

INDEX

Note: Page numbers followed by “*n*” indicate endnotes.

- AARP Public Policy Institute, 86–87
- Activities of daily life. *See* Activities of daily living (ADLs)
- Activities of daily living (ADLs), 4, 64, 85, 89, 184, 194
 - and dependency, 193
- Actual caregivers in times of need, 195
- Additional aids for non-cohabiting elderly, 169–170
- “Ädel reform”, 10
- Advice network size, 235
- Affectual dimension of intergenerational relations, 150
- Age/aging, 130
 - group, 239
 - identities, 194–199
 - index, 43
 - of marital partner, 216
 - population, 40
 - society, 2, 99
- Agencies, 77
 - agency support, 73–74
- Aging women in contemporary Indian families, 185–186
 - age identities, and habitus of family, 194–199
 - attitude of family toward medical needs, 207
 - care, 186–188
 - emotional support, 188–190
 - family, roles, and work, 190–192
 - HRQOL, 192–194
 - issues of family care and QOL, 182–185
 - matrilineal system of family in Kerala, 181–182
 - reasons for negative attitude of family members, 207
- Aide–family dynamics, 68
 - factors influencing, 72–74
 - types, 75
- Akaike information criterion (AIC), 135, 219
- “Alternative lifestyles”, 156–157
- American Association of Retired Persons (AARP), 84
- Analytic plan, 254–255
- Anxiety, 84, 217, 222, 224
- Artha* (prosperity), 198
- Ashrama system*, 198
- Assessment of care needs, 10–11
- “Assistive” care, 66
- Associational dimension of intergenerational relations, 150
- “Automobility of family life”, 161
- Average marginal effects (AME), 107, 114
- Basic Pension, 9
- Bayesian information criterion (BIC), 135
- Be a Good Citizen and Take Good Care of Themselves and Their Families* theme, 148–149, 151
- Be Obedient* theme, 147, 149, 151
- Beja (medium-sized city), 174ⁿ⁷
- Biographical disruptions, 230
- “Biståndshandläggare”, 17
- Bivariate analysis, 278–279
- Black women, 274
- Bourdieu’s Practice theory, 183
- Brahmacharya* (student), 187, 198
- Bridging aids for non-cohabiting elderly, 170–171
- Carative relationships, 66, 70, 72
- Care, 3
 - of aging women in India, 186–188
 - convoys, 65
 - home care workers, families, and the co-production, 65–66
 - on microlevel, 7–11
- Care managers (CMs), 3–4, 10–11

- Care Recipient (CR), 4
- Caregivers, 89
- Caregiving, 84, 187
 - activities, 116
 - duration, 85
 - grandmothers, 125–126
- Caregiving burden, 84–86
 - analysis, 89
 - data, 87
 - discussion, 91–93
 - measurement, 88–89
 - results, 89–91
 - statistically significant relationships, 91
- Caring for aging population, 79
- Case Management, 8
- Case manager. *See* Care managers (CMs)
- Center for Epidemiologic Studies-Depression scale (CES-D scale), 129, 131
- “Change in Trends of Vietnamese Family Characteristics in International Integration Process” Project, 59*n*3
- Chi-square tests, 192
- Child care, 124, 126, 129
- China, growth in internal migration in, 143
- China Health and Retirement Longitudinal Study (CHARLS), 144
- Chinese society, 143–144
- Chinese-American grandparents, 100
- Chow test, 111
- Class, 158
- Classical marriage patterns, 210
- CMs/SWs, 3, 17–20, 33
 - analysis of vignettes, 19–29
 - Japanese CMs/SWs, 21, 23
 - reasoning, 30
 - Swedish CM/SW, 10
- Co-production of care, 65–66
 - perceptions of, 70–72
- Codes, 146
- Coding, 146
- Cohabitation, 219
- Collaborative model of home care, 78
- Collaborative relationships, 66
- Community activities in Viet Nam, 47–50
- Community care model in Korea, 11
- Community-based integrated care system, 8
- Community-based organizations, 50
- Comparative Asian Family Survey (CAFS), 58*n*1
- Comparative fit index (CFI), 89
- Competitive relationships, 66, 70–71, 75
- “Complementary” labor, 66
- Confidant network size, 235
- Confucian culture, 149–150
- Confucianism, 8
- Consensual dimension of
 - intergenerational relations, 150
- Content analysis, 146, 149
- Control(s), 130
 - negotiating power and, 75–76
 - variables, 239
- Conventional relationships, 66
- Correlations, 279–281
- Covariates, 106
- Croatia, 213
 - liberation and emancipation of women in, 222–223
- Cultural family, 49
- Custodial grandmothers, 130
- Decommercialization, 13
- Defamilialization, 29–30
 - through public provision, 7
- Defamilization, 13
- Deinstitutionalization, 156–158
- Demographic characteristics
 - of population aging in Viet Nam, 43–44
 - related to older adults’ care, 44–47
- Demographic covariates, 254
- Dependent variable, 105–106, 219
- Depression, 84, 218, 222, 224
- Depressive symptoms, 129
 - by grandparenting, 135
 - Korean grandparenting and, 133–135
- Descriptive statistics, 239, 240, 277
 - by relationship status, 255–256
- Deuniversalization, 14
- Dharma* (righteous living), 198
- Difficult ties network size, 236
- Distraction, 169
- Diversity in family relations, 150

