

Index

Note: Page numbers followed by “n” with numbers indicate notes.

- Ability/abilities, 1, 6, 10–11, 31–32
 - instructional support services, 105
 - to persist, 153, 157
- Academic success center
 - component assessment, 121
 - service assessment, 120
- Academic years (AYx), 146, 175, 178
- Accreditation, 71, 111
- Acculturation, 4
- Achieve/achievable/achievement, 16–17, 31, 63, 78, 80, 97, 142, 155
- Acquisition, 150
- Act, 164
- Action planning process, 171–172, 189–191
- Activities, 4, 17, 79, 102
- Actual functional performance, 135, 140
- Acyclical data, 192
 - in ML, 7
- Adapted/adaptable/adaptability, 2, 7
- Advocacy, 109, 124
- Aggregated/aggregate(s)/aggregating/
 - aggregation(s), 2–3, 7, 10–11, 26, 29, 36, 39–41, 52–53, 62, 73, 75, 77, 87, 90, 96–98, 113, 115, 119, 121, 126, 129, 131, 133–134, 158, 161, 192
 - correlation matrix, 136
 - functional data into causal triads, 135
 - KPIs clusters based on strength of correlation, 137–138
- Agile, 51
- Agility, 43
- Aktas, E., 135
- Al-Anzi, K. S., 24
- Alaee, F. F., 33
- Alan, B., 17, 140, 150, 156, 172
- Aldge, J. M., 77
- Alfirević, N., 143, 155
- Algorithms, 6
 - as measurement strategies, 7
 - select and frame performance of interactions, 192
- Ali, L., 31
- Alibali, M. W., 4, 13, 115
- Align, 15, 18
- Alignment, 10, 18, 33, 39, 64, 147
- Allen, J., 77
- Allmer, J., 219
- Alpaydin, E., 6
- Alston, G. L., 36
- Alt, D. d., 36, 57
- Altaf, A., 11, 164
- Amabile, T. M., 3–4
- Amalgamation, 4
- American Libraries Association*, 107
- Americans with Disabilities Act, 21, 108
- Amin N., 23
- Aminbeidokhti, A., 139
- Ammirato, S., 164
- Analysis/analyses, 3, 11–12, 39
- Analytic(s), 6, 173
- Anchors, 43
- Angelides, P., 171
- Anohina-Naumeca, A., 25
- Antony, J. S., 133, 140, 164
- Applied, 7, 64, 144, 157, 177
- Appreciate, 46–47, 58–59
- Aptitudes, 25, 28
- Aranha, C. N., 17
- Armon, B. K., 32

- Articulate(d), 1–3, 10, 15–18, 20–21, 32–33, 36, 52, 78, 99, 108, 129, 140, 144, 154, 156, 178, 181, 189
- Artifact, 83–87
- Artificial intelligence (AI), 6, 8–9, 190
visualizations, 193
- Artificial Neural Network (ANN), 9, 193
- Ashour, A., 142
- Aspirational/aspirations, 15–16
- Assess/assessing/assessment, 1–3, 6, 11, 22, 31, 39, 41, 113, 115, 119, 126, 144, 146, 190
authentic application, 154
career services service, 127–128
through competing-values approach, 156, 158, 160–161
competing-values, 22
component level, 115
counseling services service, 125–126
course components, 90–98
disabilities services component, 124
disabilities services service, 123–124
experiential learning, 115–119
global student services, 126, 129–131
identification and articulation of KPIs for institution, 151–153
institutional effectiveness, 173
process, 21–22
through strategic constituency approach, 155–156, 159
through strategic contingencies approach, 154–155, 158
through systems approach, 146, 150
trending report of sensemaking data for institution, 157
- Association learning, 8, 192
- Attainment, 142
- Attract stakeholders, 150–151
- Attributes, 4–9, 11–12, 16, 31–33, 36, 38, 40, 42, 51, 55, 62, 64, 78, 111, 155, 158, 171
- Authentic(ity), 1, 5, 15, 22, 42, 173, 193
- Authentic data, 5, 190
measure performance of functional systems, 133
in ML, 7
models, 161
- Averages (Avg)
GPA of withdrawing students, 177
length of time to complete program, 178
program GPA of graduates, 177
time to withdraw from program, 177
- Badawy, M., 3
- Badia, A., 2
- Baetz, M. C., 10, 15
- Baldasare, A., 102
- Baldwin, W. G., 36
- Balint, A. O., 173
- Banta, T. W., 22
- Barnett, R., 32
- Bart, C. K., 10, 15
- Bauer, 102
- Bazeley, P., 6, 164
- Bedeian, A. G., 6
- Beehr, T. A., 101
- Beemer, J., 134
- Behavioral/behavior(s), 17, 48, 60, 79, 161
- Beliefs, 17
- Bell, L. M., 77
- Benbow, R. J., 23
- Bennis, W. G., 171
- Bhatt, C., 142
- Bidermann, P. W., 171
- Binswanger, J., 4, 11, 13, 115
- Birnbaum, H. G., 143
- Birri, T., 51
- Bishop, C., 199
- Blackmore, P., 33
- Blair, E., 80
- Blanchard, N., 36
- Blignaut, R., 172
- Bloom, B. S., 196
- Bloom's, 51

