DLP 38,4

532

Received 12 March 2022 Revised 12 May 2022 Accepted 7 August 2022

# The potential of Google Analytics for tracking the reading behavior in web books

Lukáš Porsche, Ladislava Zbiejczuk Suchá and Jan Martinek Department of Information and Library Studies, Faculty of Arts, Masaryk University, Brno, Czech Republic

# Abstract

**Purpose** – The purpose of this paper is to introduce Google Analytics as a format suitable for advanced tracking of reading behavior within web books, set the metrics for measuring the reading behavior of web books and describe the first results of a pilot study. This paper offers suggestions for further deployment of web books and web analytics in digital libraries and evaluating web books' performance.

**Design/methodology/approach** – To understand the reading behavior of web book users, researchers use quantitative research methods based on custom and advanced metrics at Google Analytics.

**Findings** – Google Analytics is a valuable tool for tracking access to individual books and tracking entire web book collections, mainly if researchers use the combination of unique custom and advanced metrics. A pilot study with 190 users uncovered significant results on reading behavior, for example, the strong preference for scrolling over navigation buttons.

**Research limitations/implications** – This pilot study is limited to measuring two web books and 190 users. This study demonstrated a workable setup of metrics for measuring reading behavior; it would be helpful to continue measurement with a larger sample of books and users.

**Originality/value** – Researchers in library and information science currently use web analytics mainly to understand user behavior on the website and in the catalog. This paper presents the possibilities of deploying Google Analytics directly in web books to understand reading behavior.

Keywords Metrics, Libraries, Digital libraries, Web analytics, Online reading, Web books

Paper type Research paper

## Introduction

Digital literary reading (Mangen *et al.*, 2019) has become almost an everyday research practice. Reading behavior as an embodied human–technology interaction (Mangen and Weel, 2016) has become the subject of much research that focuses on different aspects of digital books and digital reading. The body of research is both quantitative and qualitative



Digital Library Perspectives Vol. 38 No. 4, 2022 pp. 532-541 Emerald Publishing Limited 2059-5816 DOI 10.1108/DLP-03-2022-0021 The research was carried out as part of the "Redesigning Library Services 2020: web-books" project. The project (project number/name) is cofinanced with support from the Czech Technology Agency under the ETA Programme. The authors would also like to thank the municipal library, especially Vojtěch Vojtíšek, which enabled the deployment of the new format of web books in the electronic library.

<sup>©</sup> Lukáš Porsche, Ladislava Zbiejczuk Suchá and Jan Martinek. Published by Emerald Publishing Limited. This article is published under the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY 4.0) licence. Anyone may reproduce, distribute, translate and create derivative works of this article (for both commercial and non-commercial purposes), subject to full attribution to the original publication and authors. The full terms of this licence may be seen at http://creativecommons.org/licences/by/4.0/ legalcode

and follows a wide range of research questions and variables. However, reading and reading behavior are often studied indirectly – through observation, cognitive walkthrough, instrumentation (e.g. eye trackers) or retrospective questioning. Direct data collection methods that collect real-time data on actual reader behavior are still relatively uncommon in scientific research.

Major e-reader manufacturers and ebook publishers have been tracking users of reading applications for the past 15 years. Unfortunately, those who continually gather readers' data and possess the most significant data collections have been silent about their methodologies and methods, which is not surprising given those organizations are commercial subjects. Hidalgo and Malagón (2014) described the concept of "book-as-a-service" and stressed its potential for text mining and measuring how users of web books behave. Since then, very little information on actual use cases has been publicly available, and a deep understanding of ebooks readers' behavior is still missing. Public libraries could benefit from such knowledge, as they increasingly act as intermediaries or publishers of literature. Web books offer libraries expanded opportunities to experiment with the book design and format (Wilson *et al.*, 2003; Landoni *et al.*, 2001) and better understand reading behavior.

