

INDEX

Note: Page numbers followed by “n” with numbers indicate notes.

- Academia
 - double minority in, 178–179
 - gender and intersectionality in, 161–162
 - parenting in, 162–164
 - race and ethnicity in, 159–160
- Academic literature, 40
- Academy Awards, 268, 269
- Academy of Motion Picture Arts and Sciences (AMPAS), 268–270
- Adolescent children, 80
- “Adult worker” formulation, 43
- Affluent mothers, 5
- Age, 118
 - old illegitimacy arguments, 43–44
- Andrés Manuel López Obrador, 202n2
- Arranging shift work around family care, 148–149
 - selected coefficients from regression analysis, 150
- Audit trails, 252
- Austrian family policy, 213
- Availability, 63
- Average variance explained (AVE), 197, 199
- Balance strategies
 - children and familial responsibilities exacerbate racial workplace challenges, 167–168
 - data and methodology, 164–166
 - findings, 166
 - gender and intersectionality in academia, 160–162
 - modify work behavior, 172–177
 - mothers/fathers in sample, 181
 - parenting in academia, 162–164
 - professional or social isolation, 168–172
 - race and ethnicity in academia, 159–160
- Basic Competence Test (BCT), 81, 94–97, 101n5
- Bayesian approach, 195
- Behavior-based conflict, 31
- Behavioral scholarship, 212
- Binary logistic regression results, 233–235
- Bioecological theory, 109, 113, 124
- Biogenetic factors, 63
- Bivariate tests, 216
- Black scholars, 159
- Blind Side, The* (Fleeman), 269
- Bootstrap method, 202n5
- Breadwinner model, 28
- British Social Attitudes Surveys, 56n1
- Career, 307
 - adaptability, 295
 - development, 295–296
 - dynamics within couple, 267
 - identity, 295
- Career achievement, 270, 284
 - correlations among study variables, 275
- Cox regression, 279–280, 281
 - data, 269–270
 - descriptive statistics, 273–274, 277
 - and divorce, 264
 - empirical strategy, 272–273
 - exceptional career success, 282
 - gender hierarchy, 282–283
 - gender ignorance, 277–278
 - effect of gender roles, 283–284
 - means of nominees and winner attributes, 276

- measures, 270–272
- relationship with, 264–265
- research setting, 268–269
- robustness checks and additional analyses, 280–282
- women, 263–264
- women, career success, and divorce, 265–268
- Caregiving, 139
- Careworkers, 246
- Central interaction hypotheses, 334
- Child well-being, 63
 - child outcomes, 64
 - determinants of father–infant engagement, 64
 - work context, 64–65
- Child(ren), 87, 96, 115, 158, 167–168, 271
 - academic performance, 81
 - achievement, 8–9
 - characteristics, 9
 - child-first parenting styles, 366
 - cognitive skills, 9
 - educational process, 81
 - family context, 84–86
 - mathematical skills, 8–9
 - outcomes, 64
 - reading skills, 8
 - school involvement, 83–84
 - school selection, 82–83
- Childcare
 - demands, 6
 - facilities, 26
- Chronic illness, 190
- Class impact on work/family balance, 53
- Cluster randomized controlled study, 68
- Commitment, 44, 65, 169, 228, 236, 294, 295, 306, 315, 327–330
- Commonality (*see* Average variance explained (AVE))
- Comparative Analysis of Social Mobility in Industrial Nations (CASMIN), 332
- Composite reliability, 198
- Computer-assisted telephone interviewing (CATI), 331
- Conceptual model, 122, 353, 359
- “Concerted cultivation”, 89
- Confidence set analysis, 356–357
- Confirmability, 301
- Confirmatory factor analysis (CFA), 198
- Contemporary families, 368–370
- Control variables, 93–94, 122, 333
- Convergent validity, 197
- Coping strategies, 212
- Covariates, 139–140
- Credibility, 301
- Critical voices, 25, 202*n*1
- Cross-sectional data set, 331
- Cultural capital, 47, 51
- Cultural political economy of welfare disgust, 44, 53
- Cultural taxation, 160, 178
- Cultural work–family support in workplaces, 325
- Culturally “de-legitimated” maternal identity, 47
- Data analysis, 251
- Data clouds, 324
- Defamilization, 26–27
- “Deficit parents”, 40
- “Degendering”, 27
- Demand characteristics, 113
- Demand-Resources framework, 211, 212
- Demographic(s), 354–355
 - changes in United States, 226
 - distribution, 251
 - shifts, 211
- Dependability, 301
- Descriptive statistics, 140–141
 - from quantitative part, 197–199
- “Detached concern” model, 260
- Diabetes (*see also* Work–family conflict (WFC)), 186, 190
 - average schooling of population, 207
 - batteries questions in questionnaire, 205–206
 - discriminant validity, 200–201
 - quali–quanti approach in parallel, 190–197