- Divorce in Vietnamese culture, 46
- Divorced people, 256–258
- “Doi Moi” policy (Renovation), 44, 46, 50, 59*n*4
- Domestic workers in Viet Nam, 52
- Dyad variables, 219
- Ecological systems theory, 12
- Education, 55–56, 130, 216
- Educational attainment, 239
- Elder care, 40
 - in family during first two decades of 21st century, 52–57
 - in traditional Vietnamese family, 40–43
- Elderly parents
 - economic support to, 54
 - emotional support to, 53
 - support patterns, 52–53
 - support to elderly parents through regular daily housework, 53–54
 - support to older parents by social groups, 54–57
- Emotional factors and marital satisfaction, 271–272
- Emotional intimacy, 218, 222, 224
- Emotional support of aging women in India, 188–190
- Employment status, 239
- Enumeration districts (EDs), 105
- Evaluations, 99
- Évora (Portuguese city), 159
- Expertise, 75–76
- External support and supervision, 76–77
- Ezhavas*, 181
- Familialism
 - by default, 7
 - prescribed, 7
 - supported, 7
- “Familialist” regime, 13
- Familism/familialism, 4
- “Familistic” welfare regimes, 7
- Family and Medical Leave Act (FMLA), 86
- Family Routines Inventory (FRI), 174*n*5
- Family/families, 65–66
 - care to formal care in Korea, 13–14
 - caregivers, 84
 - dynamics, 65
 - emotional support, 253, 257–259, 261–262
 - habitus of, 194–199
 - in India, 180–181
 - Indian women in, 185–186
 - matrilineal system in Kerala, 181–182
 - occasions, 159–160
 - practices, 158
 - rituals, 158–159
 - social–structural changes of, 190–191
- Filial child, 147–148, 151
- Filial piety, 142
 - in changing context, 143–144
 - coding, 146
 - discussion, 149–152
 - as personal trait, 143
 - procedures and sample characteristics, 145–146
 - results, 146–148
 - study location, 144–145
 - traditional meanings and subconstructs, 142
- Financial strain, 84
- “Floating couple”, 156–157
- Formerly married cohabiters, 259
- Formerly married daters, 259
- Friend emotional support, 253, 258–259, 261–262
- Full-time grandchild care, 136
- Functional dimension of
 - intergenerational relations, 150–151
- Gender, 216, 222, 249–251
 - descriptive statistics by, 255–256
 - differences, 101–102, 109
 - identity, 239
- Generational interdependence, 186
- Geography, 158
- Goodness-of-fit statistics, 135
- Grandchild care, 126, 136–137
- Grandmothers, 112
- Grandparent caregivers
 - non-residential, 100
 - scholarship on well-being of, 98
- Grandparent caregiving, 98–99
 - analysis strategy, 107–109

