

# SUBJECT INDEX

- Ableism, 23, 24
- Academic staff, challenge for, 140–142
- Academic success measurement, 36–37
- Accessibility and acceptance for university students with diverse abilities
- American higher education, 17
  - ASP, 24–25
  - community and identity, 23–24
  - disability in higher education, 18–20
  - DS, 20–21
  - equitable access legislation, 15–16
  - equitable education legislation, 16–17
  - equity in higher education, 14–15
  - exclusivity in American higher education, 18
  - faculty and staff engagement, 21–23
  - raising awareness and acceptance through ASP, 25–26
- Accessibility lawsuits, 36
- Accessibility Studies Program (ASP), 14, 24–25
- raising awareness and acceptance through, 25–26
- Accommodation and Disability Action Planning Team (ADAPT), 21
- Accommodation requirements, 35
- Affective factors, 119
- African American students, 77, 81, 90
- biculturalism for, 78
  - linguistics of racism, 78–79
  - persistence, 76, 80, 82
  - race and ethnic background entry characteristics, 77
  - Revised Retention Model at PWUs, 78
- “Air-time”, 99
- Alienation, 67–68
- American Association of State Colleges and Universities, 51
- American Council on Education, 51
- American higher education, 17
- exclusivity in, 18
  - American undergraduate degrees, 17
  - American university students with disabilities, 16
- Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), 15, 35
- and 504 accommodations, 35–36
  - reasonable accommodation, 36
  - uniformity in higher education, 36
- Anxiety, 21, 32, 132, 134, 135, 137, 139
- “Anything but race” strategy, 80
- Assistive Technology of Act (1998), 16
- Association of American Medical Colleges, 114
- Auto-ethnographic research, 100
- Barriers in higher education, 66
- Basic housing allowance (BAH), 49
- Biculturalism for African-American students, 78
- Biculturalism, 78–79
- Black–White binary racial concept, 102
- Blindness, 36
- Bonilla-Silva’s study, 78–79
- Bourdieu’s theory of capital, 149
- Brief Resilience Scale (BRS), 117, 119
- Campus culture, 53
- Carnegie Foundation for Advancement of Teaching, 81
- Central Washington University (CWU), 14, 17, 21, 23
- ASP, 25
- Children first-generation learners, 149

- “Choice-avoiders”, 156  
 Civil Rights Act (1964), 15–16  
 Cohen *d* for *t*-tests, 118–119  
 Cohesive identity, 54  
 Color-blind racism, 78–79  
 Community, 14, 23–24  
     Latino Student Organization and,  
         68–69  
     of practice, 56  
 Competence, 20, 24, 25, 91  
 Complex intersectional interplays, 102  
 Council for the Advancement  
     of Higher Education  
     Standards (CAS), 56  
 Critical Race theory (CRT), 66  
 Cronbach’s alpha estimates, 119  
 Cross’s model, 77  
 Cultural capital, 149  
 Curriculum, 23, 25
- Data analysis, 39, 118–119, 152–161  
 Data collection  
     and analysis, 83, 85–89  
     procedure, 39, 151–152  
     tools for, 151  
 Decision, 150, 151  
 Deficit cognitive frame, 49  
 Demographics, 119  
 Descriptive coding, 65  
 Descriptive statistics, 82, 83, 118  
 Differential socialization process, 150  
 Direct racial language, avoiding, 79  
 Disability, 18, 21  
     in higher education, 18–20  
 Disability services (DS), 14, 20–21  
 Discrimination, 105  
 Diversity, 62, 63, 69–70  
 “Dominant paradigm”, 49
- Economist, The*, 17  
 Education of All Handicapped  
     Children Act (1975), 16  
 Educational attainment, 148, 149  
 Educators, 49, 51, 55, 56  
 Elementary and Secondary Education  
     Act (1965), 16
- Emotions, 133  
     as new students at university, 67–68  
 “Encounter” stage in Cross’ model, 77  
 English as a second language (ESL),  
     123  
 Environmental microaggressions, 107  
 Equitable access legislation, 15–16  
 Equitable education legislation, 16–17  
 Equity  
     cognitive frame, 49  
     in higher education, 14–15  
 Every Student Succeeds Act, 16  
 Exclusivity in American higher  
     education, 18  
 Experiences as new students at  
     university, 67–68
- EYES theory, 96, 102–104  
     international students, 97–98  
     looking glass-self and racial identity  
         development, 104–105  
     potential research implications,  
         107–108  
     preliminary conceptual framework,  
         103  
     racial experiences of international  
         students in United States,  
         98–100  
     racial microaggressions, 105–107  
     racialization and international  
         students in US higher  
         institutions, 100–102  
     theoretical framework,  
         methodology, 100–107
- Face-to-face conversations, 134  
 Faculty and staff engagement, 21–23  
 Failure  
     events, 121  
     Fear of academic failure, 135–137  
     in medical school, 117  
 Financial incentive to enroll in college,  
     52–53  
 Fine balance, 140–142  
 First-generation Latinx students  
     (FGLS), 63  
 First-generation learners, 149