- theoretical framework, 186–190
- validity and reliability, 199–200
- Diabetic Women (DW), 191, 192, 194
- Digital networks, 324
- Digital technology, 324
- Direct maximum likelihood estimation (direct ML estimation), 94
- Discriminant validity, 197, 200–201
- Divergence, 267
- Divorce, 270
 - career achievement and, 264
 - explaining variability in, 266–268
 - women, career success, and, 265–268
- Double minority, 161–162
 - in academia, 178–179
- Double-bind syndrome, 161–162
- “Dual systems” feminist stance, 54–55
- Dual-earner family, 101*n*4
- Dual-earner family, 80–81, 87, 92, 99

- Early home visiting services (EHV services), 68
- ECLS-B (2001), 8
- Ecological family system theory, 353
- Ecological framework, 133
- Economic changes in United States, 226
- Economy, 295
- Education, 85, 118, 230
 - by location, 51
- Educational performance, 80–81, 86, 89, 92, 99–100
- Efron method, 273
- Emancipatory processes, 25
- Emotion(al), 246
 - experiences, 248
 - identification, 257–261
 - labor, 248, 249, 296
 - management, 248
 - nursing role spillover due to emotional labor, 253–256
 - roles, and work–family spillover, 250–251
- Empathic role-taking
 - emotions, 250, 251, 258
 - processes, 246
- Empirical findings, 6
- Empirical strategy, 272–273
- Empirical studies, 3, 30–34
- Employability, 295
- Employers, 111
- Employment
 - by location, 51
 - patterns of, 28–30
- Employment, 292–293, 294, 318
 - employment-related variable, 230
 - full-time, 224
 - measures, 231
 - status, 97–99
- Engagement (*see* Interaction)
- Ethnicity, 5
 - in academia, 159–160
- Eurofound data analysis, 32
- European Quality of Life Survey (EQLS), 32
- Evening shift work, 138
- Expectation violations, 270–271

- Faculty of color, 158
- Faculty of color, 158–162, 164–168, 172, 178–180
- Familial responsibilities
 - exacerbate racial workplace challenges, 167–168
- Families and Work Institute, 229
- Family, 125, 158, 366
 - background, 89
 - context, 84–86, 294–296, 333
 - ecology theory, 353
 - income, 9
 - interpersonal context, 294
 - life, 30–31
 - provision, 82, 84–86, 92–93
 - resources, 94–97
 - responsibilities, 374
 - structure, 139
- Family policies
 - models, 27
 - role of cultural values and interaction with institutional dimension, 26–27
- Family-to-work conflict, 108, 117
- Family–work conflict (FWC), 350