- Blouch, W. E., 33
- Bock, D. E., 36
- Boden, M. A., 8
- Bontis, N., 10
- Borges, M. N., 36
- Bortree, 164
- Borysowich, 172
- Bowling, N. A., 101
- Bowman-Bowen, L. C., 18
- Boyle, A., 164
- Bozeman, B., 64
- Brandon, A. F., 57
- Breazeale, D., 164
- Breckenridge, R. S., 6
- Brigman, S. L., 119
- Broemmel, A. D., 33, 36
- Brown, J. S., 164
- Brown, J. T., 140
- Burger, S. V., 6
- Cabral, A. P., 190
- Camelia, G., 2
- Cameron, K. S., 9, 11, 134
- Capabilities, 16
- Capacity, 73
- Career, 72, 111
- Career services
 - component assessment, 128
 - service assessment, 127–128
- Carey, J. O., 180
- Carey, L., 180
- Carini, R. M., 78
- Casarejos, F., 145
- Categorical
 - average, 33, 38, 53, 55, 62, 148
 - counts, 33, 38–42, 50, 55, 62, 96, 119
- Category/categories/categorized/
 - categorization, 13, 21–22, 26, 29, 42–43, 62, 73, 77, 79, 90, 99, 115, 140, 142, 150, 161
- Causal, 4, 9, 133, 142, 174, 181
- Causality, 8–9, 181
- Causation, 6
- Causative, 180
- Centralized career services, 126
- Certifying, 176–177
- Chada, D. M., 17
- Chambers, C. R., 64, 99, 101
- Chan, V., 3
- Change strategy, 140, 172, 190–191
- Channels, 140
- Characterized/characteristic(s), 4, 64
- Charteris-Black, J., 6
- Chernoded, A., 201
- Chianese, G., 8
- Chidambaranathan, K., 156
- Chong, S. S., 101
- Christakis, M. N., 102
- Christiansen, B., 164
- Class size, 187–188
- Classification process, 7, 192
- Clifton, R. A., 36
- Climate, 17
- Cline, T. R., 133
- Clinical practice, 46, 57
- Cloudier, D. L., 77
- Clues, 4, 9–10, 161, 188
- Clustered/cluster(s), 10–11, 15, 135, 155, 174, 178, 181, 186, 190
- Clustering
 - analysis, 174
 - procedure method, 135
 - process, 139
- Coate, K., 32
- Coates, H., 154
- Codified, 2, 12
- Coefficient, 14, 134, 174
- Coertjens, L., 23
- Cognitive
 - dissonance, 156
 - styles, 78, 90, 115, 119
- Cohen, J., 12–13
- Coherence, 33, 139, 147
- Coherent
 - experience, 179
 - learning experience, 33
 - system, 10
- Colapinto, C., 164

- Collaborate/collaborative/
collaboration, 4, 16, 81,
164, 193
- Collins, L., 15
- Colvin, J. B., 9
- Community, 11, 15, 17, 20, 102
- Community learning, 101–102,
152, 157
- Competence, 53
- Competency, 51–52
- Competing-values
approach, 143–144, 156, 158,
160–161
assessment, 22
- Competitor(s), 71
- Compiled/compile(s)/compiling, 6,
15, 42, 83, 146, 155,
173–174
- Completers, 74
- Completion percentage factor, 180
- Complexity/complexities, 10, 33, 113,
135, 147
- Compliance, 38–40, 90, 146
- Component level assessments, 115
- Components, 4–5, 16, 18, 21, 77–78,
80, 83, 99, 110–111, 115,
119, 126, 129, 145, 193
- Concept, 16, 102
- Conceptual frameworks, 8, 10, 15–16,
32–33, 51, 63–64, 77,
98–99, 134, 144
- Confidence, 4
- Confirm, 3, 174, 179, 181, 189
- Confirmatory
factor analyses, 134
research, 63
- Confounding effect, 172
- Congruence, 36, 64
- Conlon, E. J., 155
- Connectedness, 42
- Connolly, T., 155
- Consequences, 139, 161, 171–172,
190
- Consistency, 10, 32, 36, 148, 186
- Consolidate, 174
- Constituency grouping, 155
- Constituent, 7, 192
averages, 155
groups, 155
parts, 164, 174
- Constraint(s)
of effectiveness, 181–190
of performance, 135
- Construct(s), 3–6, 8–9, 15, 18, 20–21,
33, 42, 51, 57, 62, 64, 71,
77, 79–80, 83, 99, 150, 174
- Constructed
approach, 10, 57
learning experience, 33
- Constructivist process, 9–10
- Consumer protection, 25, 28
- Content, 5, 9–10, 15, 18, 32, 36, 51–52,
64, 77, 80, 83, 99, 107
- Context, 102, 187
- Contingency, 20–21, 26
- Continuous qualities improvement,
171, 173
data, 174–178
drivers and constraints of
effectiveness, sensemaking
of, 181–190
institutional effectiveness report,
sensemaking of, 178–181
machine learning loop, 190–193
sensemaking, 173–174
- Convergent questions, 101
- Cook, W. D., 11, 134, 173
- Correlate(s)/correlated/correlative,
29, 42, 55, 62, 64, 72–73,
77, 87, 133, 135, 139, 180,
185–187
- Correlating indicators, 135
- Correlation coefficients, 134
- Correlation matrix, 135, 181, 192
indicators, 136
for performance indicators,
182–184
- Spearman correlation matrixes,
192
- Counseling services, 121
component assessment, 126
service assessment, 125

