## INDEX

AACSB accreditation rules, 18 Active learning, 2, 5 Actual simulation, 15 Agglomeration economics, 37 Amazon, 38, 48 Aspiring entrepreneurs, 61–70 Bachelor's degree in entrepreneurship nature and worth of. 63-64 traits in. 65-67 valuing, 73-85 Boeing, 82 Boost (workshop), 93 B School, 100-101 Buffet, Warren, 75 Business basics, 3 Business ecosystem, 39 Business modeling, 24 Business plans, 21-34 in contemporary entrepreneurship education, relevancy of, 25 - 31of early-stage ventures, 26-27 escalation of commitment, 29 financial projections in, 27 five year financial forecasts, investors requirement of, 27 - 28implications for entrepreneurs and educators, 33-34 markets and environments change, impact of, 28-29strong, and entrepreneurial potential, 30

students in entrepreneurship academic programs, preparation of, 31 traditional approach to development, 23-24 user experience and interface, 29 - 30writing, 25-26 Business school to centralized center, transition from. 87–96 Career path, 83, 84-Career socialization theory, 10 Cluster(s/ing), 36, 37 Cold-calling, 16 Coleman Foundation Chair in Entrepreneurship, 90, 92 Commercialization, 38 Competence blocs, 37 Competitiveness, 48, 56 Contingency approach, 15 Core entrepreneurial content, 3 Creativity, 65 Dataset development, for entrepreneurial ecosystems, 37 - 38

Diederich College of Communication, 93 D School, 100–101

Early-stage ventures, business plans of, 26–27 eBay, 38, 48 Economic geography, 36 Economic growth, 48–51 Ecosystem, defined, 36 Edison, Thomas, 75 Edutainment, 16 Einstein, Albert, 79 Emotions, 11 Employment, 48-50, 52-55, 57 Entrepreneurial communities, 36 Entrepreneurial economy, 57 Entrepreneurial ecosystem, 35-42, 56, 57, 89, 92 components of, 37 future directions of, 40-42 as genuine concept, viewing, 39 - 40identity of, 41 integrated, at the university, 101 measurement of, 40 origins of, 36-40 routines and capabilities, 41 as weak metaphor, viewing, 37-39 Entrepreneurial mindset, 4, 10, 79 Entrepreneurial spirit, 79 Entrepreneurial traits in bachelor's degree program, 65 - 67over other competencies, primacy of. 65 Entrepreneurship centers, 87–96 Entrepreneurship curricula, 25, 32 Entrepreneurship, defined, 64 Entrepreneurship education, 23, 62, 64, 66, 69 business plans in, relevancy of, 25 - 31content of, 3-5experiential teaching and, 9-20lecture and, 1-8timing of, 3-5Entrepreneurship graduates, 83 Entrepreneurship major, 75-79 benefits of, 78-79 downside of, 83 existence and value of, 81-83 experience and, 76-77

skills, 80-81 and successful entrepreneur, 84 Entrepreneurship minor, 77 Entrepreneurship policy, 51 Environment, impact on business plans, 28-29 E School, 100-101 Ewing Marion Kauffman Foundation, 62 Experiential education, 10, 18, 76-77 contingency approach, 15 problem solving, 14 search integration, 14 simulations, 15 virtual groups, 14 Experiential learning, 6-8 Experiential learning theory, 12–13 Experiential method, 12-13 distinguished from lecture method, 15 - 17Experiential teaching, 9-20 methods, problems with, 17-18 reasons for, 10-12 Facebook, 55 Financial projections, in business plans, 27 Financing, 38 Five year financial forecasts, investors requirement of, 27-28 Flipped classroom, 3 Founders, 64-65 Franklin, Benjamin, 75

Gates, Bill, 75 Gazelle argument, 48–50 myths of, 49–50 Gazelle ventures, 54, 56 GE, 82 Google, 48, 55

Henry W. Bloch School of Management

## Index

Department of Global Entrepreneurship and Innovation, 101 Hewlett-Packard, 39 High growth venture, 53 **IBM. 39** ImpactNext Business Model Competition, 93 Innovation, 48-51, 56, 57 Integrated entrepreneurial ecosystem, 101 - 110Intel. 39 Interpersonal skills, 65 Investors requirement of five year financial forecasts. 27 - 28Isolationist perspective of venture diversity, 38 Job creation, 48, 49, 52, 54 Jobs, Steve, 75 Kauffman Campus Initiative, 95 Kauffmann Index on Startup Activity, 38 KEEN initiative, 93, 94 Kiesner, Fred, 74, 81 Klingler College of Arts and Sciences, 93 Knowledge, 64, 65 retention, 10 transfer. 37 Kohler Center for Entrepreneurship (KCE), 90-96 Ashoka U, 91 Beyond Boundaries, 91-92 centralized structure, development of. 92-95 Cross Campus Entrepreneurship Task Force, 90-91

