INDEX

Note: Page numbers followed by "n" indicate notes.

A-historic recovery, 32 Access, 181, 185 needs, 132 Age, 3 Agribusiness, 41 Agricultural disasters, 5, 32 Agricultural producers, 33 Agricultural workers, 33, 41-42 historical disparities in federal policy regarding immigrant, 42-43 Agricultural workforce, 54 recovery and immigrants in, 44-45 Agriculture, 33 Agriculture and Consumer Protection Act (1973), 40 Agriculture Improvement Bill, 37, 45 American Association of People with Disabilities (AAPD), 135 Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), 132 Appreciative inquiry, 156 Aspirational ethics, 156 Asserts, 107–108 Atlanta Fulton County Emergency Management Agency (AFCEMA), 191–192 Atlanta Public Schools (APS), 192 Availability, 181 Black mutual aid societies, 15

Broadband, 181, 183–186, 194–195 future of broadband, and impact on emergency management practice, 186 homework gap, 191 Broadband over powerlines (BPL), 183

Bunning-Bereuter-Blumenauer Flood Insurance Reform Act (2004), 119Bureau of Indians Affairs (BIA), 90, 93, 101 Capacity, 185 capacity-based social justice framework, 177 Carless population, 109 Cavalry approach, 9 Center for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), 44 Center for Responsive Politics, 41 Cherokee Nation v. Georgia, 92 Children, Just Recovery for, 144-145 City Assisted Evacuation Plan, 72 City of Atlanta, Georgia and 2020 COVID-19 Pandemic, 191-194 Civil Rights Task Force, 39 Climate change, 32, 34–36, 100 Climate communities, 155 Climate variability, 35 Co-epidemics, 67 competency during, 74-76 Co-occurring disasters action from study, 83-84 adaptation of emergency management plans and strategies, 76-78 communicating with public and response, 74-76 competency, 67-68 coordination across region, 70

202

coordination with non-profit sector and community partners, 70 data collection and analysis, 64-66 delay in after-action efforts, 69 evacuation and sheltering, 71-74 future research directions, 84-85 2020 Hurricane Season, 87 implications for practice, 83 key findings, 82-83 key findings and implications for practice, 67 limitations, 84 literature review. 60-62 manner of working, 68 methodology, 62 relevant experience before assuming professional role, 68 research questions, 62 response to each novel event, 68-69 revising hurricane response during season due to both epidemics, 70 revision process of emergency plans, 69-70 sample size and participants, 66-67 staff challenges, 81-82 study site description, 63-64 weather, forecasting, and NWS/ hurricane center, 78-81 Colonization, 13, 103 Colorblind, 32 Commercial fishery failure, 49 Communications process, 177-179 with public and response, 74-76 strategy, 176-177 Community, 111 decision analysis, 156 Community Ratings System, 119 Competency, 67-68 during co-epidemics, 74-76 Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR), 2 Comprehensive emergency management (CEM), 115 Comprehensive land use policies, 116

Congressional Research Service (CRS), 49 Consolidated Farm and Rural Development Act (1961), 39 Contemporary planning theories related to principles of just recovery, 109-115 Convergence, 157 Coronavirus (COVID-19), 191 City of Atlanta, Georgia and, 191-194 Coronavirus Aid, Relief, and Economic Security Act (CARES Act), 42, 44, 193 Cost/benefit analysis, 154 COVID-19 pandemic, 1, 4, 12, 26 essential workers during, 44 and hurricanes, 5-6 "Creole", 28n1 Crisis, 190 Critical infrastructure, 5, 34-36 Crop insurance, 37-39 Cultural difference, 113

Dawes Act (see General Allotment Act (1887)) Decoloniality, 156 Democracy, 115 Department of Homeland Security (DHS), 189 Deserving victims, 12 Design, 156 Digital divide, 8, 182–183 fight against, 194 Digital subscriber line (DSL), 183 Direct disaster payments, 37, 40 Direct financial assistance programs, 50 Disaster management, 90, 91 trust responsibility in, 98-102 Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000 (DMA2K), 109, 120-123 Disaster recovery, 135 limited access to disaster recovery loans, 52 principles for just, 3-4 programming, 33