- covariates, 106
- cross-cultural comparisons, 99–100
- data, 105
- dependent variable, 105–106
- description of sample, 109, 114
- discussion, 99–111
- independent variables, 106
- measurement, 105–109
- multivariate analyses, 114–115
- multivariate logistic regression results, 109
- research on non-custodial, 100
- theoretical framework, 100–105
- Grandparent child care, 104
- Grandparent–grandchild emotional bonds, 112
- Grandparenthood, 157
- Grandparenting, 124–126
 - in Jamaican context, 102–104
 - and well-being, 101–102
- Grihastha (householder)*, 187
- Grihashthashrama*, 198
- Habitus of family, 194–199
- Health behaviors, 130
- Health-related quality of life (HRQOL), 183–184, 192–194
- Help Older Parents When in Times of Need* theme, 147–151
- High multiplex network, 238
- Hindu Adoptions and Maintenance Act 1956, 182
- Hindu Succession Act, 182
- Hinduism, 180
 - stages in life of individual in, 187
- Hispanics, 99
- Home care, 4
 - collaborative model of, 78
- Home care networks, 77–79
- Home care workers (HCWs), 64–66
- Home health agencies, 77
- Home health aides (HHAs), 64–66
 - aides' perception of role, 68–70
 - analysis, 68
 - conceptualizing home care networks, 77–79
 - data collection, 67–68
 - design and methods, 66
 - external support and supervision, 76–77
 - factors influencing aide–family dynamics, 72–74
 - negotiating power and control, 75–76
 - participant characteristics, 67
 - perceptions of co-production of care, 70–72
 - recruitment, 66–67
- Identity Cocoons*, 198–199
- Illams*, 180
- Independent relationships, 70–71
- Independent variables, 106
- Indian family, 180–181. *See also* Aging women in contemporary Indian families
- Individualization, 156–158
- Injury helps network size, 235–236
- Institute for Family and Gender Studies (IFGS), 58n1, 59n5
- Institute of Social Sciences of the University of Lisbon (ICS-UL), 174n2
- Institution, 2
- Instrumental activities of daily life. *See* Instrumental activities of daily living (IADLs)
- Instrumental activities of daily living (IADLs), 88–89, 184, 195
- Integrated Community Care System, 6
- Intellectual intimacy, 218
- Interclass correlation (ICC), 219
- Intergenerational relationships, 98, 124, 136
- Intergenerational self-help clubs model (ISC model), 49
- Interpersonal variables, 218–219
- Jamaica, 102–103
 - grandparenting in, 102–104
 - institutional support for older adults, 103–104
 - Jamaican grandparents' characteristics by gender, 107–108
 - labor force participation rates, 104
 - multivariate logistic regression odds ratios, 118–120
- Japan
 - analysis of vignettes, 19–29
 - data analysis, 18

- demography of participants, 16
- ethical considerations, 18–19
- findings related to defamilialization, 29–30
- LTCI system of Japan, 5
- methodological considerations and limitations, 18
- pattern of supported defamilialization, 7
- relationship between family-based and formal support services, 31
- reshaping family care, 12–13
- trustworthiness of vignette study, 19
- vignettes, 15–17
- welfare regimes, 3, 7
- Joint Family System (Abolition) Act 1975, 182
- Kāma* (desire), 198
- Karanavan*, 187, 191, 203
- Katz scale, 184
- Kerala
 - matrilineal system of family in, 181–182
 - Tharavadu* among *Nayars* in, 180
- Kerala Joint family Abolition Act of 1976, 182
- Kin keepers, 188, 190
- Korea, 2, 124
 - analysis of vignettes, 19–29
 - community care model in, 11
 - data analysis, 18
 - demography of participants, 16
 - ethical considerations, 18–19
 - findings related to defamilialization, 29–30
 - LTCI operating system, 10
 - methodological considerations and limitations, 18
 - pattern of supported defamilialization, 7
 - relationship between family-based and formal support services, 32
 - role of state, 9
 - shift from family care to formal care, 13–14
 - trustworthiness of vignette study, 19
 - vignette study, 15–17
 - welfare regimes, 3, 7
- Korean grandmothers and mental health, 127–128
- Korean grandparenting, 124–125
 - analytic strategy, 130–131
 - data, 128–129
 - and depressive symptoms trajectories, 133–135
 - discussion, 135–137
 - empirical evidence and mental health, 126–127
 - measurement, 129–130
 - sample characteristics, 131–133
 - theoretical perspectives, 125–126
- Korean Longitudinal Study of Aging (KLoSA), 128–131
- Kshatriyas*, 181
- Labor force participation rates among older Jamaicans, 104
- Land Reforms Act of 1956, 182
- Latin America and Caribbean (LAC), 98, 104
- Life satisfaction, 99, 101
 - multivariate logistic regression odds ratios, 110–113
 - older adults', 116
- Linear mixed model, 257–258
- Linxi County, 144–145
- Loneliness in widowhood, 189
- Long-term care
 - recipients, 93
 - research, 77
 - services, 64
- Long-term care insurance (LTCI), 2, 4, 9–10
 - operating system in Korea, 10
 - system of Japan, 5–6
- Long-term caregiving, 85, 92
- Long-term marriages, 210–215, 222, 224–225
- Madras Nambuthiri Act*, 181
- Maintain Frequent Contact and Show Emotional Care* theme, 147, 149–151
- Makkathayam* (Patriliney) system, 182
- “Mandatory” crossing point, 166
- Marital quality, 211, 218–219, 222, 224, 274
- Marital quality index (MQI), 218–219