- Courses
- components assessment, 90–98
 - components measurement, 80–83
 - measurement, 36–38
 - performance assessment, 40–42
- Covarying, 135
- Coverage, 33, 147
- Credibility, 2, 5, 12, 20, 29
- Credible, 2–4, 9, 15, 21–22, 31, 42–43, 51, 73, 77, 80, 83, 98, 113, 133, 142, 173, 189, 190, 192–193
- Cresswell, J. W., 9, 144
- Criteria, 32–33, 36, 39–42, 51–52, 64–65, 72, 79, 87, 142, 145–146
- Criterion, 33, 38, 41, 43, 51–52, 83, 87, 145–146
- Critical, 10–12, 16, 32–33, 71, 87, 99, 101, 111, 171, 173
- Cross-functional
- performance, 134
 - process, 180
- Cultural(ly)/culture(s), 4, 11, 17, 19, 46, 48, 52, 60, 101
- Cureton, D., 77
- Curricula(r)/curriculum, 31–32, 39–41, 146
- assessment, 39–40
 - curriculum–external validity, 139
 - design, 152
 - measurement, 31–35
- Curry, L., 32
- Data modeling, 133–134
- institutional, 140, 142–144
 - processes, 6
- Data set, 8, 13, 39, 133–135, 164, 181
- Dawis, D., 101
- Dawson, S., 2–3, 11, 32, 77, 142
- De Donder, P., 23
- Deci, E. L., 150
- Decision-making process, 73, 164
- Demir, E., 64
- Demonstrate(s)/demonstrated/
- demonstrating/
 - demonstration(s), 1, 3–6, 12, 15, 18, 22, 26, 33, 36, 38, 40–41, 43, 46–47, 53, 62–63, 73, 83, 87, 90, 99, 140, 142, 149, 154–155, 156, 174, 179, 181, 190
- Depending, 144, 187
- Depends, 29
- Descriptive process, 3, 62, 134
- Deutsch, S. J., 155
- Developmental
- portfolio, 83
 - students, 180, 189
- Dey, N., 142
- Diagnosis, 44
- Diamond, R. M., 32, 36, 51
- Dichotomous event, 144
- Dick, W., 180
- Difference(s), 4, 9, 11–14, 154–155
- Differentiation, 41
- Dimension(s), 32–33
- reduction and modeling, 8, 192
- Dirks-Naylor, A. J., 36
- Disability/disabilities, 108
- component assessment, 124
 - service assessment, 123–124
- Disagreement, 11, 13
- Discipline(s), 32–33, 36, 38, 64, 78, 146
- Discrete(ly), 8, 32, 42–43, 52, 71, 78, 105, 115, 143
- Discriminate, 13, 52
- Dissonance, 156
- Distinct, 22, 43, 77
- Distinguishing characteristics, 4–5
- Distributed/distribution, 36, 71–72, 78–79, 87, 140, 150
- Divergent perspectives, 4
- Diverse/diversity
- array of strategies, 17
 - cognitive styles, 78
 - constituencies, 143
 - populations, 2, 32, 105
 - of thinking, 11–12

- Domingos, P., 6–7, 192
 Dougherty, K. J., 24
 Douglas-Gabriel, D., 24
 Doyle K. J., 143
 Driver(s), 6, 13, 17, 19, 22, 31, 135, 142, 161, 164, 174, 181, 186–187, 192
 Drouin, M., 4, 11
 Dualistic thinking models, 6, 144
 Duda, R. O., 8
 Dudko L., 201
 Dumitrescu, D., 101
 Dunne, D. D., 174
 Duque, L. C., 155
 Dutra, E. V., 11
- Economic factors, 20, 27, 162
 Educational institutions, 5–6, 16, 142, 161
 Effect(s), 40, 101
 size attribute, 13
 of system, 9
 Effectiveness, 1
 assessing, 2
 institutional assessment of, 5–6
 of institutional conceptual framework, 15
 of instructional system, 83
 systematic approach to, 10–11
 Efficacy, 43, 80
 Efficiency, 36, 134, 142
 Elahi, S., 64
 Ellis, S. E., 99
 Embed(s)/embedded/embedding, 4, 12, 17, 21, 57
 Emerge, 4, 7–8, 129, 146, 164, 171, 174, 181, 186–187, 189
 Emergent interrelationships, 134
 Emotional, 28, 163
 Empathy, 44, 47, 85
 Empirical models, 101
 Employ, 2, 5–7
 Employers, 23, 27, 32, 162
 Employment/profession factors, 20, 27, 162
- Engage(s)/engaged/engaging/
 engagement, 2, 4, 11, 32–33, 73, 78–79, 87, 115, 144, 173, 181, 193
 Engenders, 12
 Engineer(s), 31, 33
 Enhance(s)/enhanced/enhancement(s), 8, 15, 32, 36, 41, 99, 102, 105, 111, 139, 146, 161, 164, 171, 190
- Environmental/environment(s)
 alignment, 153
 assessing, 21
 environmental data aggregation, 27–28
 institutional environmental data, 23–25
 measurement to support
 institutional definition, 22
 scanning, 19–20
 SWOT analysis, 26, 29
- Equivalent(ly), 13, 72, 87, 154, 179
 Erichsen, E. A., 149
 Error, 2, 5, 9, 12–13
 Esi, M. C., 10
 Ethical
 conduct, 71
 framework, 17
 standards, 12
 Evaluate(s)/evaluation process, 3, 11, 49, 53, 60
 Everyone, 83
 Evidence-based decision-making process, 172
 Ewell, P. T., 32, 36
 Examination, 43, 178, 187
 Expectations, 18–22, 32, 101–102, 189
 Expected, 17, 36, 39, 79, 139, 180, 188
 Experience(s), 104, 119, 130
 Experiential learning, 115. (*see also* Program learning)
 assessments, 115–119
 experiences, 99
 services, 102–105
 Explicit, 189
 Exploration, 179
 Exploratory factor analysis, 134

