

# SUBJECT INDEX

- Ableism, 23, 24
- Academic staff, challenge for, 140–142
- Academic success measurement, 36–37
- Accessibility and acceptance for  
    university students with  
    diverse abilities  
    American higher education, 17  
    ASP, 24–25  
    community and identity, 23–24  
    disability in higher education, 18–20  
    DS, 20–21  
    equitable access legislation, 15–16  
    equitable education legislation,  
        16–17  
    equity in higher education, 14–15  
    exclusivity in American higher  
        education, 18  
    faculty and staff engagement, 21–23  
    raising awareness and acceptance  
        through ASP, 25–26
- Accessibility lawsuits, 36
- Accessibility Studies Program (ASP),  
    14, 24–25  
    raising awareness and acceptance  
        through, 25–26
- Accommodation and Disability  
    Action Planning Team  
    (ADAPT), 21
- Accommodation requirements, 35
- Affective factors, 119
- African American students, 77, 81, 90  
    biculturalism for, 78  
    linguistics of racism, 78–79  
    persistence, 76, 80, 82  
    race and ethnic background entry  
        characteristics, 77  
    Revised Retention Model at PWUs,  
        78
- “Air-time”, 99
- Alienation, 67–68
- American Association of State  
    Colleges and Universities, 51
- American Council on Education, 51
- American higher education, 17  
    exclusivity in, 18
- American undergraduate degrees, 17
- American university students with  
    disabilities, 16
- Americans with Disabilities Act  
    (ADA), 15, 35  
    and 504 accommodations, 35–36  
    reasonable accommodation, 36  
    uniformity in higher education, 36
- Anxiety, 21, 32, 132, 134, 135, 137, 139
- “Anything but race” strategy, 80
- Assistive Technology of Act (1998), 16
- Association of American Medical  
    Colleges, 114
- Auto-ethnographic research, 100
- Barriers in higher education, 66
- Basic housing allowance (BAH), 49
- Biculturalism for African-American  
    students, 78
- Biculturalism, 78–79
- Black–White binary racial concept, 102
- Blindness, 36
- Bonilla-Silva’s study, 78–79
- Bourdieu’s theory of capital, 149
- Brief Resilience Scale (BRS), 117, 119
- Campus culture, 53
- Carnegie Foundation for Advancement  
    of Teaching, 81
- Central Washington University  
    (CWU), 14, 17, 21, 23  
    ASP, 25
- Children first-generation learners, 149

- "Choice-avoiders", 156
- Civil Rights Act (1964), 15–16
- Cohen *d* for *t*-tests, 118–119
- Cohesive identity, 54
- Color-blind racism, 78–79
- Community, 14, 23–24
  - Latino Student Organization and, 68–69
  - of practice, 56
- Competence, 20, 24, 25, 91
- Complex intersectional interplays, 102
- Council for the Advancement of Higher Education Standards (CAS), 56
- Critical Race theory (CRT), 66
- Cronbach's alpha estimates, 119
- Cross's model, 77
- Cultural capital, 149
- Curriculum, 23, 25
  
- Data analysis, 39, 118–119, 152–161
- Data collection
  - and analysis, 83, 85–89
  - procedure, 39, 151–152
  - tools for, 151
- Decision, 150, 151
- Deficit cognitive frame, 49
- Demographics, 119
- Descriptive coding, 65
- Descriptive statistics, 82, 83, 118
- Differential socialization process, 150
- Direct racial language, avoiding, 79
- Disability, 18, 21
  - in higher education, 18–20
- Disability services (DS), 14, 20–21
- Discrimination, 105
- Diversity, 62, 63, 69–70
- "Dominant paradigm", 49
  
- Economist, The*, 17
- Education of All Handicapped Children Act (1975), 16
- Educational attainment, 148, 149
- Educators, 49, 51, 55, 56
- Elementary and Secondary Education Act (1965), 16
  
- Emotions, 133
  - as new students at university, 67–68
- "Encounter" stage in Cross' model, 77
- English as a second language (ESL), 123
- Environmental microaggressions, 107
- Equitable access legislation, 15–16
- Equitable education legislation, 16–17
- Equity
  - cognitive frame, 49
  - in higher education, 14–15
- Every Student Succeeds Act, 16
- Exclusivity in American higher education, 18
- Experiences as new students at university, 67–68
- EYES theory, 96, 102–104
  - international students, 97–98
  - looking glass-self and racial identity development, 104–105
  - potential research implications, 107–108
  - preliminary conceptual framework, 103
  - racial experiences of international students in United States, 98–100
  - racial microaggressions, 105–107
  - racialization and international students in US higher institutions, 100–102
  - theoretical framework, methodology, 100–107
  
- Face-to-face conversations, 134
- Faculty and staff engagement, 21–23
- Failure
  - events, 121
  - Fear of academic failure, 135–137
  - in medical school, 117
- Financial incentive to enroll in college, 52–53
- Fine balance, 140–142
- First-generation Latinx students (FGLS), 63
- First-generation learners, 149

