewees, including president, vice/president and senior managers who are knowledgeable about the company's institutional pressures, AMP practices and environmental performance. The survey was administered by mail with a postage-paid return envelope sent to 300 AMP-related managers/workers/employees. and they were contacted by telephone to identify their willingness to participate in the survey. The initial mailing elicited 112 usable responses. A follow-up mailing was sent six weeks after the initial mailing. An additional 72 usable responses were returned. Therefore, the total usable number of responses number is 184, which gives an overall response rate of 61.3 per cent. Although the total response rate reached 61.3 per cent, it is important to deal with the potential problem of non-response bias. A comparison of early (those responding to the first mailing) and late (those responding to the second mailing) respondents recommended by Armstrong and Overton (1997) was carried out in this study to test for non-response bias by t-tests analysis. The t-tests were performed on the two groups' perceptions of the agreement of the various institutional pressures, AMP practices and environmental performance. There were no significant differences between the two groups' perceptions of the agreement of the various items at the 5 per cent significance level. Results, therefore, suggested that non-response bias was not a problem since late respondents' responses appeared to reflect those of first group respondents.

3.2 Measures

I undertook an extensive study of the literature to identify extant measures for related constructs. The measures for coercive, normative and mimetic pressures were adapted from Chan et al. (2015), Zhu and Sarkis (2006), Zhu et al. (2013), Vachon and Klassen (2006), Ye et al. (2013), Liu et al. (2010). The measures for AMP practices were adapted from Laari et al. (2016), Govindan et al. (2015), Chang and Wang (2012). The measures for environmental performance were selected from those used by Yang et al. (2013). Chang and Wang (2012), McKinley et al. (2005). I developed and refined all of the scales according to the input from interview with experienced shipping practitioners comprising captains, vice president and managers. Interviews resulted in minor modifications to the wording of and examples provided in some measurement items. These items were scored using a five-point Likert scale, where 1 corresponds to "strongly disagree" and 5 to "strongly agree". In addition, I validated the resulting scales with field pilot tests to ascertain their content validity, as well as construct reliability and validity. The questionnaire was pilot tested in a sample of 15 managers. Based on their feedback, I modified, added or deleted questions making them more understandable and relevant to practices. Appendix 1 presents the final measurement items employed for evaluating institutional pressures, AMP practices, and environmental performance.

3.3 Data analysis methods

First, descriptive statistics, exploratory factor analysis and item total correlation analysis were used to summarize the large number of institutional pressures, AMP practice and environmental performance attributes into smaller, manageable sets of underlying factors or dimensions. A two-step approach suggested by Anderson and Gerbing (1988) was used to analyze the data. In the first step, CFA was used to examine the convergent validity, discriminant validity and construct reliability of coercive Use of alternative maritime power

MABR pressure, normative pressure, mimetic pressure, AMP practices and environmental performance. Once it is validated, the second step requires estimating the structural model from the latent variables. A SEM approach was subsequently used to test the research hypotheses. This technique can handle a large number of endogenous and exogenous variables, as well as latent (unobserved) variables specified as linear combinations (weighted averages) of the observed variables (Golob, 2003). All analyses were carried out using the SPSS 18.0 for Windows and AMOS 18.0 statistical packages.

4. Results of empirical analyses

4.1 Participants' demographics

Table I. Profile of respondents (n = 184) The profiles of respondents' companies and their characteristics are displayed in Table I. Results reveal that questionnaire survey respondents were senior managers or above (26.6 per cent), managers/directors (38.6 per cent) and workers/employees (34.8 per cent). Results reveal that questionnaire survey respondents were from operation department (12.0 per cent), management department (43.5 per cent), engineer department (27.7 per cent) and safety/security (16.8 per cent). Table I reveals that almost 80 per cent of respondents have worked in the shipping industry for more than 10 years. The finding implies that respondents have abundant practical experience to answer the questions. Results presented in Table I indicate that 46.7 per cent of respondents are from shipping company, and 53.3 per cent of respondents are from port corporations.

Characteristics of respondents	Frequency	(%
Type		
Shipping company	86	46.'
Port corporation	98	53.
Job title		
Senior managers or above	49	26.
Managers/directors	71	38.0
Workers/employees	64	34.8
Department		
Operations	28	12.0
Management	80	43.
Engineer	51	27.'
Safety/security	31	16.8
Seniority		
Less than 5 years	33	17.9
6-10 years	9	4.9
11-15 years	76	41.
16-20 years	13	7.
21 years or more	53	28.2
Number of employees		
Less than 500	92	50.0
501-2000	46	25.0
2001 or more	46	25.0