- Father engagement, 67–68, 70
 child outcomes, 64
 and child well-being, 63
 determinants of father–infant engagement, 64
 work context, 64–65
- Fathers, 170–172, 175–177
 ethnicity, 93–94
 fathering behavior, 63
- Female (*see also* Low-income women from family perspective), 139, 371
 in academia, 161–162
 academics, 163
 faculty of color, 158, 164, 172
 gainful employment, 26
 power, 40, 43
- Fixed-effects estimations, 333, 337
- Flexicurity, 25
- Force characteristics, 113
- Fornell–Larker criterion (FL criterion), 197, 200
- “Frustrated worker”, 51–52
- Full-time
 employment, 224
 maternal employment, 6
 paid work, 28
- Gender, 88–89, 133, 237, 307, 352, 356, 357, 361
 in academia, 161–162
 arrangement approach, 27
 differences, 97–99, 228–229, 292
 hierarchy, 282–283
 roles and marriage strain, 265–266
 violence, 192, 195
- “Gender-balanced” couples, 88
- “Gendered moral rationalities”, 46, 54
- German work organizations, 325
- “Good childhood”, 26, 27, 35, 36
- Happenstance Learning Theory (HLT), 293–294
- Health, 210
 inequity, 186
 mechanisms, 210–212
 outcomes, 224
 selectivity, 215
 work schedule and, 226–227
- Hermeneutic phenomenology, 297–298
 approach, 292
 coding protocol, 301
- High-demand workplace cultures, 325, 330, 333
- High-demand workplace cultures, 328–330
- High-income countries (HICs), 210
- “Home provision”, 85–86
- Households, 210–212
 composition, 210, 216, 221
- Human behavior, 27
- Human Intelligence Tasks (HITs), 372
- Ideal worker norm, 366
 and contemporary families, 368–370
 methods, 371
 personal support and perceived coworker support for, 371–372
 pluralistic ignorance and, 370
 sample, 372–373
 theoretical framework, 368
 variables, 371
- Inclusion criteria, 115–116, 164, 298, 300
- Individual
 behavior, 247
 characteristics, 113
 self-employed, 148
- Infants, 73
 characteristics, 64
 young infants, 62
- Institut für Arbeitsmarkt und Berufsforschung (IAB), 331
- Institutional barriers and opportunities, 63
- Intensive work environment, 112, 117
- Interaction, 63
- Interactionist approach, 247
- Interfamilial relationships, 187

- International Social Survey Program (ISSP), 213
- Intersectionality in academia, 161–162
- Intra-class correlation (ICC), 121
- Job, 307–308
 - autonomy, 332–333
 - demands–resources model, 326–327
 - industry, 231
 - satisfaction, 353
- Journal of Salud Problema*, 189
- Labor market
 - children through women’s active participation in, 25–26
 - strategy for mutual investment in women, 25–26
- Latin American Social Medicine Association (ALAMES), 187–188
- Lead teacher system in Taiwan, 84
- Learning materials, 7
- Legitimacy, lone motherhood through prism of, 44–45
- Legitimacy/de-legitimation dialectic, 41
- “Legitimate” citizenship, 41
- “Legitimate” maternal identity, 48
- “Let’s Tell Stories” subset of PreLAS 2000, 9
- Life satisfaction, 137–138, 145–147
- “Life style” approach, 186, 190
- Lifeworlds, 292, 298, 317
- Likelihood ratio test (LR test), 231, 357
- Linear growth variable, 118
- Linear mixed effect modeling, 356
- Linked Employer–employee Panel Survey (LEEP-B3), 325, 331
- Lived body, 292, 317
- Lived relationships, 292, 302, 317
- Lived space, 292, 317
- lme4 package, 356, 357
- lmerTest package, 356, 357
- Lone motherhood through prism of legitimacy, 44–45
- Lone mothers’ negotiation
 - analysis, 46–47
 - impact of class on work/family balance, 53
 - contrasts in mothers’ employment situations between locations, 50–52
 - findings, 47
 - lone motherhood through prism of legitimacy, 44–45
 - methods, 45
 - policy and discourse context, 41–44
 - sample and data collection, 46
 - work orientation and stigma avoidance across sample, 47–50
- Loss and work, 312–314
- Low-income women from family perspective
 - critical theorizing, 316–317
 - data analysis, 301
 - data collection, 300
 - emergent categories and themes, 302–303
 - employment, 292–293
 - hermeneutic phenomenology study, 297–299
 - implications, 317–318
 - lack of intentional career, 315
 - limitations, 318–319
 - loss, resilience and work, 312–314
 - motherhood and work, 310–312
 - purpose to work, 303–306
 - results, 302–314
 - self-as-researcher, 300–301
 - theoretical perspective, 293–294
 - work, 306–310
 - work and family context, 294–296
 - work and low-income women, 296–297
- Loyalty, 327
- Marital relationship, 236–237
- Marital resource model, 225
- Marital status, 118, 230, 237
 - nonstandard work and health across, 227–228