- First-generation students, 148, 155  
     differences in educational planning  
         and realization, 157–161  
 Focus-group interviews, 98  
 Forever GI Bill, 32  
 Fulfilled civilian self, 54  
  
 General Education requirements, 17  
 Generalized Self-Efficacy Scale (GSE),  
     117  
 GI Bill, 32, 48  
     post-9/11 GI Bill, 52  
 Government Accounting Office  
     (GAO), 18  
 Graduate degrees, 123  
 Graduate Medical Education (GME),  
     114  
  
 Handicap, 18  
 Harry W. Colmery Veterans  
     Educational Assistance  
     Act, 32  
 HEIs, 131–136, 139, 141  
 Hidden wounds, 30  
     impact in higher education, 33  
 Higher education (HE), 63, 131  
     disability in, 18–20  
     in England and mature students,  
         131–132  
     equity in, 14–15  
     impact of hidden wounds in, 33  
     student support in, 34–35  
     uniformity in, 36  
 Higher Education Act (1965), 16  
 Higher Education Compliance  
     Alliance, 35  
 Higher Education Opportunity Act  
     (2008), 16  
 Hispanic Serving Institution (HSI), 14  
 Human motivation theories, 31, 33  
  
 Identity, 23–24  
     cohesive, 54  
     racial identity development, 104–105  
     social, 97, 104  
 Impairment, 18  
  
 Improvised explosive devices (IEDs), 32  
 In-depth interviewing structure, 65  
 Indian higher education system, 148  
     data analysis technique, 152–161  
     data collection procedure, 151–152  
     literature review, 148–149  
     methodology, 151–161  
     participants, 151  
     theoretical framework, 149–150  
     tools for data collection, 151  
 Individualized education program  
     (IEP), 16  
 Individuals with Disabilities Education  
     Act (IDEA), 16, 35  
 Inequality, 148, 149  
 Institute of International Education  
     (IIE), 97  
 Institutional barriers impacting  
     student veterans, 50–51  
 Instructional Materials Accessibility  
     Act (2002), 16  
 Instrumentation, 38–39  
 International students, 97–98  
     in US higher institutions, 100–102  
 Interviews, 38  
  
 Kruskal–Wallis one-way analysis of  
     variance, 85  
 Kruskal–Wallis test, 83  
  
 Latina/o critical race theory (LatCrit),  
     63, 66  
 Latinx student organization (LSO), 62  
     providing community and sense of  
         belonging, 68–69  
 Latinx students, 62, 64  
 Learning disabilities, 21  
 Leave of absence (LOA), 119  
 Linguistics of racism, 78–80  
 Loneliness, 67–68  
 Looking glass-self theory, 104–105  
 Lower-income students, 76  
  
 Maslow's hierarchy of needs, 31, 34  
 Mature students, 130  
     academic challenges, 135–137

- external challenges to academic attainment, 135–137
- fear of academic failure, 135–137
- fine balance and challenge for academic staff, 140–142
- inclusive practice, 133–135
- listening to student voice, 138–140
- personalised approach and “sense of belonging”, 132–133
- research context, 131–132
- social integration, 137–138
- student enrolment numbers at English HEIs, 131
- Medical school, 114, 115
- Medical students, 115, 121, 122, 123
- Microaggressions, 23, 106, 107
- Microassaults, 106–107
- Microinsults, 107
- Microinvalidations, 107
- Middle-class habitus, 155
- Military culture, 53
- Military service and disabilities, 31–33
- Military-related trauma, 56
- Mortification, 104
- Multicollinearity, 83
- Multidimensional Scale of Perceived Social Support (MSPSS), 34
  - instrument, 39
  - modified, 38, 40, 41
- National Association of Student Personnel Administrators, 51
- National Association of Veteran’s Program Administrators, 51
- National Center for Education Statistics (NCES), 50–51
- National Resident Matching Program (NRMP), 115
- Negative shifters, 156
- Negatively focused group category, 157
- Neoracism, 98
- Niche-provider setting, 138
- Nigrescence model, 77
- No Child Left Behind Act (2001), 16
- Nontraditional student, 51
- Participant demographics, 40, 65
- “Patterns and Trends in UK HE 2017” report, 130
- Peer support system, 137
- People with disabilities (PWDs), 14
- Perceptions, 99
- Persistence of African-American students (*see also* Student persistence and retention models), 76, 77
  - data collection and analysis, 83
  - methodology, 81–83
  - population of interest, 81
  - PWU characteristics, 81
  - ranking variables, 81–82
  - research question, 81
  - results, 83–90
  - variables, 82–83
- Phenomenological approach, 37
- Phraseology, 107
- Planning stage, 152
- Population
  - camouflage, 54
  - of interest, 81
  - and sample, 37–38
- Positive shifters, 156
- “Positively focused” group category, 153–154
- Post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD), 30, 34, 55, 56
- Postsecondary education, 16
- Predominantly white schools (PWSs), 79
- Predominantly White Universities (PWUs), 77
  - characteristics, 81
- Predominately White Institutions (PWIs), 62
  - diversity underestimated, 69–70
  - emotions and experiences as new students at university, 67–68
  - findings, 66
  - institutional factors, 69–71
  - literature review, 63–64
  - LSO providing community and sense of belonging, 68–69