4.2 Exploratory factor analysis results

Factor analysis was used to reduce the institutional pressure attributes, AMP practices, and environmental performance attributes to smaller, manageable set of underlying factors (dimensions). This helped to detect the presence of meaningful patterns among the original variables and extract the main factors. Principal component analysis with VARIMAX rotation was employed to identify the dimensions of coercive pressure, normative pressure, mimetic pressure, AMP practice and environmental performance. The results of factor analysis for these dimensions are indicated in Appendix 1 yielded factors or dimensions with eigenvalues greater than one (Churchill and Iacobucci, 2002). According to Hair et al. (2006), factor loadings of 0.50 or greater are considered practically significant. The larger the absolute size of the factor loading, the more important the loading is in interpreting the factor matrix. Results revealed that measurement items all had strong loading on the construct. The generally agreed lower limit for Cronbach's alpha is 0.60 (Flynn et al., 1990; Nunnaly, 1994). Cronbach's alpha values in Appendix 1 indicate that all constructs are reliable for this research. Based on the Cronbach's alpha values. I conclude that the scales are reliable. Appendix 1 also shows respondents' agreement levels with each dimension in the current situation. The results indicate they considered the environmental performance dimension (mean =3.89) the highest agreement level, followed by coercive pressure dimension (mean = 3.85), normative pressure dimension (mean = 3.78), and mimetic pressure dimension is the lowest agreement level (mean = 3.21).

4.3 Confirmatory factor analysis

The CFA factor loadings are shown in Table II. The average variance extracted (AVE) values for all constructs are higher than 0.50. CFA, using AMOS 18.0 software, was conducted to further examine uni-dimensionality of measurement items. The results revealed a good fit according to model fit indices of $\chi^2/df = 1.858$ [the acceptable ratio is less than 2.0 (Tabachnick and Fidell, 2007)], GFI = 0.904, AGFI = 0.855, CFI = 0.976 [the CFI was superior to other indices for small samples, and a value exceeding 0.90 indicated a reasonably good fit of the research model (Hu and Bentler, 1999)], IFI = 0.976[the IFI exceeded the common standard of 0.9 (Hair *et al.*, 2006)], RMR = 0.023 [a value of 0.05 or less indicated an acceptable model (Byrne, 1998)] and RMSEA = 0.069 [a value less than 0.08 is generally considered a good fit (Hu and Bentler, 1999)]. This indicates that the model is acceptable and uni-dimensionality and reliability were further confirmed. Next, discriminant validity and convergent validity were tested. Discriminant validity is the degree to which measures of different latent variables are unique, whereas convergent validity relates to the degree to which multiple methods of measuring a variable provide the same results (O'Leary-Kelly and Vokurka, 1998). CFA is used in the study to ascertain convergent and discriminant validity. Convergent validity can be tested by t-values that are all statistically significant on the factor loadings (Dunn et al., 1994). For this model, all the factor loadings are greater than 0.50, and all *t*-values are greater than 1.96. Therefore, convergent validity is achieved. Discriminant validity was assessed to provide evidence that the constructs in this study are unique and that each captures some phenomena that other measures do not (Hair et al., 2006). The approach used holds that the AVE value of each construct should be higher than the squared correlation (also known as shared variance) of that construct Use of alternative maritime power

MABR 1,3	Latent variables	Unstandardized factor loading	Completely standardized factor loading	SE ^a	CRb		
	El · Coercive t	ressure (AVE: 0 700)					
	CO1	1.000	0.957	_c	_		
	CO2	0.985	0.927	0.050	19.707		
216	CO3	0.637	0.571	0.073	8.747		
	ξ2: Normative	e pressure (AVE: 0.851)					
	ŇO1	1.000	0.953	_c	_		
	NO2	0.993	0.940	0.040	25.018		
	NO3	0.926	0.872	0.047	19.807		
	ξ3: Mimetic p	ressure (AVE: 0.832)					
	MI1	1.000	0.924	_c	_		
	MI2	1.015	0.911	0.051	20.058		
	MI3	1.051	0.902	0.054	19.582		
	ξ4: AMP prac	ctices (AVE: 0.785)					
	AMP1	1.000	0.932	_c	_		
	AMP2	1.040	0.897	0.069	15.039		
	AMP3	1.101	0.825	0.070	15.751		
	ξ5: Environmental performance (AVE: 0.928)						
	EP1	1.000	0.942	_c	_		
	EP2	1.025	0.974	0.033	30.831		
	EP3	1.045	0.973	0.034	30.650		
Table II. Results of confirmatory factor analysis	Notes: ^a SE i dividing the e of significance 1.858, GFI = 0	s an estimate of the standa stimate of the covariance l e of 0.05; ^c indicates a par 0.904, AGFI = 0.855, CFI =	ard error of the covariance; ^b CR is the py its standard error. A value excees ameter fixed at 1.0 in the original s 0.976, NFI = 0.949, IFI = 0.976, RM	he critical ratio ding 1.96 repres olution; fit indi R = 0.023, RMS	obtained by sents a level ces: $\chi^2/df =$ SEA = 0.069		

with the others. As illustrated in Table III, all AVE values are greater than the squared correlations, indicating discriminant validity for all of the latent constructs.