These days, measuring reading behavior in web books has received minimal attention. This paper examines the implementation of the Google Analytics tracking tool in web books: the categories of measured data, actions, values and labels and the description of the measurement process relevant to libraries. Google Analytics is a standard tool used for website usage tracking used daily in libraries (Nelson, 2016). Using Google Analytics is relatively easy and does not mean additional financial costs for the library, which is why this solution is a popular option for online library analytics (Barba *et al.*, 2013). However, librarians use Google Analytics mainly to evaluate library websites (Fang, 2007; Khoo *et al.*, 2008; Arendt and Wagner, 2010; Vecchione *et al.*, 2016) or catalogs (Fu *et al.*, 2021).

Several initiatives aim to track ebook readers' tracking, which publishes their methods and results and provides at least an essential insight into this field (Rowberry, 2019; Lynch, 2017; Fagan, 2014). Measuring and evaluating ebook use in libraries has been usually based on simple metrics – the usage statistics obtained from a provider's platform (Sprague and Hunter, 2008), the number of times a web page with a book is viewed or the number of downloads of an ebook (Yuan and Jurczyk, 2019). This data can potentially be helpful for designers of reading apps, electronic bookshops, publishers, authors and libraries.

The objectives of this study are:

- To introduce the next-book format and Google Analytics as a format suitable for advanced tracking of reading behavior.
- To set the metrics for measuring the reading behavior of web books.
- To understand the first results of a pilot study.
- To offer suggestions for further deployment of Google Analytics as a measurement tool for online reading analytics in libraries.

The context: the deployment of web books in the municipal library of Prague In 2020, the Municipal Library of Prague, which maintains an extensive collection of electronic books (Prokop and Stejskal, 2021), has begun experimenting with a new technology of web books. Web books are accessible via the World Wide Web and exist in several forms (Wilson, 2000). They are borrowable or free or may require a payment to own them. There are many examples of web book initiatives, especially for books in the free domain (e.g. Project Gutenberg, Bartleby.com, Internet Public Library). Google Analytics for tracking

533

DLP Municipal Library of Prague started to use free web books as the alternative to PDF. EPUB. PRC and other traditional formats. Next-book is an open platform for publishing and 38.4 reading on the web. The basic design principle of the next-book format is an optimization for focused reading of books, which allows full use of the digital platform both on an individual and social level. These functions influence both the interface design and the tools for publishers. The application is based on accepted web standards, uses current standards developed by the W3C (WPUB manifest) and uses advanced web tools (React, Hugo, Node, 534 is). From a reader's perspective, the next-book format is designed for use on any device that allows web browsing (see Figure 1), the interface is adapted to reading (scrolling by page, automatic switching between chapters) and supports the text navigation (chapter and verse chunking for easy finding of place in the book replaces, page numbers, display the current position in the text and chapter). It also allows creative work with books such as writing footnotes, annotations (highlighting notes), bookmarks and font size adjustment. After first opened, the entire book becomes available without an internet connection as it is automatically stored in a user's device. For this article, electronic books published on this platform are referred to as *web books*.

The deployment of web books in the electronic library of the Municipal Library of Prague allowed the research team to measure more different types of action and behavior that are usual for electronic library collections.

## Methodology

The adoption of the new web book format allows the library to collect new data on ebooks and the reading behavior of their users. The team decided to implement a tracking tool to probe technical possibilities and gather data that can be used in further development and optimization of the next-book platform and in measuring web books used in the Municipal Library of Prague.



Figure 1. View next-book format on a small mobile device

Source: Next-book.info

We have identified two primary use cases for the data on web books provided by the library:

- tracking users' behavior to gather data on the next-book platform as such, that is, to obtain valuable information for further development and optimizing of nextbook interface and functions regardless of a concrete book; and
- (2) tracking users to gather data on individual titles to learn about the differences between them, that is, to obtain information on concrete books, their use and actual reader's attitude to them.

### Web analytics

Web analytics is a quantitative method that allows researchers to collect behavioral data using an application that logs user behavior on the website and other associated measures (Jansen, 2009). In our case, the website equals the web book.