- External environment, 156, 161
 curriculum with expectations, 32
 at institutional level, 64
 measuring, 19–21
- Externally valid content, 32, 147
- Externship, 102, 104–105, 115,
 117, 131
- Extracted/extraction, 7, 21, 32, 171
- Facilitate learning, 36
- Factored/factor, 10, 20, 36, 72, 134
- Faculty, 83
- Fadelelmoula, A. A., 24
- Feasibility analysis, 21, 64, 161
- Feeney, M. K., 64
- Fernandez, R., 25
- Ferren, A. S., 32, 36
- Fifolt, M., 15
- Filho, H. Z., 11
- Financial responsibility, 71
- Fink, J. E., 102
- Finnveden, G., 139
- First-order effect of functional
 changes, 139
- Fisher, J. A., 16
- Fisk, P. C., 79
- Fooladvand, M., 140, 171
- Forero, D. E., 101
- Formative assessment, 52, 57
- Fortuin, K. P. J., 33
- Fosnacht, K., 5
- Foster communication, 100
- Fourie-Malherbe, M., 4
- Foxlee, N., 20
- Frame, 7
- Framework, 20
- Franceschini, L., 161
- Freeman, M., 64, 164
- Freeman, S., 36, 64
- Freeze, R., 36, 87
- Frempong, G., 172
- Frequency, 78
- Friedman, J. H., 6
- Frota, M. N., 145
- Frymier, A. B., 87
- Fuller, M. B., 12, 21
- Function, 38, 42, 101–102
- Functional data, 5
 institutional dashboard
 summarizing functional
 assessments, 141
 over time, 140
- Functional data modeling, 133.
(see also Institutional data
 modeling)
- aggregating functional data into
 causal triads, 135–138
- conceptual underpinnings, 144
- exploratory factor analysis, 134
- first-, second-, and third-order
 effects of functional
 changes, 139
- functional data over time, 140, 141
- functional performance data, 133
- Functional educational systems, 142
- Functional KPIs, 140
 into data models, 142
 through goal-path approach, 145
- Functional models, 11
- Functional MVVs' statements, 18–19
- Functional performance, 113
 coherent system of measurements
 and assessments, 10
- data, 111, 133
- multidimensional, 9
- quantify, 2
- Galliot, N. Y., 9
- Gardner, H., 36
- Garg, P., 11
- Gavin, R. B., 23
- General education (GE), 14, 185
 avg length of time to withdraw, 177
 course completion %, 177
 course failure rate, 177
 course stud/inst ratio, 177
- Generalizability, 12
- Generalization, 51
- George, G., 6
- Ghazinoory, S., 64
- Gibson, C., 105
- Gillies, R. M., 140

- Glidden, R., 2
Global assessment, 40, 111
Global student services assessment,
 126, 129–131
 graduate assessment, 129
 graduate student support services
 institutional assessment, 131
Goal, 10, 38, 51
Goal-attainment approach, 142
Goal-path approach, 142, 144–145, 154
 to assess effectiveness, 146
 to sensemaking, 147–148
 trending analysis using, 149
Gochyyev, P., 102
Goldman, P., 206
Goldratt, E. M., 135
Goldratt, E., 135
Goldratt, R., 135
Gómez, A., 101
Gonyea, R. M., 78
Gonzaga, R. P., 11
Goodman, S. N., 174
Goodness of Fit (GoF), 64
 analysis, 64
 tool, 36
Gopnik, A., 206
Grabowski, L., 143, 161
Graduate
 assessment, 129
 GPA average, 14, 185–186
 student support services
 institutional assessment,
 131
Graham, L. J., 9
Grant, D., 17
Grebennikov, L., 80
Greenberg, P. E., 143
Griffin, K. A., 10
Grosemans, I., 23, 64
Grounded in identity construction, 4
Group, 36, 41
Guardrails, 31
Guidelines, 21, 48
Gullickson, T., 26
Gulosino, C. A., 161
Gurley, D. K., 15
Gurvitch, R., 33
Gustavson, L. M., 145
Haak, D., 64
Hajisoteriou, C., 171
Hanson, G. R., 119
Hargreaves, A., 164
Hargrove, R. A., 164
Harmon, J., 11, 139, 140, 164
Harper, V. B., 73
Harris, A., 164
Harrison, D. J., 64
Harrison, M. I., 1, 10–11, 15, 140
Hart, D., 149
Hart, P. E., 8
Hassanien, A. E., 142
Hastie, T., 6, 8, 192
Hayek, J. C., 78
Hearn, J. C., 24
Hefny, H., 3
Heikonen, L., 78
Heinze, T., 3
Henning, G., 114
Henson, A., 57
Herzo, S., 9
Hickson, D. J., 142
Hinings, C. R., 142
Hints (indicators), 4
Holbeche, L., 143
Holder, B., 31, 99, 101
Horst, J. A., 171
Hoseini, A. M., 139
Hoseini, S. H. K., 64
Hossam, S., 3
Houser, M., 87
Howard, H. A., 164, 171–172
Howard-Hamilton, M. F., 52
Howe, E., 5
Huet, I., 190
“Human dynamic adaptive”
 systems, 10
Human information processing
 limits, 8
 system, 140
Hummel, M. L., 102
Hurst, K., 63