- Marital satisfaction in older adults, 270.
 See also Parental divorce and separation; Sexual satisfaction in long-term marriages
 bivariate analysis, 278–279
 comparison of models, 282–285
 correlations, 279–281
 data and sample population, 275
 descriptive statistics, 277
 hypotheses and conceptual model, 275
 mental and emotional factors and marital satisfaction, 271–272
 multivariate analysis, 281–282
 other factors and marital satisfaction, 273–274
 physical health and marital satisfaction, 270–271
 sample characteristics, 278
 sexual behaviors and marital satisfaction, 272–273
 univariate analysis, 276–278
 variables and measurement, 275–276
- Marital sexual satisfaction, 224
- Marital status, 239
 in Vietnamese population, 45
- Mark and Lasslo's model, 214
- Market-oriented consumerist culture, 191
- Marriage, 268
 burnout, 218
 duration, 219, 224
 importance, 268
- Married people, 256–258
- Marumakkathayam* system, 182
- Matrilineal system of family in Kerala, 181–182
- Maximum likelihood (ML), 219
- “Mechanization” of tasks, 163
- Medicare, 92
- Medium-sized city, 156
- Mental factors and marital satisfaction, 271–272
- Mental health
 empirical evidence on Korean grandparenting and, 126–127
 Korean grandmothers and, 127–128
- Mesosystem, 12
- Migration effect on delivery of care for elderly, 51
- Moderating factor, 86–87
- Modified Chow tests, 283
- Moksha (liberation)*, 187, 198
- Multigenerational household grandparenting, 129, 133
- Multiplex relationships, 232
- Multiplexity, 231, 236–238
- Multivariate analysis, 281–282
 on grandparent caregiving, 114–115
- Muslims, 181
- Nambudiris* in Kerala, 180–182
- National Alliance for Caregiving (NAC), 86
- National Basic Livelihood Security (NBLs), 9
- National Health Insurance Service (NHIS), 9–10
- National Opinion Research Center (NORC), 275
- National Pension and Public Assistance System, 9
- National Sample Survey Organisation (NSSO), 184, 192
- National Social Life, Health and Aging Project (NSHAP), 275
- Nayars*, 181
- Negotiating power and control, 75–76
- Neighborhood social ties, 253, 258–259, 262–263
- Network composition, parental divorce effects on, 240, 242
- Network disruption, 232
- Network multiplexity
 OLS regression of parental divorce on, 242
 parental divorce effects on, 242–243
- Never marrieds, 259
- Non-cohabiting elderly, 156
 data collection, 158–160
 grandparents behind scenes, 165–172
 metaphors of gender work, 163–165
 parents on move, 160–163
- Non-coresident grandparenting, 129
- Non-farm employment opportunities, 51
- Nonsexual predictors, 215
- Normative dimension of intergenerational relations, 151
- Number of children in family, 219

