

Index

- Absorptive capacity (ACAP),
 - 42, 90–91
 - in CSSPs, 42–47
 - potential, 43–44
 - realized, 44–47
- Academic Journals, 29, 31–32
- Affiliation, 8–9, 12, 90
- Association for the Advancement of Retired People (AARP), 12–13
- Australian Business Deans' Council (ABDC), 30
- “Black box” problem, 27, 37
- Bowling Alone: The Collapse and Revival of American Community*, 18
- Brownsville Community Advisory Board (CAB), 61–62
- Chief executive officers (CEOs), 2
- Classic theory, 17
- Co-creating/co-creation, 19, 49, 60, 63, 67
- Collaboration, fostering capabilities for, 49–58
- Collective action problem, 18
- Commitment, 39
- Community development
 - function, 40
- Comprehensive Rural Health Project (CRHP), 11
- Cooperative capabilities, 49
 - fostering capabilities for collaboration, 49–58
- Corridors of opportunity, 74–75
- Covid-19 in Nigeria, 3
- Cross-functional interfaces, 44
- Cross-sector collaboration, 17
 - alignment of partnership goals, 24–27
 - CSSPs, 19–23
- Cross-sector social partnerships
 - (CSSPs), 2–4, 14, 19–23, 29, 39–40, 49, 59, 71, 81, 89, 92
 - ACAP in, 42–47
 - common metrics of CSSP performance, 33
 - impact, outcomes, and output, 34–38
 - leadership, 60–61
 - meta-review of CSSP literature, 30–33
 - open strategy in, 62–69
- Cultural/culture, 81
 - perks, 81
 - storytelling, 85–88
- Diffusion, 9–10
- Dissemination, 8
- Documenting, 84
- Domain level interdependence, 43
- Downtown Development Plan (Wayne), 78–79
- Downtown Improvement District (DID), 78
- Fourth sector skills set, 60
- Globalization, 1
- Goal interdependence, 64–65
- Gram Vikas, 10–11
- Grounded theory approach, 39

- HandMade Collaborative, 83
- High-functioning cross-functional teams, 55
- Impacts, 29–30, 34–38
- Inclusiveness, 66–67
- Indiana, multi-disciplinary team's in, 21–22
- Leadership, 59
 - CSSP, 60–61
 - importance, 59
 - open strategy in CSSPs, 62–69
- Learning, 42, 84
- Market failure theory, 17
- Motivation, 39
 - ACAP in CSSPs, 42–47
- Movement and Transformation
 - Network for Transformation of Rural Areas program (MANTRA program), 10–11
- Multi-disciplinary teams (MDTs), 21
 - in Indiana, 21–22
- Multi-factor models, 8
- Networks, 71
 - connectedness, 77
 - political influence, 73–79
- Nigeria, Covid-19 in, 3
- Nongovernment organizations (NGOs), 3
- “One for one” models, 23
- Open innovation, 63
- Open strategy in CSSPs, 62
 - goal interdependence, 64–65
 - inclusiveness, 66–67
 - participatory decision-making, 67–69
 - stakeholder legitimacy, 67
 - transparency, 65–66
- Outcomes, 29–30, 34–38
- Outputs, 29–30, 34–38
- Participatory decision-making, 67–69
- Partnership goals, alignment of, 24–27
- Pathway models, 8
- Perceptions of team justice, 57
- Potential ACAP, 43–44
- Professionalization of service provision, 17
- Purpose Built Communities (PBC), 53–55
- Realized ACAP, 44–47
- Recyclebank, 51–53
- Replication, 9
- Roberts Enterprise Development Fund, 15
- Robust networks, 73
- Scaling social innovation, 8
 - scale capabilities, 11–16
- Shell Foundation, 15
- Social Incubator and Accelerator programs, 11–12
- Social innovation, 7–8
- Social problems, 89
- Social Venture Partners, 90–91
- Societal problems, 89
- Spiral models, 8
- Staffing, Communicating, Alliance-building, Lobbying Earnings-generation, Replicating, Simulating market forces model (SCALERS model), 12
- Stagnation chasm, 15
- Stakeholder
 - consensus, 77
 - legitimacy, 67
- Storytelling, 84–88
- Supply–demand models, 8
- Team
 - satisfaction, 57
 - selection control, 55–56
- Three-strategy models, 8

Toilet Board Coalition (TBC), 76–77
Transparency, 65–66
Trust, 45

Unilever, 68

University of Texas Health Science
Center (UTHealth), 61

Welcoming, 84

Wicked problems, 1–4

Working cities, 40–41

Working Cities Challenge
(WCC), 40