Guest editorial

Lucia Cicero and Linda Osti

his special issue of Young Consumers brings together seven selected papers dealing with family consumption in tourism. The authors are from Europe, Asia, the USA and Australia. The methodological approach is mostly qualitative (Capistrano and Bernardo; Mikkelsen and Blichfeldt; Khoo-Lattimore, Del Chiappa and Yang; Gram, Therkelsen and Larsen; and Drenten) rather than quantitative (Shavanddasht; and Curtale). This fact leads to our first consideration about the methodology applied to family tourism. The literature review shows that over the years, the analysis of family tourism has used both qualitative and quantitative methods, with a prevalence of qualitative studies in cases where young children were directly involved (Cullingford, 1995; Quinn and Stacey, 2010; Blichfeldt et al., 2011; Bos et al., 2013; Larsen, 2013; Schänzel and Smith, 2014; Therkelsen and Lottrup, 2014; Khoo-Lattimore, 2015). In a few cases, multiple qualitative methods were combined (Quinn and Stacey, 2010; Blichfeldt et al., 2011; Lo and Lee, 2011; Harrington, 2014; Khoo-Lattimore, 2015) or the quantitative survey was anticipated using a focus group (Fu et al., 2014) or by a consultation procedure with experts and consumers (Tinson and Nancarrow, 2005).

Tourism consumption can be divided into three main phases. As identified by Cohen (1979) and Jennings (2006), the travel experience begins long before the trip itself and finishes well after the tourist returns home. The first phase (the anticipation phase) is marked from the need to obtain a substantial amount of information to prepare the trip, taking decisions and building expectations (Gretzel et al., 2006). The second stage (the experiential phase) starts when tourists travel to the destination and finishes when they return home, followed by the third phase (the reflective phase), which is strictly connected to the first two phases. In fact, during the reflective stage, tourists, who have returned home, write reviews and post photos, videos, etc. on blogs and social networks, and these provide essential information for tourists to take purchasing decisions in the anticipation and experiential phases (Tussyadiah and Fesenmaier, 2009). The articles presented in this special issue address two of the abovelisted consumption phases within family tourism. There is a pre-consumption phase that consists of decision-making on which family holiday to go on and motivation to undertake a family holiday from the perspective of children, parents and grandparents. This is followed by the experiential phase that consists of hospitality needs of families with young children, emotions in family holidays, family dynamics between grandparents and grandchildren and experiences of mothers and fathers in visiting friends and relatives – VFR – tourism.

Family tourism includes the participation of both adults and children, either relatives in a strict sense or those belonging to extended family units. This phenomenon has a remarkable social and economic impact, given the fact that it represents 30 per cent of the leisure travel market (Schänzel et al., 2012). Despite its relevance, family tourism is rarely investigated. In recent years, Obrador has raised the issue of family invisibility in tourism research (Obrador, 2012), and several authors have followed him by calling for comprehensive research on family tourism, including children's voices (Poria and Timothy, 2014; Khoo-Lattimore, 2015). If family is the label under which tourism activities take place in family tourism, it remains to be discussed which are the activities that are included under the tourism label. Holidaying indeed encompasses the purchase of many sub-products (for example, transportation,

Lucia Cicero is Postdoctoral Research Fellow at Universita degli Studi di Udine Polo Scientifico Ingegneria e Architettura, Udine, Italy. Linda Osti is based at Libera Universita di Bolzano Facolta di Economia Brunico-Bolzano, Italy.

accommodation, museum visits and access to amusement parks) and the participation in manifold activities (for instance, art and history, nature-based and sports). Acknowledging the great variety of family tourism scenarios, families with children are still very active in a fragmented field of research.

According to Thornton et al. (1997), sedentary outdoor activities such as staying on the beach diminish with the increase in children's age. On the contrary, sport activities increase with children's age. Learning could also be part of family tourism and has been often associated to contexts of social tourism or volunteer tourism (Lo and Lee, 2011; Bos et al., 2013; Germann Molz, 2016). In general, families with young children show different preferences from the ones of families without children (Thornton et al., 1997; Carr, 2011; Tangeland and Aas, 2011), preferring less risky and facilitated activities, such as group activities, children's day programmes (Agate et al., 2015) and family-oriented activities (Khoo-Lattimore, 2015). Facilitated activities are particularly relevant for single parents (Tangeland and Aas, 2011). A balance between new experiences and stable environment appears to be what children want from holidays (Buswell et al., 2012). Moreover, although children's knowledge about holidays reflects the common representation of holidays, Cullingford (1995) suggests engaging families with children by looking beyond obvious entertainment activities. Children's interests concur in the decision on destination (Madrigal et al., 1992), and parents look for spatial proximity between accommodation and activities for the family (Thornton et al., 1997). Nonetheless, research on activities and preferences in family tourism still needs in-depth investigations.