- “Obedience” theme, 142
- Older adults, 248, 268–269
 - analysis of vignettes, 19–29
 - data and methods, 15–19
 - findings related to defamilialization, 29–30
 - health status, 106–107
 - life satisfaction, 116
 - research context, 12–15
 - social security system for, 9
 - theoretical framework, 11–12
 - vignette of caring for, 38
 - welfare regimes, policy and care on microlevel, 7–11
- Older adults’ care
 - family demographic characteristics related to, 44–47
 - legal framework and community activities, 47–50
 - socio-economic changes, 50–52
- Oldest-old women, 191
- Ordinary least squares regression (OLS regression), 281–282
 - for marital satisfaction by race/ethnicity, 283, 285
 - for marital satisfaction by sex, 282–284
- Paid care, 64–65
- Paid family leave, 84
- Paid HCWs, 64
- Paid Leave in United States, 86–87
- Parental divorce and separation, 230, 240. *See also* Marital satisfaction in older adults
 - advice network size, 235
 - age group, 239
 - analytic approach, 239, 240
 - confidant network size, 235
 - control variables, 239
 - descriptive statistics, 239, 240
 - difficult ties network size, 236
 - discussion, 243–244
 - effects on network composition, 240, 242
 - effects on network multiplexity, 242–243
 - high multiplex network, 238
 - injury helps network size, 235–236
 - long-term impacts, 231
 - measurement, 234–235
 - multiple OLS regression, 241
 - multiplexity, 236–238
 - network disruption, 232
 - practical help network size, 235
 - respondent helps network size, 236
 - social activity network size, 235
 - theoretical framework and hypotheses, 232–233
 - total network size, 236
 - UCNets, 233–234
- Participation in key decision-making, 218, 222, 224
- Partitioning of variance, 282
- Partner’s physical attractiveness, 217, 222–224
- Partners’ health and well-being, 211
- Patriarchy, 214, 217
- Perceived global stress, 217
- Perceptions, 192–194
- Personal care attendants (PCAs), 64
- Personal trait, filial piety as, 143
- Personal variables, 216–218
- Photo elicitation method, 184–185, 197
- Physical health and marital satisfaction, 270–271
- Physical strain, 92
- Planned aid for non-cohabiting elderly, 165–169
- Policy on microlevel, 7–11
- Population aging, 40, 115
 - advanced stages, 2, 30
 - demographic characteristics in Viet Nam, 43–44
- Portugal, 158–159
 - difficulties in balancing professional and family life, 166
- Practical help network size, 235
- Prescribed familialism, 7
- Primary stressor, 85
- Private care centers for elderly, 52
- Provide Material Support to Parents* theme, 148–151
- Public Support, 8–11
- Quality of life (QOL), 182, 184, 194
- Race-ethnicity, 239
 - OLS regression for marital satisfaction by, 283, 285
 - t*-tests for mean differences by, 279

- (Re)familialization, 8–11
- Realities, 192–194
- Recreational intimacy, 218, 222, 224
- Relationship status, 249–251, 253–254
 - descriptive statistics by, 255–256
- Relationships, 156–157
 - carative, 66, 70, 72
 - collaborative, 66
 - competitive, 66, 70–71, 75
 - conventional, 66
 - independent, 70–71
 - satisfaction, 272
- Religiosity as ideology, 217
- Religious affiliation, 239
- Religious worship, 197
- Reshaping family care in Japan, 12–13
- Respect and appreciation, 73
- Respect* theme, 147, 149
- Respondent helps network size, 236
- Reverse Metamorphosis*, 198–199
- Risk, 157–158
- Role ambiguity, 64
- Role conflict, 64
- Role enhancement theory, 125
- Role strain theory, 125–126, 136
- Root mean square error of
 - approximation (RMSEA), 89
- Sanyasa (renunciate)*, 187, 198
- Särskilt boende (SÄBO), 5, 22, 29
- Secondary stressor, 85–86
- Self-esteem, 217, 222
- Self-identity, 197–199
- Sex
 - importance of, 269–270
 - OLS regression for marital
 - satisfaction by, 282–284
 - t*-tests for mean differences by, 278
- Sexual behaviors and marital
 - satisfaction, 272–273
- Sexual predictors, 214
- Sexual quality of life (SQoL), 272
- Sexual satisfaction in long-term
 - marriages, 211–212, 219, 222–223. *See also* Marital
 - satisfaction in older adults
 - discussion, 222–225
 - educational structure, 216
 - estimates of fixed effects, 220–221
 - results of theoretical model, 219–222
 - sample, 214–216
 - study limitation, 225
 - theoretical model, 214
 - variables and measures, 216–219
- Sexuality, 273
- Shared care, 30
- Skipped-generation household
 - grandparenting, 125, 137
- Sloka* from *Manusmrithi*, 186–187
- Small families, 40
- Social activity network size, 235
- Social connectedness, 249–251
- Social convoy model, 248
- Social intimacy, 218
- Social network
 - closeness, 252–253, 256–257, 259
 - and support, 231–232
- Social network experiences of older
 - adults
 - analytic plan, 254–255
 - current study, 251–252
 - demographic covariates, 254
 - discussion, 259–260
 - family emotional support, 253, 257–259, 261–262
 - friend emotional support, 253, 258–259, 261–262
 - gender, 249–251
 - implications, 263
 - linear mixed model, 257–258
 - neighborhood social ties, 253, 258–259, 262–263
 - participants, 252
 - relationship status, 249–251, 253–254
 - social connectedness, 249–251
 - social network characteristics, 260–261
 - social network closeness, 252–253, 256–257, 259
 - strengths and limitations, 263
 - talk frequency, 253, 257, 259
- Social security system for older adults, 9
- Social support, 130, 231
 - long-term impacts, 231
- Social workers (SWs), 3–4, 10–11
- Socialization of care, 12–13
- Social–structural changes of families, 190–191
- Socio-demographic variables, 106