### Metrics for readers' tracking with Google Analytics

Google Analytics works by including a block of JavaScript code on pages in a website. It provides several predefined dimensions and metrics and allows tracking of additional custom-defined events for a specific website. As next-book itself is an HTML/JavaScriptbased platform, we chose Google Analytics for its ease of implementation and good results it provides in web analytics (Cutroni, 2010; Clifton, 2012). Methods of working with Google Analytics and correct interpretation of data gathered from websites are widely known; extensive sources are available in this regard. The context in this particular case is different. Although technically a website (or a series of consecutive HTML files), the next-book format differs from a regular website in anticipated and observed user behavior. Readers know that they are going to open an ebook and – given they find the book interesting – they are going to interact with it in a way that differs from a typical interaction with a website – ebooks often allow the digital equivalents of the act of traditional reading (Spence, 2020). At least a certain number of users read the ebook regularly and read it from start to finish, that is, visit all or nearly all pages of the website one after the other. However, this might differ depending on the genre.

Google Analytics is available in several versions; in this case, we use Universal Analytics. Each next-book title with Google Analytics code implemented was published and made publicly available on GitHub. Specific web books were tracked as different Views in Google Analytics within a single Google Analytics Property, that is, each View equals a web book unit. On the first page of a web book (an envelope), readers are presented with information about the ongoing tracking. Only those who provided consent were tracked. Data on those who refused were not collected.

#### Setting up the metrics

As long as the library only offered ebooks in PDF, EPUB and similar formats, it could only track basic metrics such as the number of downloads of a book or the number of times opening a PDF file in a browser. With the introduction of the web book format, the library gained the ability to track other metrics and get answers to new questions, such as how many users opened a web book? How long did the reader spend with the ebook? How many pages did they read? How many users left the book without starting to read it? Do they move through the text using the keyboard, finger movements on the screen, or do they prefer buttons? Do they create annotations and notes in the ebook? How do they move back and forth in the book?

tracking

535

Google Analytics for

To obtain data on the interaction with the next-book format and its features, new custom
events were set up and tracked in Google Analytics. Of the dimensions and metrics that
Google Analytics tracks by default, the ones listed in Table 1 are useful in developing and
optimizing the web books interface.

In connection with the library's strategic goals in access to electronic books, we also defined a structured list of custom events for readers' tracking with Google Analytics consisting of a Category (interaction, UI, Offline), an Action taken by the user and/or a Value/Label for each event. We used these metrics to capture and measure reading behavior and the use of web book features (scrolling, using the keyboard for the move between pages, swiping, using buttons in the next-book interface for turning a page in a book, creation of annotation, returning to the last opened position or reading from a new position, reading in offline mode) and modifications to the user interface (changing the color scheme, font size, opening a menu). Table 2 offers a complete list of custom events with a detailed description.

### Adoption and validation of the Google Analytics-based reading metrics for web books

The pilot testing of Google Analytics-based reading metrics for web books took place from July 26, to November 30, 2021, and the data was measured on two books: Karel Čapek: *The Absolute at Large* (Web book 1) and Karel Čapek: *The White Disease* (Web book 2). IP addresses of nextbook team members were excluded from tracking so that Google Analytics only gathered data on external readers, not on people involved in the project.

It is important to note that this stage of testing aimed to probe possibilities that Google Analytics offers and answer the question of whether the tool is suitable for measuring web books. The overall activity aimed to find dimensions, metrics and events tracked in Google Analytics to provide a basis for future exploration once a sufficient number of ebooks in nextbook format becomes available. However, in the Results section of this paper, real data – albeit small – are presented as a proof of suitability of Google Analytics for tracking the reading behavior.