- methodology, 64–71
- participant demographics, 65
- superficial institutional support, 70–71
- theoretical constructs, 66
- Pride, 104
- Psychosocial variables, 76
- Race/racial/racism, 101, 102
  - experiences of international students in United States, 98–100
  - identity development, 104–105
  - linguistics of, 78–80
  - microaggressions, 105–107
- Racialization in US higher institutions, 100–102
- Realization stage, 152
- Reasonable accommodation, 36
- Rehabilitation Act (1973), 15, 16, 35
- Reliability, 38–39
- Remediation interventions, 121
- Research experiences and products, 122
- Research Universities with Very High research activities (RU/VH), 81
- Residency, 114
- Residency “match” outcomes, 121
  - graduate degrees, 123
  - research experiences and products, 122
  - USMLE step scores, 122
  - work and volunteer experiences, 122–123
- Resiliency, 115, 120
  - affective factors, 119
  - data analysis, 118–119
  - demographics, 119
  - failure events, 121
  - instruments, 117–118
  - methods, 116–118
  - remediation interventions, 121
  - residency “match” outcomes, 121–123
  - resident selection, 115–116
  - results, 118–123
- sample, 116
- sampling procedure, 117
- self-compassion, 120–121
- self-efficacy, 119–120
- Respondent-driven sampling, 117
- Return to education, 132
- Scheduled castes/scheduled tribes (SC/ST), 148, 149
  - habitations, 149
- Self-compassion, 120–121
- Self-Compassion Scale-Short Form (SCS-SF), 117
- Self-constructed questionnaire, 151
- Self-efficacy, 119–120
- Self-feelings, 104
- Semantic moves, 79–80
- Sense of belonging, 132–133, 135
- Servicemen’s Readjustment Act (1944), 48
- Social capital, 149
- Social environment, 34
- Social identity, 97, 104
- Social integration, 137–138
- Socialization practices, 101
- Socioeconomic status (SES), 76
- Spearman’s rank correlation coefficient, 83, 85, 89
- Spearman’s rho (*see* Spearman’s rank correlation coefficient)
- Staff intervention, 138
- Standardization, 41
- Stigmatization, 32–33
- Stratified sampling technique, 151
- Student Consultation Team, 20
- Student involvement theory, 31, 34
- Student mortality, 77
- Student persistence and retention models (*see also* Persistence of African-American students), 77
  - avoiding direct racial language, 79
  - linguistics of racism, 78–80
  - Rodgers and Summers’ revised, 77–78
  - semantic moves, 79–80

- Student support in higher education, 34–35
- Student veterans, 30
- academic success measurement, 36–37
  - ADA and 504 accommodations, 35–36
  - brief framework, 31
  - data analysis, 39
  - data collection procedure, 39
  - demographics, 51–52
  - financial incentive to enroll in college, 52–53
  - finding gap, 37
  - impact of hidden wounds in higher education, 33
  - implications and recommendations, 42–43
  - institutional barriers impacting, 50–51
  - instrumentation, 38–39
  - military service and disabilities, 31–33
  - opportunities and challenges, 53–56
  - opportunity and significance, 30–31
  - participant demographics, 40
  - population and sample, 37–38
  - presentation of data, 40–42
  - research methodology and design, 37–39
  - response of colleges and universities, 56–57
  - stigmatization, 32–33
  - student support in higher education, 34–35
  - study findings, 39–42
  - support needs, 33–34
  - transition to civilian life, 32
  - validity and reliability, 38–39
- Subjective experiences of feelings, 116
- Superficial institutional support, 70–71
- Support needs, 33–34
- Survey methodology, 81–82
- Systemic microaggressions, 107
- Tech Act (2004) (*see* Assistive Technology of Act (1998))
- Timetabling, 139
- Transition to civilian life, 32
- Traumatic brain injuries (TBI), 30
- “Tunnel vision” intervention approaches, 130
- Undergraduate Medical Education (UME), 114
- Undergraduate retention, 77
- Uniformity in higher education, 36
- United Nations Convention on Rights of Persons with Disabilities, 14, 19
- United States Medical Licensing Exam (USMLE), 119
- step scores, 122
- United States military veteran institutional barriers impacting student veterans, 50–51
- student veteran experience, 51–57
- University and Colleges Admission Services (UCAS), 130
- “Unstable choosers”, 156
- US Census Bureau Report of Survey of Income and Program Participation, 21
- US Department of Education, 35
- US international medical graduates (US IMGs), 114, 115
- Validity, 38–39
- Variables, 82–83
- psychosocial, 76
  - ranking, 81–82
- Verbal strategies, 79
- Virtual learning environments, 139
- Vocational program, 133
- White/Anglo-Saxon culture, 62
- Whiteness, 99, 100
- Widening participation (WP), 130
- Women veterans, 55
- Work and volunteer experiences, 122–123
- Workforce Investment Act (1998), 16