- Socio-economic changes in Viet Nam, 50–52
- Socioeconomic status (SES), 128, 130–131, 133
- Socioemotional selectivity theory (SST), 248
- Socionom, 4
- Somatization, 217
- South Korea. *See* Korea
- Special care, 167
- Spiritual activities, 197
- Strategic transgressions, 76
- Stress, 84
 - process framework, 84–85
- Structural dimension of intergenerational relations, 151
- Subjective health, 216–217
- Subjective well-being (SWB), 99, 183–184, 192
- Supervision, external support and, 76–77
- Supervisors, 77
- Supported defamilialization through market, 7
- Supported familialism, 7
- Sweden
 - analysis of vignettes, 19–29
 - data analysis, 18
 - demography of participants, 16
 - ethical considerations, 18–19
 - findings related to defamilialization, 29–30
 - methodological considerations and limitations, 18
 - relationship between family-based and formal support services, 32–33
 - trustworthiness of vignette study, 19
 - vignettes, 15–17
 - welfare regimes, 3, 8
 - welfare system, 11
- Swedish CM/SW, 10
- Swedish Social Services Act (SoL), 10
- t*-tests for mean differences by race/ethnicity, 279
- t*-tests for mean differences by sex, 278
- Taiwanese older adults, 127
- Take Care of Every Aspect of the Parents' Life* theme, 148–151
- Talk frequency, 253, 257, 259
- Tharavad* (ancestral house) system, 182, 185
- Tharavadu* among *Nayars* in Kerala, 180
- Total network size, 236
- Transferability, 19
- Trustworthiness, 19
- Tucker–Lewis index (TLI), 89
- (Un)predictable tensions, 171–172
- “Unit of care”, 66
- United States
 - research using non-representative samples, 102
- Univariate analysis, 276–278
- University of California Social Networks Study (UCNets), 230, 233
- Unpaid care, 64–65
- Urbanization in Viet Nam, 50–51
- Vanaprastha* (retired), 187, 198
- Vellar*, 181
- Viet Nam, 40
 - demographic characteristics of population aging, 43–44
 - elder care in traditional Vietnamese family, 40–43
 - elderly care in family during first two decades of 21st century, 52–57
 - family demographic characteristics related to older adults' care, 44–47
- Viet Nam Domestic Violence Survey 2017, 56–57
- Viet Nam Family Survey 2017 (VFS 2017), 44, 46–47
- Vignette of caring for older adults, 38
- Volunteers, 7
- Wald's *t*-test, 222
- Ward-Griffin model, 74
- Welfare regimes on microlevel, 7–11
- Well-being
 - of children, 168
 - grandparenting and, 101–102
 - partners' health and, 211
- Widowed people, 256–258
- Women in Indian family system, 185–186
- Work, Family, Community Nexus survey (WFCN survey), 86
- Worker–family interactions, 65