Disaster Relief Act (1950), 36 Disasters, 12, 13, 154-155 (see also Fishery disasters) lessons from co-occurring, 5 response, 9 Discourse ethics, 156 Downtown revitalizations, 112 Droughts, 35 Dust Bowl event, 36 Earthquake, 116 Ebola, 70 Economic Impact Payments, 42 Economic insecurity, 13 Economic Research Service (ERS), 40 Education, access, 3 El Portal and Hurricane Irma, 1-3 Elastic, 155 Elasticity, 155 Emergency Loan Program, 37 Emergency loans, 37, 39-40 Emergency management, 9, 12-13, 115, 155, 177-179, 182, 190 adaptation of emergency management plans and strategies, 76-78 emerging technologies as social justice issue in, 7-8, 183-190, 191-194 implications for, 25-26 justice and equity in, 4-5 mutual aid within, 14-15 practice, 176, 194-195 **Emergency Management Assistance** Compact (EMAC), 14 Emergency managers, 70, 81, 176-179, 194 Emergency Operations Center (EOC), 81 **Emergency Planning and Community** Right-to-Know Act, 120 Emerging technologies, 176 broadband, social media, and other, 194-195 in emergency management practice, 7 - 8

as social justice issue in emergency management practice, 183-190, 191-195 Employment, 136–137 Empowerment evaluation, 156 Encoded message, 178 Environmental hazards, 99 Epidemic of hurricane threats, 67 Epiphany, 6 wicked problems and possibilities, 154-173 Equality, 108, 185 Equitable distribution, 108 Equity, 108, 185 in emergency management, 4-5 grassroots mutual aid advances, 24 - 25Essential workers during COVID-19 pandemic, 44 Ethics, 154 of liberation, 155 Evacuations, 9, 71-74 External stakeholder, 177 Facebook, 186, 190 Fair Labor Standards Act (FLSA), 42 Fairness, 155 for all, 154 Farm Bill (see Agriculture Improvement Bill) Farm Service Agency (FSA), 37 Farmers Home Administration (FHA), 39 Federal agricultural disaster relief programs, 39 Federal agricultural risk management and disaster recovery tools, 38 crop insurance, 38–39 direct disaster payments, 40 emergency loans, 39-40 Federal Communications Commission (FCC), 181, 183-184 Federal Crop Insurance Act (1980), 37 Federal crop insurance program, 38-39

Federal Crop Insurance Reform and Department of Agriculture Reorganization Act, 39 Federal Disaster Act (1950), 118 Federal disaster policy and FA Sector, 36-37 Federal Disaster Recovery Policy and immigrants, 43-44 Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA), 8, 13, 43, 98, 101, 115, 132, 180, 194 Federal fishery disaster challenges, 49-51 declaration process, 33 deficiencies in federal fishery disaster declaration and recovery processes, 52-53 relief programming, 49 Federal funding for disaster management, 101 Federal Indian Policy, 6, 90-91, 103 and reservation system, 91-95

Federal Policy and fishery disasters, 46–49 regarding immigrant agricultural workers, 42–43 Federalism, 95–96 Fisheries and disaster recovery, 45–46 Fishery disasters, 5, 32 deficiencies in federal fishery disaster declaration and recovery processes, 52–53 exclusion from USDA risk management programming, 51–52

Federal Policy and, 46–49 just recovery following, 51 limited access to disaster recovery loans, 52 Fishing, 45–46 communities, 46 Flood Hazard Mapping, 118 Flood Insurance Reform Act (2004), 119 Flood mitigation assistance (FMA),