4.4 Test of hypotheses

The coercive pressure, normative pressure, mimetic pressure, AMP practice and environmental performance variables are analyzed simultaneously in SEM. The results

	Latent variables	ξ1	<i>ξ</i> 2	<i>ξ</i> 3	ξ4	<i>ξ</i> 5
	<i>E</i> 1: Coercive pressure	0.700	0.33	0.58	0.48	0.33
	ξ 2: Normative pressure	0.33	0.851	0.49	0.50	0.34
	ξ 3: Mimetic pressure	0.58	0.49	0.832	0.49	0.44
	ξ4: AMP practice	0.48	0.50	0.49	0.785	0.47
	ξ_5 : Environmental performance	0.33	0.34	0.44	0.47	0.928
Table III.						
Correlations and	Notes: The figures italic represent	AVE; figures	below the AV	E line are the	correlations be	etween the
AVE	constructs					

of H1-H4 are shown in Table IV and Figure 2. The standardized coefficients indicate that out of the four hypotheses, H1, H3 and H4 are significant at the 0.001 level, whereas H2 is significant at the 0.05 level. All four hypotheses are supported (as shown in Table IV). As a result, coercive pressure has a positive influence on AMP practice (H_1 , $\hat{a}_1 = 0.311, p < 0.001$). The normative pressure exerts a positive influence on AMP practice (H2, $\hat{a}_2 = 0.185$, p < 0.05), and mimetic pressure also is positively associated with AMP practice (H3, $\hat{a}_3 = 0.305$, p < 0.001). Finally, the AMP practice exerts a positive influence on environmental performance (H4, $\hat{a}_4 = 0.497$, p < 0.001).

5. Conclusions and discussion

With the requirements of sustainability and the globalization of shipping operation, shipping companies and port corporations have made some progress in adoption of green technology equipment (e.g. AMP). However, AMP is still a new concept in Taiwan. Interview with practitioners show that AMP is an important trend for developing green port and green ship, and there is indeed significant reduction of air pollutant emissions from adoption and use of AMP, whereas there are a number of issues raised (e.g. the cost of equipment installation and implementation cost is high, the

Paths	Estimate (â)	Р	Outcome	
$H1: CO \rightarrow AMP$ practice	$0.311(\hat{a}_{1})$	0.000***	Supported	
<i>H2</i> : NO \rightarrow AMP practice	$0.185(\hat{a}_{2})$	0.033*	Supported	Table IV.
H3: MI \rightarrow AMP practice	$0.305(\hat{a}_3)$	0.000***	Supported	Results
<i>H4</i> : AMP practice \rightarrow EP	$0.497(\hat{a}_{4})$	0.000***	Supported	(standardized) of
1	$\langle \psi \rangle$		11	hypotheses tests
Notes: *Correlation is signification	ant at the 0.05 level. *** cor	relation is significant at	the 0.001 level	using SEM

Notes: *Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level, *** correlation is significant at the 0.001 level



Notes: *Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level, **Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level; ***Correlation is significant at the 0.001 level; Fit indices: $\chi^2/df = 1.924$, GFI = 0.897, AGFI = 0.852, CFI = 0.973, NFI = 0.946, IFI = 0.973, RMR = 0.039, RMSEA = 0.071

Figure 2. Estimated structural equation model

alternative maritime power

Use of

MABR voltage types, and connection types between ship and shore is not unified). This study provides an empirical assessment of coercive pressure, normative pressure, mimetic pressure and AMP practice in the maritime shipping operation and how these variables influence their environmental performance. The findings indicate that coercive pressure (*H1*), normative pressure (*H2*) and mimetic pressure (*H3*) have positive effects on AMP practice and then, in turn, AMP practice has significantly positive effect on environmental performance (*H4*).