- Hutchings, P., 87, 154
 Hypothesis testing, 12
- Identification, 71
 in action-planning process, 171
 of KPIs, 151
- Idress, A. M., 3
- IE system, 7
- Ikenberry, S. O., 87, 154
- Imam, T., 33
- Immediacy, 32, 102
- Implications, 188
- Implicit strategies, 142
- Implied “goodness or badness”, 161
- Inprecise/imprecision, 2, 10
- Incompetence, 53
- Inconsistent, 2
- Indicators, 3, 174, 177
 conceptualization and treatment
 of institutional data
 as, 4
 correlation matrix, 136
 curricular coherence and
 disabilities services, 139
 functional, 174
 single performance, 6
- Individual processes, 133
- Individuals with Disabilities
 Education Act, 21
- Ineffective/ineffectiveness, 1
- Inferring/inferences/inferential
 method, 3, 129
- Infrastructure measurement, 74
- Iniesta-Bonillo, M. A., 25
- Institutional data modeling, 140,
 142–144. (*see also*
 Functional data modeling)
- action planning, 171–172
- competing-values approach, 156,
 158, 160–161–163
- continuous qualities improvement,
 171
- functional KPIs through goal-path
 approach, 145
- goal-path approach to assess
 effectiveness, 146, 147–149
- institutional effectiveness report,
 164–171
- sensemaking of institutional
 effectiveness models, 161
- strategic constituency approach,
 155–156, 159
- strategic contingencies approach,
 154–155, 158
- systems approach, 146, 150–154,
 157
- Institutional effectiveness, 1–2, 193
 approaches, 140, 161, 171
 assessment, 173
 report, 164–171, 174–177
 sensemaking, 178–181
- Institutional/institution, 188, 193
 assessment of effectiveness, 5–6
 competitive, 71
 conceptual framework, 16
 dashboard summarizing functional
 assessments, 141
 environmental scan, 64, 156
 functions, 133
 mission alignment measurement,
 65–67
- mixed methods approach to
 institutional research,
 9–10
- models, 11
- performance models, 134, 142
- sensemaking, 3
- values alignment measurement,
 68–70.
- Instruction(al), 77
 assessing learning engagement,
 87–90, 91–92
- assessing learning experience,
 90–98
- course components measurement,
 80–83
- prior learning measurement, 87
 and learning support, 99
- measuring learning achievement,
 83–87
- measuring learning engagement,
 78–80

- measuring learning experience, 80–83
support, 115, 152
system, 31, 77, 140, 180
vision statement, 77–78
- Instructor, 78–80, 87, 90
- Instruments, 73, 77, 101
- Integrate/integrated/integrating/
integration, 4, 36, 148, 172
- Intellectual process of sensemaking,
3–4
- Intended functional performance, 140
- Intended performance, 3, 115, 134, 174
- Interconnected/interconnections/
interconnectedness, 7–9, 33
- Intercultural services, 101
- Interdependencies/interdependent, 3,
11, 142, 161
- Internal data, 192
in ML, 7
- Internship/externship experience, 102
component assessment, 119
measurement, 104
services, 115
- Interrelationships
of courses, 36
in functional performance data, 134
between indicators, 135, 174, 179
- Ioannidis, J. A., 174
- Irani, Z., 135
- Islam, M. A., 36
- Jackson, C., 143
- Jackson, D., 23
- James, M. R., 20–21
- Jamshidi, L. I., 131
- Jankowski, N. A., 87, 154
- Job satisfaction models, 101
- Johnson, D. R., 20
- Jones, K., 172
- Jones, L., 161
- Joseph, 21
- Junqueira, E., 11, 143
- Kadir, S. A., 140
- Kale, V., 9
- Kalish, C. W., 4, 13, 115
- Kalkan, Ö. K., 13
- Kandiko, C., 33
- Kandusi, C., 23
- Kang, N., 171
- Karousiou, C., 171
- Kataria, A., 11, 171
- Katz, N., 23
- Kelecioglu, H., 13
- Kennedy, J., 78
- Kennedy-Phillips, L., 102
- Key performance indicators
(KPIs), 134, 145, 154,
174, 193
- clusters, 181, 190
- functional KPIs through goal-path
approach, 145
- making sense of assessment KPIs
through systems approach,
146, 150–154
- of strongest correlations,
184–185
- Khezrimotlagh, D., 173
- Kibria, B. M. G., 2
- King, B. R., 64
- Kinzie, J., 87, 154
- Knowledge, skills, and values, 153
- Kooy, M., 102
- Koppen, C. S. A., 33
- Kowalski, D., 11
- Kowalski, R., 11
- Kremer, I., 13, 115, 156
- Krishna, A., 9
- Kroeger, A., 156, 164
- Kucukaltan, B., 135
- Kuh, G. D., 78, 87, 154
- Kulkarni, D. K., 174
- Kyndt, E., 23
- Ladyshevsky, R., 146
- Lahav, O., 23
- Lapiña, I., 23
- Lavie, D., 6
- Leader, 4, 171
evaluation, 164
institutional, 154, 161, 181

- Leadership, 11, 21, 164
 Leads, 177
Learning. (*see also* Machine learning (ML))
 environment, 140
 measuring learning achievement, 83–87
 outcomes, 152
 support services, 105–106
Learning engagement, 152
 assessing, 87–90, 91–92
 measuring, 78–80
Learning experience(s), 102, 152
 assessing, 90–98
 measuring, 80–83
Leber, R., 164
Lecca, H., 77
Lechman, E., 164
Ledder, G., 133
Lee, C. A., 142
Leemans, R., 33
Legal factors, 28, 163
 of institutional interaction, 21
Levine, R. A., 134
Levy, M. A., 99, 101–102
Lewin, K., 26
Lewis, M., 36
Li, J., 171
Library, 107, 125, 138, 170
 component assessment, 123
 service assessment, 122
Licensed/licensing
 boards, 32
 counselors, 109
Liela, E., 9
Life path transition, 153
“Likert-type” scale, 102
Limit/limits, 4, 10, 17, 145
Linear
 paradigm, 156
 values, 8
Lingard, B., 156
Linhares, A., 17, 140, 150, 156,
 172
Lunenburg, F. C., 11, 18
Luo, B. N., 143
Macfadyen, L. P., 2, 3, 11, 32, 77, 142
Machine learning (ML), 6
 acyclical data, 7
 algorithms as measurement strategies, 7
 association learning, 8
 authentic data, 7
 classification, 7
 dimension reduction and modeling, 8
 internal data, 7
 outlier detection, 8
 statistical modeling, 7–8
 structured prediction, 8
Machine learning loop, 190
 artificial neural network, 193
 systematic process, 190–192
MacKay, D. J. C., 7–8, 192
Maiolini, R., 139
Major avg length of time to withdraw, 177
Major course
 completion %, 177
 failure %, 177
 major course–student/instructor ratio, 177
Maloshonok, N., 99
Maluk Mohamed, M. A., 135
Management, 45, 55, 61, 86
Manathynga, C. E., 140
Manifest, 146
Marco, M., 11
Marcus, G. F., 211
Marius, P., 2
Marsh, C. J., 32, 36
Martin T. N., 171
Martinez-Mora, F., 23
Martinsson, J., 101
Mastery, 43
Matherly, L. L., 23
Mathiassen, L., 143
Matriculate(d)/matriculations, 177, 185
Matrix, 134
McAdam, R., 143
McClure, K. R., 140