The tourist experience is often the opposite of the daily routine and is associated with a search for strong emotions that can remain impressed in the tourist mind and that can offer positive feelings (Wang, 1999). One of the recurrent motives for family holidays is bonding and improving family relationships (Nickerson and Jurowski, 2001; Garst et al., 2009; Lehto et al., 2009; Lo and Lee, 2011; Buswell et al., 2012; Durko and Petrick, 2013; Kim and Lehto, 2013; Fu et al., 2014; Therkelsen and Lottrup, 2014; Bos et al., 2013). According to the Core and Balance Model of Family Leisure Functioning (Zabriskie and McCormick, 2001; Buswell et al., 2012), the family holiday, when considered as a balance activity, may indeed influence the family functioning in terms of cohesion and adaptability. Despite aiming at bonding the family group, each family component seeks to balance family time and own-time (Schänzel and Smith, 2014; Agate et al., 2015).

The main motive of bonding and improving family relationships is thus conceptualized into the experience of quality time (Blichfeldt et al., 2011; Obrador, 2012; Durko and Petrick, 2013; Li et al., 2017) and the sense of togetherness (Blichfeldt et al., 2011; Sedgley et al., 2012; Durko and Petrick, 2013; Harrington, 2014; Schänzel and Smith, 2014; Therkelsen and Lottrup, 2014). Authors have differently attributed these concepts to either parents or children (Quan and Wang, 2004; Durko and Petrick, 2013; Therkelsen and Lottrup, 2014).

Differences between parents and children's motives emerge from the literature review. Children are specially moved by fun and entertainment (Durko and Petrick, 2013; Therkelsen and Lottrup, 2014), as well as by interest in doing activities (Durko and Petrick, 2013). Parents are moved by children's development and learning (Garst et al., 2009; Kim and Lehto, 2013; Fu et al., 2014; Li et al., 2017), as well as the possibility of acquiring new skills (Harrington, 2014). "Edutainment" is the result of the combination of education and entertainment (Carr, 2011; Therkelsen and Lottrup, 2014). Another emergent motive for a family holiday is relaxation (Durko and Petrick, 2013; Larsen, 2013) and escaping the daily routine (Kim and Lehto, 2013; Fu et al., 2014). Further motives for a family holiday have been identified in self-development (Harrington, 2014), excitement (Larsen, 2013), novelty seeking (Fu et al., 2014) and self-compensation and compensation for children (Li et al., 2017).

In family tourism research, the role of children in decision-making is very relevant. Indeed, children's influence has been widely studied, and scholars agree and recognize that its impact varies depending on multiple characteristics, such as children's age (Thornton et al., 1997; John, 1999; Wang et al., 2004; Nanda et al., 2006; Therkelsen, 2010; Kozak and Duman, 2012; Schänzel and Smith, 2014) and gender (Kozak and Duman, 2012; Schänzel and Smith, 2014). Socio-cultural contextual factors, such as parental perception of children, parental style or societal structures, may also concur in determining the different degrees of children's influence (Jenkins, 1979; Koc, 2004; Nanda et al., 2006; Gram, 2007; Bokek-Cohen, 2008; Therkelsen, 2010; Blichfeldt et al., 2011; Carr, 2011; Nancarrow et al., 2011). Still, children (10-12 years old) frequently perceive themselves as influencers in the holiday decision (Tinson and Nancarrow, 2005).

Conversely, scholars have discordant views on determining the impact of children's influence depending on the stage of the decision process (Swinyard and Sim, 1987; John, 1999; Wang et al., 2004; Kim et al., 2010; Nancarrow et al., 2011). The greatest moment for children's influence appears to be during vacation time. Indeed, during vacations, more negotiation power may be granted to children (Therkelsen, 2010), and children may have more pro-activeness in proposing family activities (Wang et al., 2004; Blichfeldt et al., 2011). Kozak and Duman (2012) have investigated the final step of the holiday consumption, which is post-purchase evaluation and satisfaction. According to the authors, both parents and children's satisfactions influence the overall vacation satisfaction. Parents' recommendations are strongly influenced by children's experiences and opinions (Kim et al., 2010; Kozak and Duman, 2012). Finally, young children are recognized as exerting an indirect influence due to their special care requirements (Thornton et al., 1997; Gram, 2007; Lalicic, 2014).