- Market
 citizenship, 42–43
 transformation, 187
- Marriage
 gender roles and marriage strain,
 265–266
 married nonstandard shift workers,
 226, 227–228
 married people, 236
 social integration across, 225–226
- Maternal employment, 80–81,
 108–109
 effects on children, 2
- Maternal full-time employment, 2
- Mean-time well-being, 189
- Mechanical Turk (MTurk), 372
- Mediation models, 71
- Memo-writing, 253
- Meso-systems, 352
 linkage, 81
- Mexican labor market, 186
- Mexican neoliberal model, 189
- Microsystems, 352
- Midpoint translation, 9
- Midwestern integrated
 cooperative, 115
- “Mixed methods research”
 approach, 190
- Mobile communication devices, 324
- Motherhood and work, 310–312
- Motherhood myth, 89
- Motherhood pay penalty, 43
- Mothers, 75, 111–112, 169–170,
 172–175
 age, 9
 background characteristics, 9
 in couples with low level of
 education, 29
 education, 9
 employment situations between
 locations, 50–52
 partnership status, 9
 race-ethnicity, 9
 time allocations, 6
 of young children, 27
- “Motherwork”, 296
- Motivation for night work
 (*see also* Nonstandard
 work schedule)
 analysis plan, 140
 impact of arranging shift work
 around family care,
 148–149, 150
 covariates, 139–140
 data source, 136–137
 descriptive statistics, 140–141
 evening and night shift work, 138
 family structure, 139
 measures, 137
 method, 136
 present study, 135–136
 reasons for work schedule, 139
 results, 140, 142–145, 145–147
 robustness checks, 148
 schedule motivation among shift
 workers, 134–135
 selected coefficients from regression
 analysis, 155–156
 shift work and well-being, 133–134
 theoretical overview, 133
 worker well-being, 137–138
- Multi-faceted household dependency,
 210, 220–222
 baseline set of logistic
 regressions, 217
 data and methods, 213–215
 distributions of harmonized
 ISSP 2015 and GSS 2014
 variables, 216
 distributions of variables, 215
 households, work–family conflict,
 and health mechanisms,
 210–212
 hypotheses, 213
 logistic regressions predicting fair/
 poor self-rated health,
 218–219
 work–family policy differences
 between countries, 212–213
- Multilevel fixed-effects regression
 models, 344*n*1
- Multilevel modeling, 118

- Multiple imputation methods, 11
- Multiple marginality, 161–162, 178–179
- Multiple mixed effect models, 356
- Multiple work-related roles, 246
- Multivariate analyses, 333–334
- Multivariate logistic regressions, 216–218
- National Center for Education Statistics, 8
- National Center for Health Statistics, 132
- National Health and Aging Study in Mexico (MHAS), 190
- National Study of the Changing Workforce (NSCW), 229
- National Survey of Occupation and Employment (ENOE), 186
- Neoliberal policies, 189
- Neoliberal transformation, 189
- Nested binary logistic regression models, 231
- Night shift work, 132–134, 138
- 1979 National Longitudinal Survey of Youth (NLSY79), 4
- Non-for-profit agency, 115
- Non-marital birth, 42
- Nonstandard work schedule (*see also* Motivation for night work), 111, 117, 224–225, 230, 238
 - analytic methods, 231
 - binary logistic regression results, 233–235
 - data, 229–230
 - descriptive statistics of study sample, 232–233
 - gender differences, 228–229
 - interaction tests, 235–236
 - limitations, 237–238
 - marital relationship, 236–237
 - measures, 230–231
 - nonstandard work and health across marital status, 227–228
 - social integration across work and marriage, 225–226
 - work schedule and health, 226–227
- Nonstandard workers, 237
- Nuclear family model, 187
- Null hypothesis significance testing (NHST), 94–95
- Nurses, 246, 248, 250
 - emotional identification, 257–262
 - emotional labor and work–family spillover, 248–250
 - emotions, roles, and work–family spillover, 250–251
 - empathic role-taking processes, 246
 - exercising agency in attempt to reducing work–family spillover, 256–257
 - findings, 253
 - methods, 251–253
 - role spillover to emotional labor on job, 253–256
 - role theory and symbolic interactionism, 247–248
- NVivo coding process, 252
- “Off-shifting” couple, 132, 135
- Ombudsman’s study, 33
- “Ongoing, flexible role-related negotiation”, 67
- Ordinary-least-squares regression (OLS regression), 10, 14–15, 140, 333, 379*n*3
- Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD), 190
- Oscars, 264–265, 268, 272
- Overall life satisfaction, 136
- Panel Analysis of Intimate Relationships and Family Dynamics (PAIR FAM), 353
- Panel Study of Income Dynamics, 3
- Parental involvement
 - analytic strategies, 94
 - and children’s academic performance, 81–86
 - control variables, 93–94
 - data and sample, 90–92
 - determinants, 86