5.1 Implications for researchers

This study answers the call from Tseng and Pilcher (2015), urged researchers to interview key participants, where AMP has been introduced to gather their experiences on how this was done, it would be one fruitful area of future research. Although several previous studies have evaluated institutional pressure in strategy and organizational behavior for some time, the application of institutional theory in AMP for improving environmental performance of maritime shipping companies and port corporations is rather limited. First, from a research point of view, the results support the relevance of the institutional pressures as a driver of environmental performance. Theoretically, this study highlights the importance of institutional pressures and AMP practice in explaining the environmental performance. Second, AMP practice mediates the impact of institutional pressure on environmental performance. The findings suggest that there are indeed institutional pressures that influence AMP practice, which further enhance environmental performance. This study extends this body of literature on shipping management by empirically highlighting that the mediating effect of AMP practice on the relationship between institutional pressures and environmental performance in the context of maritime shipping. Third, the normative pressure, as an ethical/moral code of conduct of company, is not legally enforceable, and its impact on AMP practice is much weaker than coercive pressure and mimetic pressure. Normative factor and ethical behavior are important factors influencing employee ethical behaviors that must be taken into consideration by shipping companies and port corporations (Lu and Lin, 2014). I believe that framing the role of normative pressure in future research is a contribution to the environmental management literature that will aid future theoretical development in the shipping industry.

5.2 Implications for managers

The findings of this study provide the empirical evidence to support that institutional pressures enable shipping liners and port corporations to implement AMP practices and that environmental performance improvements derived. This result also points to the need for maritime shipping companies and port corporations to become better endeavored in devoting of AMP practices (i.e. AMP knowledge enhancement, AMP operational training and AMP collaboration with partners). From the empirical evidence, coercive pressure is the most effective enabler for AMP practice, followed by mimetic pressure, whereas normative pressure is the lowest one. Therefore, environmental conventions/directives of UN, EU and IMO are the strongest foundation for effective AMP implementation. Overall, the results highlight the importance for understanding the influential paths of institutional pressures on AMP practice is critical for maritime shipping companies and port corporations to strategically arrange their resource on AMP installation and operation to maintain their social legitimacy and contribute to environmental protection. Coercive pressure of

international conventions/directives and mimetic pressure of competitors' green strategy are main enablers of AMP practice. This reveals that it is necessary for shipping liners and port corporations to align resources and activities to cope with. Furthermore, the pressure of environmental conventions/directives was actually originated from public international organizations (i.e. UN, EU and IMO). Policy makers and manufacturing companies should take the AMP high cost, inconsistent voltage and frequency, inconsistent connection types between ship and shore and technological improvements into consideration.

6. Limitations and future research

While the objectives of the study are accomplished, several limitations of the present study should be noted. First, in this study, the data collection was restricted to maritime shipping companies and port corporations in Taiwan. A different sample could be employed from different countries to verify the findings. Second, another worthwhile direction for future research might be the use of the strategic group concept to classify shipping companies and port corporations into different pressures-oriented firms based on the aforementioned coercive, normative and mimetic pressure dimensions. Such an approach might investigate environmental performance differences among various strategic groups. Third, the results in this study do not imply that this is the only valid AMP model for the enhancement of environmental performance of shipping companies and port corporations, although the model provide a good fit to the data. Finally, this study was limited to environmental performance dimension, although environmental performance is important and explained by AMP implementation. Future studies should seek to consider other variables such as economic performance, social performance or healthy performance. These variables may be impacted by AMP practice and institutional pressures examined in the present study, and may provide further insight on the results discussed above.

References

- Acciaro, M. (2014), "A real option application to investment in low-sulphur maritime transport" International Journal of Shipping and Transport Logistics, Vol. 6 No. 2, pp. 189-212.
- Anderson, J.C. and Gerbing, D.W. (1988), "Structural equation modeling in practice: a review and recommended two-step approach", *Psychological bulletin*, Vol. 103 No. 3, pp. 411-423.
- Armstrong, J.S. and Overton, J.S. (1997), "Estimating non-response bias in mail surveys", *Journal of Marketing Research*, Vol. 14 No. 3, pp. 396-402.
- Ballini, F. and Bozzo, R. (2015), "Air pollution from ships in ports: the socio-economic benefit of cold-ironing technology", *Research in Transportation Business and Management*, Vol. 17 No. 1, pp. 92-98.
- Byrne, B.M. (1998), Structural Equation Modeling with LISREL, PRELIS and SIMPLIS: Basic Concepts, Applications and Programming, Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Mahwah, NJ.
- Chan, H.K., Yee, R.W., Dai, J. and Lim, M.K. (2015), "The moderating effect of environmental dynamism on green product innovation and performance", *International Journal of Production Economics*, Vol. 2 No. 3.
- Chang, C.C. and Wang, C.M. (2012), "Evaluating the effects of green port policy: case study of Kaohsiung harbor in Taiwan", *Transportation Research Part D: Transport and Environment*, Vol. 17 No. 3, pp. 185-189.
- Christmann, P. and Taylor, G. (2001), "Globalization and the environment: determinants of firm self-regulation in China", *Journal of International Business Studies*, Vol. 32 No. 3, pp. 439-458.