#### Privacy and security issues of measuring

The legalities and ethics of using Google Analytics for library services have been the subject of many studies (O'Brien *et al.*, 2018; Hwang and Hanson, 2021). Google Analytics is a cookie-based analytical tool, that is, it stores small files called cookies on a user's device. In some countries, including those of the European Union, a website owner is obliged to inform

Dimensions/metrics	Definition
Number of users	A number of individual users that visited (even repeatedly in a given timeframe) the web book
Number of sessions	A session is an event in time when a user was actively engaged with the web book
Number of pageviews	A total number of pages viewed. Repeated views of a single page are counted
Bounce rate	The percentage of single-page sessions in which there was no interaction with the page (a visitor viewed a single page and left)
Pages/session	The average number of pages viewed during a session
Average session duration	The average length of a session
Device category	Computer/tablet/mobile
Browser	Type and version of a web browser

Table 1.

DLP 38.4

536

Dimensions and metrics that Google Analytics tracks by default that are relevant for web books provided by the library

Category	Action	Label	Description	Google Analytics for
Interaction	scrolled	Scroll	Tracks scrolling. The label is recorded once per session, even if a reader scrolls repeatedly	tracking
Interaction	paginated	keyboard	Tracks pagination. A label is recorded every time a reader uses a keyboard for turning a page in a book	
Interaction	paginated	Swipe	Tracks pagination. A label is recorded every time a reader swipe on a touchscreen to turn a page in a book	537
Interaction	paginated	Buttons	Tracks pagination. A label is recorded every time a reader uses buttons in the next-book interface for turning a page in a book	
Interaction	annotation- created	_	Tracks annotations created by readers. Action is recorded every time a reader clicks on the annotation button	
Interaction	note-created	_	Tracks note creation. Action is recorded every time a reader creates a note	
UI	color-scheme	auto, light, dark, sepia	Tracks color schemes: the default is "auto." A label is recorded every time a user closes the menu in a book	
UI	font-size	-	Tracks font size changes	
UI	menu-opened	-	Tracks opening the menu	
UI	seq-return	returned	Tracks reader's returning to the latest opened position in a book. A label is recorded when a reader	
UI	seq-return	Reset	uses this function Tracks reading from a new position. A label is recorded when a reader does not use the return function but instead navigates to a different position in a book	Table 2.Complete list ofcustom events with adetailed description

users about cookies and let them decide if they consent to tracking. Google has been criticized for collecting data about internet users and aggressive tracking; however, Google Analytics does not store any personally identifiable information, for example, email, name, company and information of similar nature. It is possible to enable the User-ID feature that associates engagement data from different devices and multiple sessions and tracks a concrete user for a longer time period. Because we did not aim to work with this type of data, we left the User-ID feature disabled. Ebook users were informed about data collection; only those who consented were tracked. Users could also learn details on tracking on the Privacy Policy page. Thus, we ensured that tracking was legal and in accordance with Google policy.

## Results

Results presented here serves as a proof that the data gathering method featured in this paper is a functional way of collecting readers' data and that it can lead to an informed decisionmaking. One hundred ninety users visited the two books during the testing period and consented to measurement. Less than 12% of users saw only one page and left without any interaction; others proceeded into the book. The average number of pages viewed during a session was 2.55 and 4.01, respectively, the average length of a session was 1 h 7 min and 10 min. As is apparent, there were substantial differences in metrics between the two books. Several users, sessions and pageviews can help understand the popularity of a given title; here, Web book 1 drew more attention (1 h 7 min) than Web book 2 (10 min). Also, the former's lower bounce rate is in line with these findings. The average session duration in Web book 1 is

DLP	significantly longer than in Web book 2, indicating that some (unknown) readers of the former
38,4	put the book aside only after long periods of reading (see Table 3).
00,1	Both titles were read on computers and mobiles and only exceptionally on tablets (see
	Table 4). Most visitors used computers to access the books (55.79%), 40.53% used mobile
	phones and only 3.68% used tablets. If data gathered from more books prove this to be the
	case, it can lead the next-book designers to focus more on the computer and mobile users.
538	The most common browser was Chrome (47.37%), followed by Android WebView (17.89%)
000	and Safari (13.68%).