- dual-earner family, 92
- educational performance, 92
- gender, 88–89
- gender difference, 97–99
- interplay between family resources, and BCT scores, 94–97
- literature review, 81
- methods, 90
- parental employment status, 86–88, 97–99
- parental family involvement, 92–93
- parental school involvement, 83, 92
- profile description, 94
- research questions, 81
- results, 94
- variables, 92
- Parenting/parental/parents, 87, 372
 - in academia, 162–164
 - behaviors, 62
 - education, 89–90
 - educational level, 93–94
 - leave, 34
- Partnered fathers, 132–133
- Partnered mothers, 140–141
- Partnership status, 5–6
- Peabody Picture Vocabulary Test–Third Edition, 8
- Peer-checking process, 301
- Perceived coworker support, 371–372
- Person-centered model, 295
- Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act (PRWORA), 295
- Personal support, 371–372
- Phenomenological analysis, 292
- PLS approach, 195, 196, 198, 202*n*4
- Pluralistic ignorance, 367, 370
- Poland, 24, 28
 - full-time employment, 29
 - young people in, 31
- Policy and discourse context, 41–44
- Potential sandwich generation, 222*n*2
- PreLAS 2000, 8
- Preschool Comprehensive Test of Phonological and Print Processing, 8
- Process–Person–Context–Time model (PPCT model), 110, 353
- “Production”, 186, 187, 189, 202*n*1
- Professional achievement, 269, 282, 284
- Professional activity of women with household responsibilities
 - employment patterns and working time distribution of couples, 28–30
 - reconciling parenthood and professional work, 30–34
- Professional or social isolation, 168
 - fathers, 170–172
 - mothers, 169–170
- Proximal processes, 110–111
- Proximate fame, 282
- Psychosocial ecological factors, 63
- Public expenditure, 25
- Quadratic growth, 118
- Quali–quanti approach, 190
 - Monterrey (Nuevo León), 194
 - qualitative phase, 191–193
 - quantitative phase, 193
 - SEM-PLS method, 195–197
 - social process, 190–191
 - Tuxtla Gutierrez (Chiapas), 193
- Qualitative scholars, 135
- Quality of life, 247
- Race, 5, 117
 - in academia, 159–160
- Racial battle fatigue, 160, 178
- “Recognition and resource allocation”, 41–42
- Reflexive bracketing, 301
- Registered nurses (RNs), 246
- Regression models, 142
- Relationship
 - mediating and moderating, 215
 - quality, 109, 117
 - satisfaction, 355
- Relative career achievement, 271
- Reliability, 199–200
- Reporting greater workaholism, 350

- “Representative voice” of people of color, 160
- Research productivity, 162–163
- Resilience and work, 312–314
- Resource characteristics, 113
- Responsibility, 63
- Restricted maximum likelihood (REML), 118
- Rigid segregation, 172–173
- Robust family policies, 212
- Robustness checks, 148
- Role balance theory, 63
- Role overload, 67
- Role stress theory, 67
- Role theory, 247–248
 - emotions, work–family spillover and, 250–251
- Role-enhancement theory, 249–250
- Role-person merger, 247–248
- Role-related attitudes and behaviors, 246
- Role-taking emotions, 248, 250