Use of alternative maritime power

MABR	Churchill, G.A. and Iacobucci, D. (2002), <i>Marketing Research: Methodological Foundations</i> , 8th ed., Harcourt College Publishers, Orlando.
1,3	Corbett, J.J., Winebrake, J.J., Green, E.H., Kasibhatla, P., Eyring, V. and Lauer, A. (2007), "Mortality from ship emissions: a global assessment", <i>Environmental Science and Technology</i> , Vol. 41 No. 24, pp. 8512-8518.
220	Delmas, M.A. (2002), "The diffusion of environmental management standards in Europe and in the United States: an institutional perspective", <i>Policy Sciences</i> , Vol. 35 No. 1, pp. 91-119.
	Delmas, M. and Toffel, M.W. (2004), "Stakeholders and environmental management practices: an institutional framework", <i>Business strategy and the Environment</i> , Vol. 13 No. 4, pp. 209-222.
	DiMaggio, P. and Powell, W.W. (1983), "The iron cage revisited: collective rationality and institutional isomorphism in organizational fields", <i>American Sociological Review</i> , Vol. 48 No. 2, pp. 147-160.
	DiMaggio, P.J. and Powell, W.W. (1991), <i>The New Institutionalism in Organizational Analysis</i> , University of Chicago Press, Chicago, IL.
	Dore, A.J., Vieno, M., Tang, Y.S., Dragosits, U., Dosio, A., Weston, K.J. and Sutton, M.A. (2007), "Modelling the atmospheric transport and deposition of sulphur and nitrogen over the United Kingdom and assessment of the influence of SO 2 emissions from international shipping", <i>Atmospheric Environment</i> , Vol. 41 No. 11, pp. 2355-2367.
	Dragović, B., Tzannatos, E., Tselentis, V., Meštrović, R. and Škurić, M. (2015), "Ship emissions and their externalities in cruise ports", <i>Transportation Research Part D: Transport and Environment</i> , Vol. 18 No. 2.
	Dunn, S.C., Seaker, R.F. and Waller, M.A. (1994), "Latent variables in business logistics research: scale development and validation", <i>Journal of Business Logistics</i> , Vol. 15 No. 2, pp. 145-172.
	ESPO (2007), "Environmental code of practice", ESPO, Brussels, available at: www.antaq.gov.br/ Portal/pdf/Palestras/SeminarioBelga/HoendersRESPOCode.pdf
	Eyring, V., Köhler, H.W., Van Aardenne, J. and Lauer, A. (2005), "Emissions from international shipping: 1 the last 50 years", <i>Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres</i> , Vol. 110 No. D17305, pp. 1-12.
	Flynn, B.B., Sakakibara, S., Schroeder, R.G., Bates, K.A. and Flynn, E.J. (1990), "Empirical research methods in operations management", <i>Journal of Operations Management</i> , Vol. 9 No. 2, pp. 250-284.
	Galaskiewicz, J. and Wasserman, S. (1989), "Mimetic processes within an interorganizational field: an empirical test", <i>Administrative Science Quarterly</i> , Vol. 34 No. 3, pp. 454-479.
	Garcia-Johnson, R. (2000), Exporting Environmentalism: US Multinational Chemical Corporations in Brazil and Mexico, MIT Press, New York, NY.
	Giercke, R. (2003), "New Hansa of sustainable ports and cities", Presentation at the 'EU Stakeholder Workshop on low-emission shipping' in Brussels, 4 September, available at: www.europa.eu.int/comm/environment/air/transport.htm#3
	Golob, T.F. (2003), "Structural equation modeling for travel behavior research", Transportation Research Part B: Methodological, Vol. 37 No. 1, pp. 1-25.
	Govindan, K., Khodaverdi, R. and Vafadarnikjoo, A. (2015), "Intuitionistic fuzzy based DEMATEL method for developing green practices and performances in a green supply chain", <i>Expert Systems with Applications</i> , Vol. 42 No. 20, pp. 7207-7220.
	Hair, J.R. Jr.,Black, W.C., Babin, B.J., Anderson, R.E. and Tatham, R.L. (2006), <i>Multivariate Analysis</i> , Pearson Prentice Hall, Upper saddle River, NJ.
	Heide, J.B. and John, G. (1992), "Do norms matter in marketing relationships?", The Journal of Marketing, Vol. 56 No. 2, pp. 32-44.

Hoffman, A.J. (1999), "Institutional evolution and change: environmentalism and the US chemical industry", Academy of Management Journal, Vol. 42 No. 4, pp. 351-371.