- McDermott, I., 171
 McLendon, M. K., 24
 McNay, I., 209
 McSorley, C., 143
 Measurement(s), 2–3
 Measures/measuring, 1–3, 5–7, 10, 101, 143
 Medler, D. A., 212
 Meir, P., 204
 Mejia, A., 101
 Melnik Oleksandr, H., 25
 Mena Report, 33
 Menard, S., 80
 Mertler, C. A., 51
 Metadata, 11
 Metaphors, 17
 Methodology, 161
 Metzler, M., 33
 Meyer, P., 164
 Michenzi, A. R., 33
 Middaugh, M. F., 164, 171–172
 Mihanović, Z., 143
 Mikelsone, E., 9
 Miller, G. A., 17, 140, 150, 156, 172
 Miller, K., 143
 Mission, vision, and values (MVVs), 2, 6, 15–17, 134
 assessing environment, 21–29
 creation, 18
 functional MVVs' statements, 18–19
 measuring external environment, 19–21
 Mixed methods approach to institutional research, 9–10
 Mixson-Brookshire, D., 79
 Mocanu, C., 23
 Modality, 71
 Modes, 78
 Mokher, C. G., 24
 Moon, K. K. L., 8
 Moore, T. L., 102
 Moran, K. A., 145
 Morgan, G., 142, 164
 Morrison, J. L., 19
 Mouritsen, M. E., 2, 10, 15, 31
 Mullally, C., 9
 Multi-dimensional or phenomenological scale, 161
 Multiplistic thinking, 144
 Museus, S. D., 10
 Myagkov, I., 201
 Mylopoulos, J., 11
 Nabak, M., 36
 Nagarajan, V., 135
 Nahyan, S. S. K., 23
 Natow, R. S., 24
 Naturalistic data, 9
 Neher, C., 143
 Nellesen, D., 143
 Neuroscience, 140
 Neutral response, 22
 Newton, J., 172
 Ngai, E. W. T., 8
 Nichols, C., 77
 Nightingale, A. J., 16
 Nolan, C., 23
 Noldon, D. F., 99
 Nominal scale, 51
 Nominative scale, 42–43
 Norvig, P., 8
 Nosofsky, R., 17, 140, 150, 156, 172
 Noumair, D. A., 139
 Nuraan, 23
 O'Leary, L. S., 102
 Oaks, D. J., 33, 87
 Objectively/objectives, 3, 5, 11, 22, 29, 79, 155
 Observable/observed/observational/observations, 3, 8–9, 16, 79, 87, 90
 Observer, 79
 Observes, 79
 O'Connor, 171
 Oechslin, M., 4, 11, 13, 115
 Office of Career Services or Placement, 111
 Oimet J. A., 210
 “Open systems” model, 20

- Operational performance, 142
- Operationalize, 16
- Oprandi, P. R., 33
- Optimal model, 36
- Optimize learning, 31
- Organic systems, 144
- Organization(al)
 - effectiveness approaches, 134, 140
 - intellectual process of sensemaking in, 4
 - learning for improvement, 11
 - theory, 20
- Orientation, 185
- Osinga, E. C., 6
- Oswick, C., 17
- Outcomes, 2, 17
 - demonstration, 33, 147
 - program, 33
- Outliers, 7, 10
 - detection, 8, 192
- Palgrave Connect, 6
- Palomba, C. A., 22
- Parameters, 14
- Parametric, 4
- Paraprofessional, 23, 27
- Parker, S., 155
- Parris, M. A., 20
- Parsing/parse, 6, 18, 43
- Patel, D., 164
- Patenaude, G., 204
- Path, 31
- Pattern, 52
- Paucar-Caceres, A., 149
- Pausits, A., 64
- Pautler, A. J., 33
- Pavičić, J., 143
- Peck, L. K., 5
- Peifer, J. L., 20
- Penn, J. D., 83
- Pennings, J. M., 142
- Peral, J., 11
- Percentage, 14
 - of leads, 177
- Perceptions, 9, 22
- Perceptual, 3
- Performance
 - of functional, 10
 - indicators, 181
 - institution, 134, 142
 - performance-based model of effectiveness, 6
- Performs, 3, 11
- Perl, E. J., 99
- Perry, W. J., 53
- Perry's Model of Intellectual and Ethical Development, 51–52
- Persistence, 31
 - rate, 72
- Perspectives, 90
- Peters, G. B., 15
- Phenomena, 10
- Phenomenological, 9
- Phenomenon, 6
- Pickering, J. W., 99
- Pietarinen, J., 33
- Pinelli, M., 139
- Pirciog, S., 23
- Placement, 111
- Plausible, 4
- Plewis, I., 212
- Policies, 108, 163
- Political(ly), 29
 - factors, 28
 - of institutional interaction, 20, 163
 - model of effectiveness, 6
- Polnariev, B. A., 99
- Pomerantz, N. K., 102
- Popham, W. J., 10
- Population, 2
- Porter, A., 39
- Portfolio, 42
- Possibility, 13, 173
- Potential, 71
- Poth, C. N., 9
- Pounder, J. S., 156
- Power test, 12–14
- Practitioners, 2
- Preceptor, 104
- Precise, 52, 154
- Precision, 3