### Interactions and user interface

Table 5 can provide helpful insights on readers' adoption of the next-book platform and readers' behavior in both books. The higher popularity of one book may have been because of the order in which it was offered to readers. Regardless of popularity, however, the books show similar results when observing reader behavior. Scrolling was the most common way of moving through the book (91.67% overall), followed by swiping (33.33%). Users use movement through the keyboard minimally (5.56%). Annotation was created by 13.33% of readers, whereas only one user (0.56%) created a note. Of the user interface features, the most used were the font resizing and menu opening functions. During the testing period, nobody used navigational buttons to navigate the books. If this observation is confirmed with larger amounts of data and repeatedly, it would imply that these interaction terms are redundant and could be removed.

### Discussion

A pilot deployment of the method confirmed that Google Analytics-based reading metrics for web books are suitable for measuring web book users' reading behavior. It can answer research questions related to reading itself (e.g. how many readers finish a book, how many readers read the first chapter, how many readers close the web book on the first page or how

Table 3.	Metrics	Web book 1	Web book 2
Relevant metrics	Users	121	69
tracked by default	Sessions	306	87
(N = 190. N  variable	Pageviews	779	349
refers to the number	Bounce rate	8.82%	11.49%
of users considered in	Pages/session	2.55	4.01
the study)	Avg. session duration	1 h 7 min	10 min

			Web book 1	Web book 2	Total
<b>Table 4.</b> Relevant dimensions tracked by default	Device category Browser	Computer Mobile phone Tablet Chrome Android WebView Safari Others	67 users (55.37%) 48 users (39.67%) 6 users (4.96%) 59 users (48.76%) 21 users (17.36%) 17 users (14.05%) 24 users (19.83%)	39 users (20.53%) 29 users (15.26%) 1 user (0.53%) 31 users (16.32%) 13 users (6.84%) 9 users (4.74%) 16 users (8.42%)	106 users (55.79%) 77 users (40.53%) 7 users (3.68%) 90 users (47.37%) 34 users (17.89%) 26 users (13.68%) 40 users (21.05%)

Category	Action	Label	· · · · · ·	Web book 2 (N = 62) Number (percentage) of users who used this feature at least once	Total (N = 180) Number (percentage) of users who used this feature at least once	Google Analytics for tracking
Interaction Interaction Interaction Interaction Interaction	scrolled paginated paginated paginated annotation-	scroll keyboard swipe buttons –	110 (93.22%) 1 4 (3.39%) 43 (36.44%) 0 (0.00%) 18 (15.25%)	55 (88.71%) 6 (9.68%) 17 (27.42%) 0 (0.00%) 6 (9.68%)	165 (91.67%) 10 (5.56%) 60 (33.33%) 0 (0%) 24 (13.33%)	539
Interaction User interface User interface User interface User interface User interface User interface User interface	color-scheme color-scheme color-scheme font-size menu-opened seq-return	auto light dark sepia – returned reset	$\begin{array}{c}1\ (0.85\%)\\24\ (20.34\%)\\4\ (3.39\%)\\5\ (4.24\%)\\0\ (0.00\%)\\28\ (23.73\%)\\53\ (44.92\%)\\16\ (13.56\%)\\5\ (4.24\%)\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0\ (0.00\ \%)\\ 10\ (16.13\ \%)\\ 0\ (0.00\ \%)\\ 2\ (3.23\ \%)\\ 2\ (3.23\ \%)\\ 13\ (20.97\ \%)\\ 26\ (41.94\ \%)\\ 1\ (1.61\ \%)\\ 0\ (0.00\ \%)\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c}1\ (0.56\%)\\34\ (18.89\%)\\4\ (2.22\%)\\7\ (3.89\%)\\2\ (1.11\%)\\41\ (22.78\%)\\79\ (43.89\%)\\17\ (9.44\%)\\5\ (2.78\%)\end{array}$	Table 5.Custom events inWeb books 1 and 2(N variable refers tothe number of usersconsidered in thestudy)

long it takes on average to read a chapter). With the usage of custom metrics, researchers can answer many questions related to user interface behavior (font size adjustment, screen color, use of annotation features). In the future, libraries will be able to make data-driven decisions about, for example, what web books to include in their digital collections, how to provide them and what added functionality (such as annotation or social reading features) readers need. They can thus enhance the social aspects of digital reading (Pianzola, 2021). Until now, the library lacked this data because the books available in PDF, EPUB or PRC formats do not allow gathering the data about user behavior in real time.