- Hu, L.T. and Bentler, P.M. (1999), "Cutoff criteria for fit indexes in covariance structure analysis: conventional criteria versus new alternatives", *Structural Equation Modeling: A Multidisciplinary Journal*, Vol. 6 No. 1, pp. 1-55.
- IAPH (May 2007), "Resolution on clean air programs for ports" second plenary session", 25th World Ports Conference of IAPH, Houston, TX, May.
- Jennings, P.D. and Zandbergen, P.A. (1995), "Ecologically sustainable organizations: an institutional approach", Academy of Management Review, Vol. 20 No. 4, pp. 1015-1052.
- John, C.H.S., Cannon, A.R. and Pouder, R.W. (2001), "Change drivers in the new millennium: implications for manufacturing strategy research", *Journal of Operations Management*, Vol. 19 No. 2, pp. 143-160.
- Kim, J., Rahimi, M. and Newell, J. (2012), "Life-cycle emissions from port electrification: a case study of cargo handling tractors at the port of Los Angeles", *International Journal of Sustainable Transportation*, Vol. 6 No. 6, pp. 321-337.
- King, A.A. and Lenox, M.J. (2000), "Industry self-regulation without sanctions: the chemical industry's responsible care program", *Academy of Management Journal*, Vol. 43 No. 4, pp. 698-716.
- Kotrikla, A.M., Lilas, T. and Nikitakos, N. (2016), "Abatement of air pollution at an aegean island port utilizing shore side electricity and renewable energy", *Marine Policy*, available at: www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0308597X16000397
- Laari, S., Töyli, J., Solakivi, T. and Ojala, L. (2016), "Firm performance and customer-driven green supply chain management", *Journal of Cleaner Production*, Vol. 112(part 3), pp. 1960-1970.
- Lai, K.H. and Wong, C.W. (2012), "Green logistics management and performance: some empirical evidence from Chinese manufacturing exporters", *Omega*, Vol. 40 No. 3, pp. 267-282.
- Lam, J.S.L. and Notteboom, T. (2014), "The greening of ports: a comparison of port management tools used by leading ports in Asia and Europe", *Transport Reviews*, Vol. 34 No. 2, pp. 169-189.
- Liu, H., Ke, W., Wei, K.K., Gu, J. and Chen, H. (2010), "The role of institutional pressures and organizational culture in the firm's intention to adopt internet-enabled supply chain management systems", *Journal of Operations Management*, Vol. 28 No. 5, pp. 372-384.
- Lu, C.S. and Lin, C.C. (2014), "The effects of ethical leadership and ethical climate on employee ethical behavior in the international port context", *Journal of Business Ethics*, Vol. 124 No. 2, pp. 209-223.
- McKinley, G., Zuk, M., Höjer, M., Avalos, M., González, I., Iniestra, R., Laguna, I., Martínez, M.A., Osnaya, P., Reynales, L.M., Valdés, R. and Martínez, J. (2005), "Quantification of local and global benefits from air pollution control in Mexico City", *Environmental Science and Technology*, Vol. 39 No. 7, pp. 1954-1961.
- Majumdar, S.K. and Marcus, A.A. (2001), "Rules versus discretion: the productivity consequences of flexible regulation", Academy of Management Journal, Vol. 44 No. 1, pp. 170-179.
- Meyer, J.W. and Rowan, B. (1977), "Institutionalized organizations: formal structure as myth and ceremony", American Journal of Sociology, Vol. 83 No. 2, pp. 340-363.
- Ng, A.K.Y. and Song, S. (2010), "The environmental impacts of pollutants generated by routine shipping operations on ports", *Ocean and Coastal Management*, Vol. 53 Nos 5/6, pp. 301-311.
- Nunnaly, J. (1994), Psychometric Method, McGraw-Hill, New York, NY.
- O'Leary-Kelly, S.W. and Vokurka, R.J. (1998), "The empirical assessment of construct validity", *Journal of Operations Management*, Vol. 16 No. 4, pp. 387-405.