- First-generation students, 148, 155
  - differences in educational planning and realization, 157–161
- Focus-group interviews, 98
- Forever GI Bill, 32
- Fulfilled civilian self, 54
- General Education requirements, 17
- Generalized Self-Efficacy Scale (GSE), 117
- GI Bill, 32, 48
  - post-9/11 GI Bill, 52
- Government Accounting Office (GAO), 18
- Graduate degrees, 123
- Graduate Medical Education (GME), 114
- Handicap, 18
- Harry W. Colmery Veterans Educational Assistance Act, 32
- HEIs, 131–136, 139, 141
- Hidden wounds, 30
  - impact in higher education, 33
- Higher education (HE), 63, 131
  - disability in, 18–20
  - in England and mature students, 131–132
  - equity in, 14–15
  - impact of hidden wounds in, 33
  - student support in, 34–35
  - uniformity in, 36
- Higher Education Act (1965), 16
- Higher Education Compliance Alliance, 35
- Higher Education Opportunity Act (2008), 16
- Hispanic Serving Institution (HSI), 14
- Human motivation theories, 31, 33
- Identity, 23–24
  - cohesive, 54
  - racial identity development, 104–105
  - social, 97, 104
- Impairment, 18
- Improvised explosive devices (IEDs), 32
- In-depth interviewing structure, 65
- Indian higher education system, 148
  - data analysis technique, 152–161
  - data collection procedure, 151–152
  - literature review, 148–149
  - methodology, 151–161
  - participants, 151
  - theoretical framework, 149–150
  - tools for data collection, 151
- Individualized education program (IEP), 16
- Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA), 16, 35
- Inequality, 148, 149
- Institute of International Education (IIE), 97
- Institutional barriers impacting student veterans, 50–51
- Instructional Materials Accessibility Act (2002), 16
- Instrumentation, 38–39
- International students, 97–98
  - in US higher institutions, 100–102
- Interviews, 38
- Kruskal–Wallis one-way analysis of variance, 85
- Kruskal–Wallis test, 83
- Latina/o critical race theory (LatCrit), 63, 66
- Latinx student organization (LSO), 62
  - providing community and sense of belonging, 68–69
- Latinx students, 62, 64
- Learning disabilities, 21
- Leave of absence (LOA), 119
- Linguistics of racism, 78–80
- Loneliness, 67–68
- Looking glass-self theory, 104–105
- Lower-income students, 76
- Maslow's hierarchy of needs, 31, 34
- Mature students, 130
  - academic challenges, 135–137

- external challenges to academic attainment, 135–137
- fear of academic failure, 135–137
- fine balance and challenge for academic staff, 140–142
- inclusive practice, 133–135
- listening to student voice, 138–140
- personalised approach and “sense of belonging”, 132–133
- research context, 131–132
- social integration, 137–138
- student enrolment numbers at English HEIs, 131
- Medical school, 114, 115
- Medical students, 115, 121, 122, 123
- Microaggressions, 23, 106, 107
- Microassaults, 106–107
- Microinsults, 107
- Microinvalidations, 107
- Middle-class habitus, 155
- Military culture, 53
- Military service and disabilities, 31–33
- Military-related trauma, 56
- Mortification, 104
- Multicollinearity, 83
- Multidimensional Scale of Perceived Social Support (MSPSS), 34
  - instrument, 39
  - modified, 38, 40, 41
- National Association of Student Personnel Administrators, 51
- National Association of Veteran’s Program Administrators, 51
- National Center for Education Statistics (NCES), 50–51
- National Resident Matching Program (NRMP), 115
- Negative shifters, 156
- Negatively focused group category, 157
- Neoracism, 98
- Niche-provider setting, 138
- Nigrescence model, 77
- No Child Left Behind Act (2001), 16
- Nontraditional student, 51
- Participant demographics, 40, 65
- “Patterns and Trends in UK HE 2017” report, 130
- Peer support system, 137
- People with disabilities (PWDs), 14
- Perceptions, 99
- Persistence of African-American students (*see also* Student persistence and retention models), 76, 77
  - data collection and analysis, 83
  - methodology, 81–83
  - population of interest, 81
  - PWU characteristics, 81
  - ranking variables, 81–82
  - research question, 81
  - results, 83–90
  - variables, 82–83
- Phenomenological approach, 37
- Phraseology, 107
- Planning stage, 152
- Population
  - camouflage, 54
  - of interest, 81
  - and sample, 37–38
- Positive shifters, 156
- “Positively focused” group category, 153–154
- Post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD), 30, 34, 55, 56
- Postsecondary education, 16
- Predominantly white schools (PWSs), 79
- Predominantly White Universities (PWUs), 77
  - characteristics, 81
- Predominately White Institutions (PWIs), 62
  - diversity underestimated, 69–70
  - emotions and experiences as new students at university, 67–68
  - findings, 66
  - institutional factors, 69–71
  - literature review, 63–64
  - LSO providing community and sense of belonging, 68–69