Overall, roles and strategies undertaken by family members interact and vary throughout the decision-making process (Therkelsen, 2010). In family tourism, many sub-decisions are included and could be affected differently by family members (Wang et al., 2004). Surprisingly, the greatest part of studies on family decision-making about holidays is still based on traditional nuclear families, composed of husband, wife and at least one child. For instance, Na et al. (1998) describes holiday decision-making as a syncretic decision between husband and wife that children perceive as a parentdominated decision.

A clue to an aspect of family tourism is the multiplicity and variety of contemporary families. If traditionally family consumer behaviour studies have mainly concentrated on the husband-wife dyad, shifting progressively to the inclusion of children's active contributions, family tourism literature has recently started to acknowledge and investigate modern family structures (Chesworth, 2003; Carr, 2011; Hunter-Jones, 2014). An example of this extension is provided by Schänzel and Jenkins (2017) who investigated fatherhood and non-resident fathers on holiday; Camargo and Tamez (2015) who analysed family tourism experience involving aunts and nieces/nephews; and Lucena et al. (2015) who studied gay- and lesbian-parented families in travel motivations and destination choices. Following this new emerging approach in the study of tourism consumption in the modern family structure, two articles of this special issue innovatively explore grandparents in family tourism studies. The article by Mikkelsen and Blichfeldt uncovers the role of grandparents in multigenerational holidays, looking for conceptualization of grandparenthood on holiday and revealing how grandparents and grandchildren deal with family, "thick sociality and domesticity". Differently, the article by Shavanddasht focusses on a marketing segmentation approach to profile grandparents according to their motivations in travelling with or without their grandchildren. By doing so, the article contributes to the identification of several profiles of elderly tourists depending on their motivation combination.

Equally relevant, the article by Khoo-Lattimore, Del Chiappa and Yang aims at identifying hospitality needs of families with young children. To contribute to hospitality-focussed studies, the authors have outlined key themes and attributes of the sub-segment family with young children within family tourism.

Three articles focus on child-parent interaction related to holidays from three different perspectives. The article by Curtale analyses children's impact on family decision with the design of a stated preference experiment with a two-step procedure, collecting children's preferences at first and combine them with parents' choices in a second step. Gram, Therkelsen and Larsen explore critical incidents experienced by families on holiday by using the voices of both parents and children. The study examines how family holidays give rise to ambivalent feelings among parents and children. Drenten explores family performances and experiences in which young consumers are the recipients of last-minute surprise vacations. For this purpose, the study uses YouTube videos as materials for a digital ethnography on family vacation decisions. Finally, Capistrano and Bernardo examined the personal meanings of hosting experiences of first-generation immigrant families with their visiting relatives. Their investigation aims at filling the literature gap related to hosting experiences of women and outlines a Matrilineal Model of Domestic Hospitality in VFR travel.

Finally, we would like to thank all authors for their contributions to this special issue. We appreciate their dedication in delivering high-quality papers and working in a tight schedule to revise the manuscripts according to the reviewers' suggestions. Our sincere thanks go also to the reviewers for their dedicated efforts in reviewing the papers. We would like to express our gratitude to Brian Young, Editor in Chief of Young Consumers, for welcoming our proposal of a special issue on tourism consumption and for his constant help and support during the delivery process.

References

Blichfeldt, B.S., Pedersen, B.M., Johansen, A. and Hansen, L. (2011), "Tweens on holidays, in-situ decision-making from children's perspective", Scandinavian Journal of Hospitality and Tourism, Vol. 11 No. 2, pp. 135-149.

Bokek-Cohen, Y. (2008), "Tell her she's wrong! Triangulation as a spousal influence strategy", Journal of Consumer Marketing, Vol. 25 No. 4, pp. 223-229.

Bos, L., McCabe, S. and Johnson, S. (2013), "Learning never goes on holiday. an exploration of social tourism as a context for experiential learning", Current Issues in Tourism, Vol. 18 No. 9, pp. 859-875.