maritime power

alternative

Use of

MABR 1,3	Olsthoorn, X., Tyteca, D., Wehrmeyer, W. and Wagner, M. (2001), "Environmental indicators for business: a review of the literature and standardisation methods", <i>Journal of Cleaner</i> <i>Production</i> , Vol. 9 No. 5, pp. 453-463.
	Peterson, K.L., Islam, M. and Cayanan, C. (2007), "State of shore power standards for ships", <i>IEEE Petroleum and Chemical Industry Technical Conference, IEEE</i> , pp. 1-6.
222	 Rivera, J. (2004), "Institutional pressures and voluntary environmental behavior in developing countries: evidence from the Costa Rican hotel industry", <i>Society and Natural Resources</i>, Vol. 17 No. 9, pp. 779-797.
	Rugman, A.M. and Verbeke, A. (1998), "Corporate strategies and environmental regulations: an organizing framework", <i>Strategic Management Journal</i> , Vol. 19 No. 4, pp. 363-375.
	Sarkis, J., Gonzalez-Torre, P. and Adenso-Diaz, B. (2010), "Stakeholder pressure and the adoption of environmental practices: the mediating effect of training", <i>Journal of Operations Management</i> , Vol. 28 No. 2, pp. 163-176.
	Saxe, H. and Larsen, T. (2004), "Air pollution from ships in three Danish ports", <i>Atmospheric Environment</i> , Vol. 38 No. 24, pp. 4057-4067.
	Sciberras, E.A., Zahawi, B. and Atkinson, D.J. (2015), "Electrical characteristics of cold ironing energy supply for berthed ships", <i>Transportation Research Part D: Transport and</i> <i>Environment</i> , Vol. 39 No. 1, pp. 31-43.
	Scott, W.R. (2001), Institutions and Organizations, 2nd ed., Sage, Thousand Oaks, CA.
	Scott, W.R. and Christensen, S. (1995), <i>The Institutional Construction of Organizations:</i> <i>International and Longitudinal Studies</i> , Sage Publications, New York, NY.
	Simpson, D. (2012), "Institutional pressure and waste reduction: the role of investments in waste reduction resources", <i>International Journal of Production Economics</i> , Vol. 139 No. 1, pp. 330-339.
	Song, S. (2014), "Ship emissions inventory, social cost and eco-efficiency in Shanghai Yangshan port", <i>Atmospheric Environment</i> , Vol. 82 No. 1, pp. 288-297.
	Tabachnick, B.G. and Fidell, L.S. (2007), <i>Using Multivariate Statistics</i> , 5th ed., Allyn and Bacon, New York, NY.
	Theodoros, P.G. (2012), "A cold ironing study on modern ports, implementation and benefits thriving for worldwide ports", <i>Master Thesis Greece: School of Naval Architecture</i> <i>andMarine Engineering</i> , National Technical University of Athens, Athens.
	Tichavska, M. and Tovar, B. (2015), "Environmental cost and eco-efficiency from vessel emissions in Las Palmas Port", <i>Transportation Research Part E: Logistics and Transportation</i> <i>Review</i> , Vol. 83, pp. 126-140.
	Tseng, P.H. and Pilcher, N. (2015), "A study of the potential of shore power for the port of Kaohsiung, Taiwan: to introduce or not to introduce?", <i>Research in Transportation Business and Management</i> , Vol. 17 No. 1, pp. 83-91.
	Tzannatos, E. (2010), "Ship emissions and their externalities for the port of Piraeus–Greece", <i>Atmospheric Environment</i> , Vol. 44 No. 3, pp. 400-407.
	Vachon, S. and Klassen, R.D. (2006), "Green project partnership in the supply chain: the case of the package printing industry", <i>Journal of Cleaner Production</i> , Vol. 14 No. 6, pp. 661-671.
	Yang, C.S., Lu, C.S., Haider, J.J. and Marlow, P.B. (2013), "The effect of green supply chain management on green performance and firm competitiveness in the context of container shipping in Taiwan", <i>Transportation Research Part E: Logistics and Transportation Review</i> , Vol. 55 No. 3, pp. 55-73.
	Yang, X., Bai, G. and Schmidhalter, R. (2011), "Shore to ship converter system for energy saving and emission reduction", <i>Power Electronics and ECCE Asia (ICPE and ECCE)</i> , 2011 IEEE 8th International Conference on, IEEE, pp. 2081-2086.

- Ye, F., Zhao, X., Prahinski, C. and Li, Y. (2013), "The impact of institutional pressures, top managers' posture and reverse logistics on performance – evidence from China", *International Journal of Production Economics*, Vol. 143 No. 1, pp. 132-143.
- Zhu, Q. and Sarkis, J. (2004), "Relationships between operational practices and performance among early adopters of green supply chain management practices in Chinese manufacturing enterprises", *Journal of Operations Management*, Vol. 22 No. 3, pp. 265-289.
- Zhu, Q. and Sarkis, J. (2006), "An inter-sectoral comparison of green supply chain management in China: drivers and practices", *Journal of Cleaner Production*, Vol. 14 No. 5, pp. 472-486.
- Zhu, Q. and Sarkis, J. (2007), "The moderating effects of institutional pressures on emergent green supply chain practices and performance", *International Journal of Production Research*, Vol. 45 Nos 18/19, pp. 4333-4355.
- Zhu, Q., Cordeiro, J. and Sarkis, J. (2013), "Institutional pressures, dynamic capabilities and environmental management systems: investigating the ISO 9000 - environmental management system implementation linkage", *Journal of Environmental Management*, Vol. 114 No. 1, pp. 232-242.
- Zis, T., North, R.J., Angeloudis, P., Ochieng, W.Y. and Bell, M.G.H. (2014), "Evaluation of cold ironing and speed reduction policies to reduce ship emissions near and at ports", *Maritime Economics and Logistics*, Vol. 16 No. 4, pp. 371-398.
- Zsidisin, G.A., Melnyk, S.A. and Ragatz, G.L. (2005), "An institutional theory perspective of business continuity planning for purchasing and supply management", *International Journal of Production Research*, Vol. 43 No. 16, pp. 3401-3420.
- Zucker, L.G. (1987), "Institutional theories of organizations" *Annual Review of Sociology*, Vol. 13, pp. 443-464, available at: www.jstor.org/stable/2083256