- Sandwich generation, 211
- Satterthwaite’s method, 357
- Schedule motivation among shift workers, 134–135
- Scholars, 178
- School involvement, 83–84, 99
 - indicator, 92
- School selection, 82–83, 92–93, 99
- Self-as-researcher, 300–301
- Self-efficacy, 316
- Self-employed individuals, 148
- Self-employment, 231
- Self-rated health, 230, 237
- Self-rated health–family composition, 214
- SEM–CB, 195, 202*n*4
- Semi-structured interview protocol, 298
 - question protocol, 300
- Sensitivity analysis, 222*n*2, 345*n*2
- Service economy, 224
- Sexual satisfaction, 355
- Shift work, 133
 - and well-being, 133–134
- Shott’s approach, 250–251
- “Single mums scrounging off the state”, 40
- Single-earner families, 80–81, 99
- Single-earner mother-headed families, 91
- Single-parent families, 366
- Skills, 63
 - cognitive, 9
 - mathematical, 8–9
 - reading, 8
- Social and structural factors, 66
- Social capital, 83, 190
- Social changes, 34
- Social citizenship, 42
- Social cohesion, 190, 199
- Social constructionism, 301
- Social control
 - of health behaviors, 225
 - theory, 83
- Social Development Act, 190
- Social Diagnosis, 33
- Social inclusion, 190
- Social integration across work and marriage, 225–226
- Social investment policy, 25–26
- Social medicine, 187–189
- Social mobility, 190
- Social patterns, 26
- Social phenomenon, 110, 186, 189
- Social statuses, 247
- Social support, 236
- Social threat, 43
- Social wellbeing, 195
- Social-contextual factor, 64–65
- Societal factors, 315
- Socio-cultural context, 41
- Socio-economic profiles, 50
- Sociodemographic variable, 230
- Socioeconomic factors, 297
- Socioeconomic status (SES), 5, 139–140
- Sociological imagination, 24
- Sociological reflection on
 - transformation of post-industrial societies, 25

- Spousal support, 111
- Stability in mothers' work hours, 3
 analytical plan, 10–11
 background characteristics relating to, 4–6
 and children's achievement in Kindergarten, 6–7, 13, 16
 data, 8
 descriptive statistics for mothers' work hours, 11
 during early childrearing years, 3–4, 11
 measures, 8–10
 method, 8–11
 multinomial logistic regression estimates, 12
 present study, 7–8
 weighted means for children's achievement and mothers' and children's characteristics, 10
- Stable full-time employment, 2
- Standard errors, 333
- Standard work schedules, 226, 230
- Stigma avoidance across sample, 47–50
- Stigmatization, 40
- Strain-based conflict, 31, 326
- Strain-based work–family conflict, 332
 linear prediction, 339, 340
- Stress, 67–68, 70
 work–family, 64–65
- Structural equation model, 196
- Structural equation model using Partial Least Squares method (SEM-PLS method), 193, 195–197
 bootstrap, 200
- Student status, 231
- Subjective wellbeing, 189, 195, 196
- Supervisor support, 108–109
- Supplemental analyses, 379*n*2, 379*n*3
- Supports, 63
- Symbolic interactionism (SI), 247–248, 294
- Symbolic interactionist role theory, 250
- t*-tests, 101*n*5, 231
- “Tag-team” couple, 132
- Taiwan, 80
- Taiwan Youth Project (TYP), 86
- Taiwanese educational system, 82
- Telecommuting, 325
- Telework, 324, 325
 correlations of main study variables, 336
 data and method, 330–334
 in dependence on work–family-supportive and high-demand workplace cultures, 326–328
 ethical note, 331–332
 means and SDs of study variables, 335
 measures, 332–333
 results, 334–341
 work–family-supportive and high-demand workplace cultures, 328–330
- Telework#Colleague Support, 334
- Telework#High-demand workplace culture, 334
- Telework#Supervisor support, 334
- Time-based conflict, 31, 326
- Time-based work–family conflict, 332
 linear prediction, 338, 339
- Time-invariant control, 271–272
- Time-sensitive variables, 237
- Time-varying control, 271–272
- Traditional role theory, 247
- Transferability, 301
- Two-tiered approach, 315
- Universidad Autónoma Metropolitana-Xochimilco (UAM), 188
- US General Social Survey (GSS), 213
- Validity, 199–200
- Victim-blaming, 66
- Violence, 193, 195
- Vocation theories, 292, 293
- Welfare Reform Act, 43
- Welfare regimes models, 26