Buswell, L., Zabriskie, R.B., Lundberg, N. and Hawkins, A.J. (2012), "The relationship between father involvement in family leisure and family functioning: the importance of daily family leisure", Leisure Sciences, Vol. 34 No. 2, pp. 172-190.

Camargo, B. and Tamez, M.F. (2015), "Professional aunt, no kids: an unexplored segment of family tourism", E-review of Tourism Research, Vol. 12 Nos 3/4, pp. 161-171.

Carr, N. (2011), Children's and Families' Holiday Experiences, Routledge, Abingdon.

Chesworth, N. (2003), "The family vacation: a double-edged sword", International Journal of Consumer Studies, Vol. 27 No. 4, pp. 346-348.

Cohen, E. (1979), A Phenomenology of Tourist Experiences, Harper Perennial, New York, NY.

Cullingford, C. (1995), "Children's attitudes to holidays overseas", Tourism Management, Vol. 16 No. 2, pp. 121-127.

Durko, A.M. and Petrick, J.F. (2013), "Family and relationship benefits of travel experiences. a literature review", Journal of Travel Research, Vol. 52 No. 6, pp. 720-730.

Fu, X., Lehto, X. and Park, O. (2014), "What does vacation do to our family? Contrasting the perspectives of parents and children", Journal of Travel & Tourism Marketing, Vol. 31 No. 4, pp. 461-475.

Garst, B.A., Williams, D.R. and Roggenbuck, J.W. (2009), "Exploring early twenty-first century developed forest camping experiences and meanings", *Leisure Sciences*, Vol. 32 No. 1, pp. 90-107.

Germann Molz, J. (2016), "Making a difference together: discourses of transformation in family voluntourism", *Journal of Sustainable Tourism*, Vol. 24 No. 6, pp. 805-823.

Gram, M. (2007), "Children as co-decision makers in the family? The case of family holidays", *Young Consumers*, Vol. 8 No. 1, pp. 19-28.

Gretzel, U., Fesenmaier, D.R. and O'Leary, J.T. (2006), "The transformation of consumer behaviour", in Buhalis, D. and Costa, C. (Eds), *Tourism Business Frontiers: Consumers, Products and Industry*, Elsevier, Burlington, MA, pp. 9-18.

Harrington, M. (2014), "Practices and meaning of purposive family leisure among working- and middle-class families", *Leisure Studies*, Vol. 34 No. 4, pp. 471-486.

Hunter-Jones, P. (2014), "Changing family structures and childhood socialisation: a study of leisure consumption", *Journal of Marketing Management*, Vol. 30 Nos 15/16, pp. 1533-1553.

Jenkins, R.L. (1979), "The influence of children in family decision-making: parents' perceptions", *Advances in Consumer Research*, Vol. 6, pp. 413-418.

Jennings, G. (2006), Quality Tourism Experience, Elsevier Butterworth-Heinemann, Oxford.

John, D.R. (1999), "Consumer socialization of children: a retrospective look at twenty-five years of research", *Journal of Consumer Research*, Vol. 26 No. 3, pp. 183-213.

Khoo-Lattimore, C. (2015), "Kids on board. methodological challenges, concerns and clarifications when including young children's voices in tourism research", *Current Issues in Tourism*, Vol. 18 No. 9, pp. 845-858.

Kim, S. and Lehto, X.Y. (2013), "Travel by families with children possessing disabilities: motives and activities", *Tourism Management*, Vol. 37, pp. 13-24.

Kim, S.S., Choi, S., Agrusa, J., Wang, K. and Kim, Y. (2010), "The role of family decision makers in festival tourism", *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, Vol. 29 No. 2, pp. 308-318.

Koc, E. (2004), "The role of family members in the family holiday purchase decision-making process", *International Journal of Hospitality & Tourism Administration*, Vol. 5 No. 2, pp. 85-102.

Kozak, M. and Duman, T. (2012), "Family members and vacation satisfaction. proposal of a conceptual framework", *International Journal of Tourism Research*, Vol. 14 No. 2, pp. 192-204.

Lalicic, L. (2014), "Parents-to-be and future holiday planning: what is all about?", *International Journal of Culture, Tourism and Hospitality Research*, Vol. 8 No. 1, pp. 48-57.

Larsen, J.R.K. (2013), "Family flow: the pleasures of 'being together' in a holiday home", *Scandinavian Journal of Hospitality and Tourism*, Vol. 13 No. 3, pp. 153-174.