- Predict/predictive/predictable, 6, 16, 139
Prejudice, 58
Preparation, 180
Presumption, 156
Prior learning measurement, 87
Pritchard, R. M. O., 64
Privilege, 46
Process-oriented functions, 143
Product, 17
Profession(als), 32, 105
Proficiency, 29
Program
completion percentage, 177, 179–180, 188
relevance, 153
viability and feasibility assessment, 21
Program design structure, 31
course performance assessment, 40–42
courses measurement, 36–38
curriculum assessment, 39–40
curriculum measurement, 31–35
designing optimal rubric, 51–52
Program feasibility and viability assessment, 73–75
measurement, 63–73
Program learning
assessment, 52–55, 179
objective measurement, 43–51, 55–63, 148
outcome measurement, 42–43, 56, 148
Projected, 179
Protocols, 145
Psychological, 46
Psychology, 43
Psychophysical, 33
Purcell, J., 79
Pyhältö, K., 33
Qadeer, F., 17
Qiu, J. X., 143
Qualitative, 9
Quality, 42, 80
Quantify, 3–4, 13
Quantitative, 9
Quantum, 6
Questionnaire, 11, 80, 101
learning experience, 81–82
Raab, G., 134
Rakoczy, K., 78
Ramy, 21
Randall, E. J., 36
Rankin, S., 105
Rastogi, R., 11
Ratio, 14
Readiness, 36
Regha, V. S., 156
Registrar, 191
Regulations, 86
Regulatory, 21
Relatedness, 47
Relationship, 9, 20
Relativistic, 144
Relevance, 29
Reliable/reliably/reliability, 1, 21, 52
data, 5
Represent(ation), 8, 11
Resilient, 180
Response, 10, 20
Responsibility, 99–100
Retaining, 187
Retention, 188
Rho, S., 136
Ridgley, L. M., 31
Rigali-Oiler, M., 101
Rivera, M., 139
Rizvi, F., 156
Roberts, D. M., 114
Robinson, V. M. J., 139
Roga, R., 23
Rogers, J. D., 3
Rounsevell, M. D. A., 204
Rubenstein, L. D., 31
Rubric, 42–43
designing optimal rubric, 51–52
model, 53
program learning outcomes
measurement, 44–50, 54–55

- Rukh, H., 17
 Russell, S. J., 8
- Sample size attribute, 12–14
 Sampling, 13
 Sánchez-Fernández, R., 25
 Sanders, K., 4, 11, 115
 Sarraf, S., 5
 Satapathy, S. C., 142
 Satisfaction, 6
 measurement, 101–102
 Saville, K., 20, 23
 Scaling/scaled/scale(s), 42–43, 52
 Scalise, K., 102
 Scan, 19
 Scheerens, J., 78–79
 Schlesinger, W., 25
 Schneck, R. E., 142
 Science, 115
 Scorecard, 140
 Scott, B. A., 6
 Scott, H., 79
 Seagren, A. T., 143
 Seale, J., 180
 Second-order effect of functional changes, 139
 Senker, J. M., 3
 Sensemaking, 3–4, 11, 173–174
 acquisition, student, 181, 185
 action planning process, 189–190
 of assessment KPIs
 through competing-values approach, 156, 158, 160–163
 correlation matrix for performance indicators, 182–184
 of drivers, 181
 experience, student, 186–188
 of functional KPIs through goal-path approach, 145
 graduate GPA average, 185–186
 of institutional effectiveness models, 161, 178–181
 KPI clusters of strongest correlations, 184–185
 process, 142
 through strategic constituency approach, 155–156, 159
 through strategic contingencies approach, 154–155, 158
 success triads, student, 188–189
 system, 140
 through systems approach, 146, 150–154, 157
 Sequence, 36, 148
 Service level assessment, 114–115
 Shah, M., 80
 Shahtalebi, S., 140
 Shapira, P., 32
 Sharpe, M. S., 99
 Shayan, A., 164
 Sheu, H. -B., 101
 Shiffrin, R., 17, 140, 156
 Shirom, A., 1, 10
 Significance level attribute, 13
 Simon, M., 51
 Singh, A., 135
 Sitikovs, V., 25
 Situated(ness), 16, 29
 Smit, R., 51
 Smith, D. G., 155
 Snehal, B., 4
 Social factors, 27
 of institutional interaction, 20, 162
 Social information processing, 101
 Sofo, F., 164
 Soini, T., 33
 Sokolova, T., 9
 Soleimani, H., 33
 Spearman correlation matrixes, 192
 Spearman Rho coefficient of correlation, 134, 136
 Specific, Measurable, Achievable, Realistic, and Time-bound attributes (SMART attributes), 16–17
 Stakeholders, 133, 143, 150–151
 Standard, 16
 Statistical
 modeling, 7–8, 192
 power attribute, 13
 power test, 12–13

