INDEX

Altruistic preferences, 110 Appropriable quasi-rents (AQRs), 106 Arrow-Pratt measure of risk aversion, 194-195 Assumptions in transaction cost economics, 109 Babbling equilibrium, 20–21, 25, 33 Benchmark contract, 48 Bias, 23, 32-33 Bonds, 46 Bonus, 42 Bureaucracy in the United States, 128 "Catch" trials, 26 Charity, 136-137 Coding messages, 4 Communication, 5–6 message sophistication, 31-32Compensating differentials, 182 - 183Compensation schemes competition and, 160 cooperation and, 160 fixed pay, 193 piece rate, 161, 167, 169, 172, 173, 216 tournament, 161, 162, 173, 175, 179

Confidence bias, 178 Constraint comp, 43incentive comp, 43 non-distribution, 43 participation, 50 Consumer surplus, 86 Contracts, 146-148 Credence goods, 43 Delegation, 142, 153, 155 Dictator games, 4 Direct effect of feedback on wages, 12-13 Disapproval and gratitude, 8 Donors Forums, 86 Ex-ante inefficiency, 107-108, 119-121 **EXOGENOUS HIGH and** EXOGENOUS LOW, 236-239 Experience goods, 43 Ex-post inefficiency, 107-108, 119-121 Gender differences, 179–180 Gift-exchange games, 2 bilateral, 4-6 Governmental oversight, 86, 88 Group identity, 107 developing, 111-112

favoritism, 106 minimal group paradigm, 122n4Incentives extrinsic, 190 financial, 190-191 intensity, 191 intrinsic, 190 risk and, 191 Incentive to free ride, 230-231 Individual characteristics, 165 Indonesian Public Service, 134, 137, 138n6 Indonesian Red Cross Society (IRCS), 128, 130, 134, 136 Inefficiency ex-ante, 107-108, 119-121 ex-post, 107-108, 119-121 Information networks, 268 Information spillovers, 266-269 Information transmission, 32–33 Inputs-based (IBs) funding, 40, 48 - 49piece-rate, 70-71 wage and piece-rate, 42 Inter-generational spillovers, 268 Knowledge spillovers, 268–269 Kyoho-kai, 107 Learning, 241 Level-k model for, 23-24, 33-35 Locus of control, 148-149 Manager wage decisions, 9–14

Manager wage decisions, 9–14 Mission-matching, 128 Monitoring in principal-agent settings, 143 Nash equilibrium, 96–98 New Zealand Experimental **Economics Laboratory** (NZEEL), 110 Not-for-profit sectors, 40, 44, 47 Organizational identification, 106 - 107Pay-for-performance (pfp), incentive effect of, 190-191, 195 Payoffs, 23, 26, 29-30, 33 Performance-based (PBs) funding, 40 Performance-based incentive scheme, 193 Performance-contingent incentives, 190, 216 Peterborough Prison pilot funding scheme, 46 Piece rate, 166-167 Pittsburgh Experimental **Economics Laboratory** (PEEL), 145 Power-to-take game, 3–4, 7 Prosocial behavior, 128 Prosocial organizations, 128 ways to attract prosocial workers, 129 Prosocial preferences charity, 136-137 design, 130-133 measurement, 130-131 selection effects and selection bias, 131 social spillovers, 271-272

Ranking distribution, 172

284

Index

Relation-specific investment, 106 Relative performance, 178 Revealed Altruism theory, 109 Revenue sharing, 161, 168-169 Risk aversion, 192 elicitation, 148, 149, 200 preferences, 200 Robustness check, 181–182 Second-order effect, 92 Selection effects and selection bias, interpreting, 131 Self-confidence, 167–168 Self-regarding preferences, 108 Self-regulatory organizations (SROs), 86 Sender-receiver game, 20, 22-25 Social distance, 273 Social Finance, 41 Social identity theory, 106, 122n4 Social impact bonds (SIBs), 40, 45 - 46behavioural implications, 72 - 73design of, 63-66 Social preferences, 165-166, 179 Social psychology, 122n5 Social spillovers, 253, 270–275 individual characteristics and peer effects, 273–274 pure peer effects, 271-272 social distance and, 273 structure of the interaction, 274 - 275within and between teams, 272-273 Spillovers classification of, 252

impact on firm productivity, 263 - 264informational, 266-269 inter-generational, 268 knowledge, 268-269 social, 253, 270-275 team-based incentives, effects of. 263 technological, 253-270 Strategic procrastination, 234 - 236ENDOGENOUS treatments, 241-246 Strategy method, 113–114 Structured emotion expression, use of, 8-9Subgame-perfect Nash equilibrium, 92, 96, 98

Taiwan Social Science Experiment Laboratory (TASSEL), 25–35 Team-production environments, 230 Technological spillovers, 253 - 270incentives and, 262-266 information and, 266-270 information networks and, 268 production functions relating to workers, 259-262 in semiconductor industry, 268 - 269Time trend and attrition, 239 - 241Tournament, 169 individual, 161, 162, 169, 173, 174, 175, 178, 179, 181

team, 161, 169, 175, 179, 180 Toyota Motor Corporation, 107 Two-player sequential game, 4-6Communication and No Communication, 5-6

Ultimatum game, 2 Uncertainty, 196–198 Wage, 42 Within-subject studies, 154 Work-related stress, 197 World Values Survey (WVS), 129

Zipper matching algorithm, 6 z-Tree (Zurich Toolbox for Readymade Economic Experiments), 25

286