Based on our experience with measuring reading behavior in web books, we formulated the following recommendations:

- Custom metrics, such as number of users, number of sessions, number of pageviews, bounce rate, pages/session, average session duration, device category and type of browser, should be the basis for tracking basic patterns in user behavior when acquiring and reading ebooks.
- Advanced web book formats such as next-book also allow tracking other features and more significant variability in reading behavior (scrolling, using the keyboard for the move between pages, swiping, using buttons in the next-book interface for turning a page in a book, creation of annotation, returning to the last opened position or reading from a new position, reading in offline mode) or about modifications to the user interface (changing the color scheme, font size, opening a menu).
- Google Analytics can be used to track access to individual books and track entire ebook collections. However, the privacy of library users should remain assured (e.g. through disabled User-ID feature).
- Based on the data from Google Analytics, librarians can make decisions regarding the design and development of web books.

DLP 38,4	• To explain and deeply understand analytics results, we recommend combining Google Analytics and other methods, predominantly qualitative and mixed methods. In case of questionable results, for example, user testing, A/B testing or eye-tracking could help explain the causes of unusual behavior in the web book. Results from user testing will be presented in follow-up papers.
540	Currently, in cooperation with the Municipal Library in Prague, the authors of the method are planning the quick deployment of the new format of web books in the catalog, so we expect a more significant amount of data and the possibility of subsequent publication of the results of measuring reading behavior.

### References

- Arendt, J. and Wagner, C. (2010), "Beyond description: converting web site usage statistics into concrete site improvement ideas", *Journal of Web Librarianship*, Vol. 4 No. 1, pp. 37-54, doi: 10.1080/ 19322900903547414.
- Barba, I., Cassidy, R., De Leon, E. and Williams, B.J. (2013), "Web analytics reveal user behavior: TTU libraries' experience with Google Analytics", *Journal of Web Librarianship*, Vol. 7 No. 4, pp. 389-400, doi: 10.1080/19322909.2013.828991.
- Clifton, B. (2012), Advanced Web Metrics with Google Analytics, 3rd ed., Wiley.
- Cutroni, J. (2010), Google Analytics: Understanding Visitor Behavior, 1st ed., O'Reilly.
- Fagan, J.C. (2014), "The suitability of web analytics key performance indicators in the academic library environment", *The Journal of Academic Librarianship*, Vol. 40 No. 1, pp. 25-34, doi: 10.1016/j. acalib.2013.06.005.
- Fang, W. (2007), "Using Google analytics for improving library website content and design: a case study", *Library Philosophy and Practice*, Vol. 9 No. 2, pp. 1-17, doi: 10.7282/T3MK6B6N.
- Fu, Y., Lomas, E. and Inskip, C. (2021), "Library log analysis and its implications for studying online information seeking behavior of cultural groups", *The Journal of Academic Librarianship*, Vol. 47 No. 5, p. 102421, doi: 10.1016/j.acalib.2021.102421.
- Hidalgo, J. and Malagón, C. (2014), "Opportunities and challenges of building a books-as-a-service platform", *The Journal of Electronic Publishing*, Vol. 17 No. 1, doi: 10.3998/3336451.0017.109.
- Hwang, S.-Y. and Hanson, M. (2021), "Learning analytics and privacy: a library perspective", *Internet Reference Services Quarterly*, Vol. 25 No. 3, pp. 69-72.
- Jansen, B.J. (2009), Understanding User-Web Interactions via Web Analytics, Morgan and Claypool.
- Khoo, M., Pagano, J., Washington, DC, A.L., Recker, M., Palmer, B. and Donahue, R.A. (2008), "Using web metrics to analyze digital libraries", *Proceedings of the 8th ACM/IEEE-CS Joint Conference* on Digital Libraries - JCDL'08, presented at the 8th ACM/IEEE-CS joint conference, ACM Press, Pittsburgh PA, p. 375.
- Landoni, M., Wilson, R. and Gibb, F. (2001), "Looking for guidelines for the production of electronic textbooks", Online Information Review, Vol. 25 No. 3, pp. 181-195, doi: 10.1108/14684520110395227.
- Lynch, C. (2017), "The rise of reading analytics and the emerging calculus of reader privacy in the digital world", *First Monday*, Vol. 22 No. 4, doi: 10.5210/fm.v22i4.7414.
- Mangen, A., Olivier, G. and Velay, J.-L. (2019), "Comparing comprehension of a long text read in print book and on kindle: where in the text and when in the story?", *Frontiers in Psychology*, Vol. 10, p. 38, doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2019.00038.
- Mangen, A. and Weel, A. (2016), "The evolution of reading in the age of digitisation: an integrative framework for reading research", *Literacy*, Vol. 50 No. 3, pp. 116-124, doi: 10.1111/lit.12086.
- Nelson, J. (2016), "Actionable metrics from patron activity", Becoming a Lean Library, Elsevier, pp. 99-110, doi: 10.1016/B978-1-84334-779-8.00007-0.