- Well-being, 210, 295
 child, 63–65
 mean-time, 189
 shift work and, 133–134
 worker, 137–138
- Winner, 270
- Women, 169, 172
 career success, and divorce, 265
 employment, 3
 explaining variability in divorce, 266–268
 gender roles and marriage strain, 265–266
- Work, 62, 186, 293, 306–310
 context, 64–65
 environment, 108–109
 and family context, 294–296
 flexibilization, 327
 loss, resilience and work, 312–314
 and low-income women, 296–297
 meaning, 394
 motherhood and, 310–312
 orientation and stigma avoidance
 across sample, 47–50
 purpose to, 303–306
 satisfaction, 355, 360
 social integration across, 225–226
 stress, 108–109
 time, 231
 values, 302, 306, 394
 work-related emotional demands, 248
 work-related social functions, 169
 work–family-supportive cultures, 330
 work–family-supportive workplace
 cultures, 328–330
- Work behavior modification, 172
 fathers, 175–177
 mothers, 172–175
- Work schedule, 230
 and health, 226–227
 reasons for, 139
- Worker
 citizenship, 44
 model of citizenship, 41–42
 well-being, 137–138
- Work–family
 boundary, 30
 domains, 292
 enrichment, 65
 policy differences between
 countries, 212–213
 scholars, 3
 stress, 64–65
- Work–family balance (*see also*
 Work–life balance
 (WLB)), 62, 65, 70, 213,
 295
 analysis, 70–71, 72
 child well-being, 63–65
 class on, 53
 father engagement, 63–65, 70
 future directions, 75–76
 literature review, 63
 measures, 69
 methods, 68
 procedure and instrument, 68
 results, 71–72
 sample, 68, 69
 strengths and limitations, 74
 stress, 70
 stress, father engagement, and
 work–family balance,
 67–68
 theoretical framework, 63
 working status, 69
- Work–family conflict (WFC), 31, 108,
 116–117, 134, 136, 142–145,
 187, 210–212, 295, 325, 326,
 350, 351, 367
 age, 118
 analysis plan, 118–120
 correlations of main study
 variables, 336
 data and method, 330–334
 in dependence on work–family-
 supportive and high-
 demand workplace cultures,
 326–328
 discussion, 123–126
 education, 118
 ethical note, 331–332

- family-to-work conflict, 117
- intensive work environment, 117
- limitations, 126
- marital status, 118
- means and SDs of study
 - variables, 335
- measures, 116, 332–333
- method, 114
- nonstandard work schedule, 117
- procedure, 115–116
- race, 117
- relationship quality, 117
- results, 120–123, 334–341
- sample, 114–115
- theoretical framework, 110–114
- work-to-family conflict, 116–117
- Work–Family Socialization
 - model, 85
- Work–family spillover, 64–65,
 - 246, 253
 - emotional labor and, 248–250
 - emotions, roles, and, 250–251
 - exercising agency in attempt to
 - reducing, 256–257
- Workfare measures, 43
- Working
 - status, 69
 - time distribution of couples with
 - children, 28–30
- Work–life balance (WLB) (*see also*
 - Work–family balance), 316, 350, 358, 359
 - analysis, 356–357
 - correlation table, 356
 - descriptive and significance of
 - variables from final
 - model, 355
 - future directions, 361–362
 - limitations, 361
 - literature review, 351–353
 - measures, 354–355
 - mediating effect of sexual
 - satisfaction, 360
 - methods, 353–357
 - model selection–selection of nested
 - models, 357
 - participants, 354
 - present study, 353
 - results, 357–358
 - theory, 352–353
- Workplace
 - culture, 324, 325, 329
 - resources and demands, 332
 - support, 64–65
- World Health Organization, 186
- World Value Survey, 189
- Young infants, 62