119

Flood Mitigation Assistance Program (FMAP), 121 Flooding, 32, 118 Floodplain Management, 118 Floods, 35, 116 hazard, 116 insurance, 118 Food, Agriculture, Conservation, and Trade Act, 43 Food and Agriculture Sector (FA Sector), 32 critical infrastructure, climate change, and vulnerability, 34 - 36federal agricultural risk management and disaster recovery tools, 38-40 federal disaster policy and, 36-37 just recovery in, 33-34, 40-45 workforce. 36 Food deserts, 181 Forecasting, 78-81 Free-to-farmers, 40 Fulfillment of Trust Responsibility for Disaster Management in Indian Country, 6 Functional needs, 3, 132

Funding, 53

Gender, 3 General Allotment Act (1887), 93 Get Our Kids Connected Campaign, 193 Governor's Office of Homeland Security and Emergency Management (GOHSEP), 70 Grassroots mutual aid, 16 advances justice and equity, 24 - 25and local government coordination, 22 - 23principles and strategies of, 17-18 Gross domestic product (GDP), 34 Gulf of Mexico Freshwater Flooding. 53

Hazard mitigation, 115 justice in, 6 plan, 116 public participation in hazard mitigation planning process, 123 - 124Hazard Mitigation Grant Program (HMGP), 36, 121 Hazard(s), 155 identification, 116 vulnerability, 116 Health, 134-135 Holistic disaster risk reduction, 4, 32 Homophobia, 13 Housing, 133-134 Human-technology interaction, 182 Hurricane Irma, 1–3 Hurricane Katrina, 9, 21, 68, 109, 140, 186 Hurricane Maria, 17 Hurricane Rita (2005), 125 Hurricane Season (2020), 63 Hurricane Zeta, 23 Hurricanes, 116, 124 COVID-19 and, 5-6 revising hurricane response during season due to epidemics, 70 Hurricanes Delta. 85n2 Hurricanes Laura, 5, 26, 53, 72, 78, 85n2 Emergency Cash Program, 20 Imagine Mutual Aid in response to, 20 - 22Resource Center, 22 Hurricanes Marco, 5 Imagine Mutual Aid, 26 in response to Hurricane Laura, 20 - 22Imagine Water Works, 18–20, 23 Immigrants Federal Disaster Recovery Policy and, 43-44 just recovery and immigrants in agricultural workforce,

44-45

Inclusion, 110 Inclusive deliberative democracy, 113 Indian Appropriations Act (1871), 92-93 Indian Bend Wash project, 117 Indian Country, 90 Indian Health Service, 101 Indian Removal Act (1830), 92, 95 Indian Reorganization Act (1934), 94-95 Indian Self Determination and Education Assistance Act (1975), 97–98 Indian Trade and Intercourse Act (1790), 91Individual Assistance program (IA program), 36 Individual yield, 38 Individuals with disabilities, 132 disasters impact on, 132-133 just recovery for, 137-140 recovery considerations for, 133-137 Influenza plans, 70 "Informal" sector of economy, 110 Information-sharing processes, 177 Instagram, 186 Institutionalization, 137 Insurance, 38 Integrated Emergency Management System (IEMS), 119 Inter-state Civil Defense and Disaster Compact (1950), 15 Intergenerational conversation, 158-159 Internal stakeholder, 177 Internet access, 181 Internet deserts, 181 Internet providers, 181

Johnson v. M'Intosh, 91 Jurisdictional sovereignty and selfdetermination, 95–98 Just recovery, 6, 8, 13–14, 107, 132 additional considerations, 146 agricultural workers, 41–42