O'Brien, P., W.H. Young, S., Arlitsch, K. and Benedict, K. (2018), "Protecting privacy on the web: a study of HTTPS and Google Analytics implementation in academic library websites", <i>Online Information Review</i> , Vol. 42 No. 6, pp. 734-751.	Google Analytics for
Pianzola, F. (2021), "Digital social reading", PubPub, doi: 10.1162/ba67f642.a0d97dee.	tracking
Prokop, V. and Stejskal, J. (2021), "Ebooks in the Czech Republic: analysis of demand and readers' behaviour", <i>IFLA Journal</i> , Vol. 47 No. 4, p. 034003522198936, doi: 10.1177/0340035221989366.	
Rowberry, S. (2019), "The limits of big data for analyzing reading", <i>Participations</i> , Vol. 16 No. 1, pp. 237-257, available at: http://dspace.stir.ac.uk/handle/1893/29576	541
Spence, C. (2020), "The multisensory experience of handling and reading books", <i>Multisensory Research</i> , Vol. 33 No. 8, pp. 902-928, doi: 10.1163/22134808-bja10015.	
Sprague, N. and Hunter, B. (2008), "Assessing ebooks: taking a closer look at ebook statistics", <i>Library Collections, Acquisitions, and Technical Services</i> , Vol. 32 Nos 3/4, pp. 150-157, doi: 10.1016/j. lcats.2008.12.005.	
Vecchione, A., Brown, D., Allen, E. and Baschnagel, A. (2016), "Tracking user behavior with Google Analytics events on an academic library web Site", <i>Journal of Web Librarianship</i> , Vol. 10 No. 3, pp. 161-175, doi: 10.1080/19322909.2016.1175330.	
Wilson, R., Landoni, M. and Gibb, F. (2003), "The web book experiments in electronic textbook design", <i>Journal of Documentation</i> , Vol. 59 No. 4, pp. 454-477, doi: 10.1108/00220410310485721.	
Wilson, R. (2000), "The problem of defining electronic books", available at: http://ebooks.cis.strath.ac. uk/eboni/documents/definition.html	

Yuan, W. and Jurczyk, E. (2019), "Understanding and measuring e-book packages: print purchasing patterns and book usage", O, Wind, if Winter comes, can spring be far behind? Charleston Conference Proceedings, doi: 10.5703/1288284317006.

## Corresponding author

Ladislava Zbiejczuk Suchá can be contacted at: sucha@phil.muni.cz

For instructions on how to order reprints of this article, please visit our website: **www.emeraldgrouppublishing.com/licensing/reprints.htm** Or contact us for further details: **permissions@emeraldinsight.com**