- Statistics, 134
Stevenson, 102
Steventon, G., 77
Stewart, J., 4
Stienstra, M., 19
Stonebraker, I., 164
Stork, D. G., 8
Strategic contingencies approach, 142–144, 154–156, 159
percentage of students
demonstrating program learning objectives, 158
Strategic/strategically/strategy, 6, 10, 17, 143
Strength, weakness, opportunity, or threat analysis (SWOT analysis), 26, 29
Stronach, J., 134
Structured/structure, 36, 148
of academic discipline, 115
of curriculum, 32, 39, 146
prediction technique, 8, 192
of rubrics, 51
Student
acquisition, 181, 185
assessment of components, 129
career services, 127–128
experience, 186–188
graduate student support services measurement, 114
learning outcomes portfolio, 84–86
life measurement, 103
outcomes, 140
perceptions, 101
persistence–developmental students, 178
persistence–non-developmentual students, 178
services organization, 99
success number, 178
support services function, 115
transition support services, 111–113, 126
Student learning support services, 105, 119
academic success center, 106, 120–121
counseling services, 125–126
counseling services measurement, 110
disabilities services, 123–124
disabilities services measurement, 109
functional performance data, 111
library component assessment, 123
library questionnaire, 107–108
library service assessment, 122
Student support services, 99–101, 152
assessing, 113–115
assessing student support services, 113–115
experiential learning assessments, 115–119
experiential learning services, 102–105
function, 101, 107, 119
global student services assessment, 126, 129–131
mission, vision, and values for student services function, 100
satisfaction, 101–102
student learning support services, 105–111, 119–126
student support services, 99–101
student transition support services, 111–114, 126–129
Successful program completion, 153
Sullivan, M. M., 2
Sum, 57
Summarization/summarizing/
summarized/summarize, 7, 22, 26, 39, 73
Summary, 3, 26
Summative, 52
Supporting/supported/support, 5, 180, 189
Survey, 80, 101

- Svenfelt, Å., 139
 Swaggerty, E. A., 33
 Synergies, 9, 36
 - of cross-functional performance, 134
 - of institutional process, 32
 - of participants, 164
 - visualization, 161
 Synergistic/synergistically/synergy, 6, 77, 161
 Synthetic/synthesize/synthesis, 1, 10, 164
 Systematic/systematically/systems, 4, 64, 150, 154
 - approach, 142, 146, 150–154
 - assessment method, 1, 9, 13, 139, 155, 190–192
 - to effectiveness, 10–11
 - trending report of sensemaking data for institution, 157
 Tadesse, T., 140, 149
 Taggar, S., 10
 Taheri, R., 36
 Taljard, A., 24
 Talukder, R. M., 36
 Tao, S. S. C., 8
 Taplin, R., 146
 Target, 29, 71, 101, 178
 Tashakkori, A., 9
 Taut, S., 78
 Technological factors, 20, 28, 163
 Techt, U., 135
 Tedlie, 9
 Terentev, E., 99
 Terkla, D. G., 102
 Tewari, D., 135
 Tewari, P. C., 135
 Theories, 1, 33, 79, 113
 Third-order effect of functional changes, 139
 Thompson, A. S., 171
 Thompson, L. F., 32
 Threat, 2, 26, 52
 3 × 7 rule, 150
 Throughputs, 142, 150, 174
 Tibshirani, R., 6
 Tierney, R., 51
 Toloo, M., 173
 Tone, K., 11
 Toom, A., 78
 Transform(ed), 26, 134, 145
 Transition support services, 99–100, 115
 - student, 111–113, 126, 159
 Translate/translated/translation, 10–11, 18, 52, 135, 137–138, 190
 Triad, 133, 135, 174, 181, 186–187, 189
 Triangulated/triangulates, 135
 Trujillo, J., 11
 Trustworthiness, 5, 10, 12
 Trustworthy, 3, 10, 15, 113, 192
 Tutoring, 139, 141
 Type I error in decision-making process, 12
 Type II error in decision-making process, 12
 Ulrich, T. A., 33
 Ültanır, E., 57
 Ültanır, Y. G., 57
 Unanticipated, 62–63
 Unexpected, 11–12, 51
 Unintended, 172, 190
 Valdez Noel, K., 80
 Valid, 5, 13
 Validated, 147
 Validity of data, 5
 Values, 8, 16
 - statements, 17
 van der Weerdt, N., 19
 Van Gorder, K., 4, 11
 van Koppen, C. S. A., 204
 van Woerkom, M., 4, 11, 13
 Variables, 8, 13, 134, 192
 Variances, 10, 13, 19
 Verdu, A., 19
 Verwaal, E., 19
 Viability measurement, 71
 Viable, 73, 144, 188

- Vinyard, M., 9, 142, 164
Vision
 institutional, 31
 instructional, 150
 statement, 17
Visualize/visualization, 8–9, 87, 161,
 164, 190
Vittori, K., 36
Volberda, H., 19, 156, 161
Volkov, P., 201
Vukasović, M., 209

Wagner, S. H., 101
Waiganjo, E., 23
Walker, A., 36, 87
Waters, 164
Weber, C., 156, 164
Wei, Y., 36
Weick, K. E., 4, 11
Weiss, N., 135
Well, 77
Wenderoth, M. P., 36
Wergin, J. F., 32
Whetten, D. A., 32
White, P., 6

Wilds, P. C., 2, 11, 32, 41
Williams, J., 64
Willis, G., 32, 36
Wilson, M., 102
Winnaar, L. D., 172
Wissam, E. L., 20–21
Withdraw/withdrawal/withdrawal(s),
 13–14, 179, 180, 186–188
Withdrawn, 180
Witten, I. H., 6
Women's Re-entry Program,
 110, 125
Woodhouse, I. H., 204
Wright, N. J. D., 36

Yarmohammadian, M. H., 140
York, T. T., 105
Yorkstone, S., 209
Young, A. G., 4, 13, 115
Yousef, M., 219

Zamfir, A. M., 23
Zhao, C., 171
Zhu, J., 11, 134, 173