children, 144-145 contemporary planning theories related to principles of, 109 - 115in critical infrastructure sector, 5 essential workers during COVID-19 pandemic, 44 in FA Sector, 33-34, 40 Federal Disaster Recovery Policy and Immigrants, 43-44 fishery disasters, 51-53 historical disparities in federal policy regarding immigrant agricultural workers, 42-43 and immigrants in agricultural workforce, 44-45 for individuals with access and functional needs, 6-7 individuals with disabilities, 132-140 LEP. 142-144 older adults, 140-142 other individuals with access and functional needs, 140 political influence, 40-41 pregnant women, 145-146 principles, 32-33, 91, 102 Justice, 108 (see also Social justice) asserts, 107-108 as capacity, 155 collaboration, 124-126 contemporary planning theories related to principles of just recovery, 109-115 DMA2K, 120-123 in emergency management, 4-5 grassroots mutual aid advances. 24 - 25in hazard mitigation, 6, 115 Hazard Mitigation Programs in United States, 118justice, equity, and equality, 108 mitigation, 115-118 public participation in hazard mitigation planning process, 123-124 vulnerable, 108-109

Kobe earthquake (1955), 12 Krajeski, Dick, 7 Land tenure, 3 Landslide, 116 Landslide Hazard Program (LHP), 120Large-scale family farms, 40-41 Liberation Hubs, 18 Limited English proficiency (LEP), 109, 132, 142–143 just recovery for, 144 recovery considerations for, 143-144 Literal noise, 178 Local government coordination, grassroots mutual aid and, 22 - 23Louisiana Hurricane (1918), 85n1 Low-income migrant, 44 Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act (MSA), 46 Major Crimes Act, 95 Marshall Trilogy of court rulings, 92 Metaphors, 154 Migrant and Seasonal Agricultural Worker Protection Act (MSAWPA), 42 Mitigation, 26-27, 115-118 Multiple communications process models. 177-178 Multiple peril, 38 Mutual aid, 4-5, 12-13 agreement, 125 case study, 18-23 within emergency management, 14 - 15grassroots mutual aid advances justice and equity, 24-25 historical context for. 15-17 implications for emergency management, 25-26 legacy of, 13-14

principles and strategies of grassroots, 17–18 Mutual Aid Disaster Relief (MADR), 16–17 Mutual Aid Hub, 17 Mutual Aid Response Network (MARN), 4, 18 creation of, 19–20 Imagine Mutual Aid in response to Hurricane Laura, 20–22 in New Orleans, 22

National Climate Assessment, 35 National Congress of American Indians (NCAI), 100 National Earthquake Hazards **Reduction Program** (NEHRP), 119 National Emergency Management Association (NEMA), 15 National Flood Insurance Act (1968), 119 National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP), 118 National Flood Insurance Reform Act (1994), 121 National Guard, 8 National Incident Management System (NIMS), 14 National Infrastructure Protection Plan (NIPP), 34 National Institute of Standards and Technology, 119 National Labor Relations Act (NLRA), 42 National Science Foundation (NSF), 119 National Weather Service, 120 Natural Hazards, 116 community, 7 Natural Hazards Workshop (NHW), 7.157 Natural Resource Conservation Service (NRCS) (see **US Soil Conservation** Service)

New Orleans Office of Homeland Security and Emergency Preparedness (NOHSEP), 73 Noise, 178 Non-English speaking population, 109 Non-Euclidean approach, 112 Non-Euclidean approach, 112 Non-structural hazard mitigation tools, 116–117 Nonfamily farms, 41 Noninsured Disaster Assistance Program (NAP), 39 NWS/hurricane center support within storm and COVID epidemics, 78–81

Occupy Sandy volunteer mutual aid network, 16 Older adults, 140 disproportionate impact of disasters on, 140–141 just recovery for, 142 recovery considerations for, 141–142 Operation Restoration, 21

Participatory action reflection and action, 156 Pay for access, 181 Periphery, 155, 157 Physical losses, 39 Pigford, 40 Pigford II, 40 Planning, 112, 154 process, 123 Plasticity, 155 Pole attachment rate, 184 Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD), 135 Power Map, 23 Pre-colonization, 103 Pre-disaster mitigation (PDM), 120 Pregnant women, just recovery for, 145-146 Preparedness, 115 Presidential emergency, 38 Presidential major disaster, 38 declarations. 43