Graduate School's Preparing Future Faculty and Professionals Program, 93 history of, 90-92 Innovation Academy, 93 Law School's Law and Entrepreneurship Clinic, 93 lessons learned, 95-96 Social Innovation Initiative, 91 - 95Strategic Innovation Fund, 92 Wakerly Media Laboratory for Innovation and Creativity, 93 Labor market pooling, 37 Leadership, 65 Lean start-up movement, 24-25 Learning active, 2, 5 experiential, 6-8 Lecture bad versus bad pedagogical tool, 5 entrepreneurship, 1-8 beauty of, 5-6method vs. experiential method, 15 - 17Lecture-centered blended approach, 8 Lecturing, 11-13, 15, 16, 19 Lifestyle venture, 53-54 LinkedIn. 55 Literature reviews, 15 Lovell, Michael, 91–92 Loyola Marymount University (LMU) bachelor's degree in entrepreneurship, 73-85 common questions/criticisms and responses, 75 entrepreneurship learning objectives, 77 entrepreneurship major, 75-79 entrepreneurship minor, 77 Managed growth venture, 53, 54

Markets, impact on business plans, 28 - 29Marquette University College of Business Administration, 90, 92.93 Commons program, 94 Enterprise Seed Fund, 94 Marquette University Alumni Association Mentoring Program, 94 Regional Innovation Center, 94 Technology Innovation Center, 94 Medium sized (managed growth) ventures, 54, 56 Metaphor, 39-40 Microenterprise (lifestyle) ventures, 53.55 Microsoft, 39 Minimum viable product (MVP), 24 MIT, 62 Money supply, 55 Motivation, task, 65

Need for autonomy, 65 Negative emotions, 11 New venture creation, 48, 64 Nobel talks, 5

OECD, 51 Opportunity discovery, quality of, 67–68 Opportunity recognition, 38 Opus College of Engineering, 93 "Out of the building" customer discovery process, 31

Pedagogy, 2, 22 Persistence, 65 Portfolio argument, 51–56 economic considerations, 54–56 venture classification, 52–54 Problem solving, 14 Productive thinking, 65 Productivity, 48, 49, 51 Push-pull concept, 52

Quality of opportunity discovery, 67–68

Regnier Institute for Entrepreneurship and Innovation, 101 REV-UP MKE (business competition), 93 Risk capital, 38

Santa Clara University Global Social Benefit Institute, 92 - 93Search integration, 14 Self-efficacy, 10-13, 16, 17, 19 Self-employment, 48, 49, 52 Simulations, 15 Skills, 64 entrepreneurship major, 80-81 interpersonal, 65 Small/lifestyle ventures, 53-54, 55 - 56Small Business Innovation Research Program, 50 Social capital, 68-69 Social entrepreneurship, 89, 91 Social innovation, 89 Social knowledge, 13 Stanford, 62 Students in entrepreneurship academic programs, business plan preparation of, 31 founder, developing, 64-65 learning styles, 8 perceptions about entrepreneurship, 10 self-efficacy, 10 Survival venture, 53

Symbiosis, 36

## Index

Task motivation, 65 Teachability, 62 Teaching experiential, 9–20 university, 10 TED talks, 5 Traits, 64, 65 Twitter, 55

Unemployment, 51, 52 University entrepreneurship, 87–96 University of Missouri-Kansas City's (UMKC's) entrepreneurial ecosystem, 101–110 co-curricular student programs, 105–108 collaboration, 110 community support, 108 curriculum/academic programs, 103–104 curriculum/cross-campus and community initiatives, 104–105 effectiveness measurement, 109–110 goal of, 109 programs and partners, 103 university support, 102–103 University teaching, 10 User experience, 29–30 User interface, 29–30

Venture classification, 52–54 Venture diversity, 38–39 Virtual groups, 14 Virtual simulation, 15

Wakerly Media Laboratory for Innovation and Creativity, 93 Wealth generation, 48 World Economic Forum, 37 Writing business plans, 25–26

YouTube, 55 Yozma Venture Capital Ltd., 50

Zuckerberg, Mark, 75