Further reading

- Ferrara, P.J., Uva, M.A. and Nowlin, J. (2011), "Naval ship-to-shore high temperature superconducting power transmission cable feasibility", *IEEE Transactions on Applied Superconductivity*, Vol. 21 No. 3, pp. 984-987.
- Heugens, P.P. and Lander, M.W. (2009), "Structure! Agency!(and other quarrels): a meta-analysis of institutional theories of organization", *Academy of Management Journal*, Vol. 52 No. 1, pp. 61-85.
- Khersonsky, Y., Islam, M. and Peterson, K. (2007), "Challenges of connecting shipboard marine systems to medium voltage shoreside electrical power", *IEEE Transactions on Industry Applications*, Vol. 43 No. 3, pp. 838-844.
- Mumford, K.A., Wu, Y., Smith, K.H. and Stevens, G.W. (2015), "Review of solvent based carbon-dioxide capture technologies", *Frontiers of Chemical Science and Engineering*, Vol. 9 No. 2, pp. 125-141.
- Patrik, E. and Ismir, F. (2008), "Shore side power supply: a feasibility study and a technical solution for an on-shore electrical infrastructure to supply vessels with electric power while at port", *Master* of Science Thesis, Department of Energy and Environment, Chalmers University of Technology, Goteborg.
- Salomon, M. (2009), "Recent European initiatives in marine protection policy: towards lasting protection for Europe's seas?", *Environmental Science and Policy*, Vol. 12 No. 3, pp. 359-366.

Corresponding author

Chung-Shan Yang can be contacted at: r58951022@yahoo.com.tw

Use of alternative maritime power

MABR 1,3	Appendix			
	Measurements	loading		
224	Coercive pressure (Mean = 3.85, SD = 0.64, Cronbach's α = 0.85, CITC range = 0.56 studies: Zhu and Sarkis (2006); Zhu et al. (2013); Chan et al. (2015)	-0.81) Prior		
	conventions/directives CO2. EU environmental	0.747		
	conventions/directives CO3. IMO environmental conventions/directives	0.781		
	Normative pressure (Mean = 3.78, SD = 0.69, Cronbach's α = 0.94, CITC range = 0.85-0.91) Prior studies: Zhu et al. (2013), Vachon and Klassen (2006), Ye et al. (2013)			
	NO1. International association of ports and harbors (IAPH) environmental initiative NO2. The Association of Pacific Ports (APP)	0.764		
	environmental initiative NO3. European Sea Ports Organization	0.752		
	(ESPO) environmental initiative	0.743		
	Mimetic pressure (Mean = 3.21, SD = 0.81, Cronbach's α = 0.94, CITC range = 0.87- studies: Zhu et al. (2013), Zhu and Sarkis (2006), Liu et al. (2010) MI1. Our main competitors have adopted	0.88) Prior		
	green strategy MI2. AMP is considered a competitive	0.848		
	differentiator in my company MI3. Our main competitors that have adopted	0.891		
	AMP are benefited	0.881		
	AMP practice (Mean = 3.38, SD = 0.80, Cronbach's α = 0.91, CITC range = 0.78-0.8 studies: Laari et al. (2016), Govindan et al. (2015), Chang and Wang (2012) AMP1. My company devoted to AMP	6) Prior		
	knowledge enhancement AMP2. My company devoted to AMP	0.822		
	operational training AMP3. My company devoted to AMP collaboration with partners (suppliers and	0.825		
	customers.)	0.778		
	Environmental performance (Mean = 3.89, SD = 0.74, Cronbach's α = 0.97, CITC ra. 0.95) Prior studies: Yang et al. (2013), Chang and Wang (2012), McKinley et al. (2005) EP1. Air pollutant emissions: Particulate	nge = 0.93-		
Table AI.	Matter (PM10 and PM2.5)	0.920		
Construct measurement	EP2. Diesei Particulate Matter (DPM) EP3. Air pollutant emissions: NOx and SOx	0.932 0.925		