Principle of prima facie political equality (PPFPE), 3-4, 32 Principles of just recovery, 32-33 Privatized decision-making processes, 111 Production losses, 39 Project South, 28n2 Public Assistance program (PA program), 36, 121 Public Law 280 (1953), 95-97, 100 Public participation, 123 in hazard mitigation planning process, 123-124 Public policy challenges in critical infrastructure sector, 5 Public servants, 194 Public space, 111–112 Public-private partnerships, 194 Race. 3 Racism, 13 Recognition, 156 Recovery, 115 (see also Just recovery) accessing services, 137 considerations for individuals with disabilities, 133 considerations for older adults, 141 - 142employment, 136-137 health, 134-135 housing, 133-134 transportation, 135-136 Redistribution, 156 Regime change, 155 Relational ethics, 156 Relief funds, 50 Repetitive Flood Claims program (RFC program), 119 Representation, 156 Reservation system, 103 Federal Indian Policy and, 91–95 Residential racial segregation, 112 Resilience, 33, 155 Resource-dependent communities, 46 Response, 115 Revenue streams, 100-101

Revision process of emergency plans, 69-70 Rights, 185 Risk assessment, 116 communications tools, 178–179, 186 management, 33 Risk Management Agency (RMA), 38 Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Assistance Act (1988), 32Safety, 90 Salmon-related federal fishery disasters. 50 Sandy Recovery Improvement Act (2013), 102Seasonal farmworker, 44 Secretarial disaster, 38 Secretary of Agriculture, 43, 45 Sector-Specific Plans (SSP), 34 Security, 90 Self-determination, 95–98, 103 Self-governance, 103 Services, accessing, 137 Severe weather, 35 Sexual identification, 3 Sheltering, 71-74 Short message service (SMS), 190 Small Business Administration (SBA), 36 Small-scale family farms, 41 Social justice, 176, 179-183 in emergency management practice, 7 - 8emerging technologies as, 183–190, 191-195 Social media, 186, 194-195 emerging technology, 187-190 Social networks, 27 Social/TV media, 74-76 Social-ecological systems, 155 Socio-economic status, 3 Southern Movement Assembly (SMA), 17-18, 28n2

Staff challenges, 81–82 Stafford Act (1988), 34, 36, 38, 43, 119, 121 Stakeholders, 49 Street medics, 16 Structural measures of hazard mitigation, 116 Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act (SARA), 120

Technological innovations, 179 - 180Termination Act (1953), 94 Tornado, 116 Transphobia, 13 Transportation, 135–136 Tribal affiliation, 3 Tribal Assets, 100 Tribal Homeland Security Grant funding, 98 Tribal Jurisdiction. 100–101 Tribal Lands, 99 Tribal Nations, 90, 96, 98–99, 103 Tribal Peoples, 98-99 Tribal Reservation system, 103 Trust Responsibility in Disaster Management, 98-102 Twitter, 186, 190

Uncertainty of threat, 67 Undocumented workers, 42 United States Department of Agriculture (USDA), 33 exclusion from USDA risk management programming, 51–52 United States Department of Homeland Security (DHS), 34 United States Department of Labor (DOL), 41-42 United States Geological Survey (USGS), 119 United States Global Change **Research Program** (USGCRP), 35 Urban spatial strategy, 112 Urban vulnerability, 110 Urbanism, 114 US Department of Transportation (DOT), 120 US Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), 120 US Soil Conservation Service, 120

Value(s), 154 clarification, 156 value-added seafood products, 45 Voluntary Organizations Active in Disaster (VOAD), 20, 72 Volunteers, 9 Vulnerability, 34–36, 110 factors, 33 Vulnerable populations, 108–109, 190

Water, 35 Weather, 78–81 Whole Community approach, 9, 25 *Worcester* v. *Georgia*, 92 World Health Organization, 142

Xenophobia, 13

Zeta (storm), 5, 77-78