- methodology, 64–71
- participant demographics, 65
- superficial institutional support, 70–71
- theoretical constructs, 66
- Pride, 104
- Psychosocial variables, 76
- Race/racial/racism, 101, 102
  - experiences of international students in United States, 98–100
  - identity development, 104–105
  - linguistics of, 78–80
  - microaggressions, 105–107
- Racialization in US higher institutions, 100–102
- Realization stage, 152
- Reasonable accommodation, 36
- Rehabilitation Act (1973), 15, 16, 35
- Reliability, 38–39
- Remediation interventions, 121
- Research experiences and products, 122
- Research Universities with Very High research activities (RU/VH), 81
- Residency, 114
- Residency “match” outcomes, 121
  - graduate degrees, 123
  - research experiences and products, 122
  - USMLE step scores, 122
  - work and volunteer experiences, 122–123
- Resiliency, 115, 120
  - affective factors, 119
  - data analysis, 118–119
  - demographics, 119
  - failure events, 121
  - instruments, 117–118
  - methods, 116–118
  - remediation interventions, 121
  - residency “match” outcomes, 121–123
  - resident selection, 115–116
  - results, 118–123
  - sample, 116
  - sampling procedure, 117
  - self-compassion, 120–121
  - self-efficacy, 119–120
- Respondent-driven sampling, 117
- Return to education, 132
- Scheduled castes/scheduled tribes (SC/ST), 148, 149
  - habitations, 149
- Self-compassion, 120–121
- Self-Compassion Scale-Short Form (SCS-SF), 117
- Self-constructed questionnaire, 151
- Self-efficacy, 119–120
- Self-feelings, 104
- Semantic moves, 79–80
- Sense of belonging, 132–133, 135
- Servicemen’s Readjustment Act (1944), 48
- Social capital, 149
- Social environment, 34
- Social identity, 97, 104
- Social integration, 137–138
- Socialization practices, 101
- Socioeconomic status (SES), 76
- Spearman’s rank correlation coefficient, 83, 85, 89
- Spearman’s rho (*see* Spearman’s rank correlation coefficient)
- Staff intervention, 138
- Standardization, 41
- Stigmatization, 32–33
- Stratified sampling technique, 151
- Student Consultation Team, 20
- Student involvement theory, 31, 34
- Student mortality, 77
- Student persistence and retention models (*see also* Persistence of African-American students), 77
  - avoiding direct racial language, 79
  - linguistics of racism, 78–80
  - Rodgers and Summers’ revised, 77–78
  - semantic moves, 79–80

- Student support in higher education, 34–35
- Student veterans, 30
  - academic success measurement, 36–37
  - ADA and 504 accommodations, 35–36
  - brief framework, 31
  - data analysis, 39
  - data collection procedure, 39
  - demographics, 51–52
  - financial incentive to enroll in college, 52–53
  - finding gap, 37
  - impact of hidden wounds in higher education, 33
  - implications and recommendations, 42–43
  - institutional barriers impacting, 50–51
  - instrumentation, 38–39
  - military service and disabilities, 31–33
  - opportunities and challenges, 53–56
  - opportunity and significance, 30–31
  - participant demographics, 40
  - population and sample, 37–38
  - presentation of data, 40–42
  - research methodology and design, 37–39
  - response of colleges and universities, 56–57
  - stigmatization, 32–33
  - student support in higher education, 34–35
  - study findings, 39–42
  - support needs, 33–34
  - transition to civilian life, 32
  - validity and reliability, 38–39
- Subjective experiences of feelings, 116
- Superficial institutional support, 70–71
- Support needs, 33–34
- Survey methodology, 81–82
- Systemic microaggressions, 107
- Tech Act (2004) (*see* Assistive Technology of Act (1998))
- Timetabling, 139
- Transition to civilian life, 32
- Traumatic brain injuries (TBI), 30
- “Tunnel vision” intervention approaches, 130
- Undergraduate Medical Education (UME), 114
- Undergraduate retention, 77
- Uniformity in higher education, 36
- United Nations Convention on Rights of Persons with Disabilities, 14, 19
- United States Medical Licensing Exam (USMLE), 119
  - step scores, 122
- United States military veteran
  - institutional barriers impacting student veterans, 50–51
  - student veteran experience, 51–57
- University and Colleges Admission Services (UCAS), 130
- “Unstable choosers”, 156
- US Census Bureau Report of Survey of Income and Program Participation, 21
- US Department of Education, 35
- US international medical graduates (US IMGs), 114, 115
- Validity, 38–39
- Variables, 82–83
  - psychosocial, 76
  - ranking, 81–82
- Verbal strategies, 79
- Virtual learning environments, 139
- Vocational program, 133
- White/Anglo-Saxon culture, 62
- Whiteness, 99, 100
- Widening participation (WP), 130
- Women veterans, 55
- Work and volunteer experiences, 122–123
- Workforce Investment Act (1998), 16