Lehto, X.Y., Choi, S., Lin, Y. and MacDermid, S.M. (2009), "Vacation and family functioning", *Annals of Tourism Research*, Vol. 36 No. 3, pp. 459-479.

Li, M., Wang, D., Xu, W. and Mao, Z. (2017), "Motivation for family vacations with young children: anecdotes from the internet", *Journal of Travel & Tourism Marketing*, Vol. 34 No. 8, pp. 1047-1057.

Lo, A.S. and Lee, C.Y.S. (2011), "Motivations and perceived value of volunteer tourists from Hong Kong", *Tourism Management*, Vol. 32 No. 2, pp. 326-334.

Lucena, R., Jarvis, N. and Weeden, C. (2015), "A review of gay and lesbian parented families' travel motivations and destination choices: gaps in research and future directions", *Annals of Leisure Research*, Vol. 18 No. 2, pp. 272-289.

Madrigal, R., Havitz, M.E. and Howard, D.R. (1992), "Married couples' involvement with family vacations", *Leisure Sciences*, Vol. 14 No. 4, pp. 287-301.

Na, W., Son, Y. and Marshall, R. (1998), "An empirical study of the purchase role structure in Korean families", *Psychology & Marketing*, Vol. 15 No. 6, pp. 563-576.

Nancarrow, C., Tinson, J. and Brace, I. (2011), "Profiling key purchase influencers: those perceived as consumer savvy", *Journal of Consumer Behaviour*, Vol. 10 No. 2, pp. 102-110.

Nanda, D., Hu, C. and Bai, B. (2006), "Exploring family roles in purchasing decisions during vacation planning: review and discussions for future research", *Journal of Travel & Tourism Marketing*, Vol. 20 Nos 3/4, pp. 107-125.

VOL. 19 NO. 2 2018 YOUNG CONSUMERS

Nickerson, N.P. and Jurowski, C. (2001), "The influence of children on vacation travel patterns", Journal of Vacation Marketing, Vol. 7 No. 1, pp. 19-30.

Obrador, P. (2012), "The place of the family in tourism research: domesticity and thick sociality by the Pool", Annals of Tourism Research, Vol. 39 No. 1, pp. 401-420.

Poria, Y. and Timothy, D.J. (2014), "Where are the children in tourism research?", Annals of Tourism Research, Vol. 47, pp. 93-95.

Quan, S. and Wang, N. (2004), "Towards a structural model of the tourist experience: an illustration from food experiences in tourism", Tourism Management, Vol. 25 No. 3, pp. 297-305.

Quinn, B. and Stacey, J. (2010), "The benefits of holidaying for children experiencing social exclusion: recent Irish evidence", Leisure Studies, Vol. 29 No. 1, pp. 29-52.

Schänzel, H.A. and Smith, K.A. (2014), "The socialization of families away from home: group dynamics and family functioning on holiday", Leisure Sciences, Vol. 36 No. 2, pp. 126-143.

Schänzel, H. and Jenkins, J. (2017), "Non-resident fathers' holidays alone with their children: experiences, meanings and fatherhood", World Leisure Journal, Vol. 59 No. 2, pp. 156-173.

Schänzel, H., Yeoman, I. and Backer, E. (Eds) (2012), Family Tourism. Multidisciplinary Perspectives, Channel View Publications, Bristol.

Sedgley, D., Pritchard, A. and Morgan, N. (2012), "Tourism poverty' in affluent societies: voices from inner-city London", Tourism Management, Vol. 33 No. 4, pp. 951-960.

Swinyard, W.R. and Sim, C.P. (1987), "Perception of children's influence on family decision processes", Journal of Consumer Marketing, Vol. 4 No. 1, pp. 25-38.

Tangeland, T. and Aas, Ø. (2011), "Household composition and the importance of experience attributes of nature based tourism activity products – a Norwegian case study of outdoor recreationists", Tourism Management, Vol. 32 No. 4, pp. 822-832.

Therkelsen, A. (2010), "Deciding on family holidays - role distribution and strategies in use", Journal of Travel & Tourism Marketing, Vol. 27 No. 8, pp. 765-779.

Therkelsen, A. and Lottrup, M. (2014), "Being together at the zoo: zoo experiences among families with children", Leisure Studies, Vol. 34 No. 3, pp. 354-371.

Thornton, P.R., Shaw, G. and Williams, A.M. (1997), "Tourist group holiday decision-making and behaviour: the influence of children", Tourism Management, Vol. 18 No. 5, pp. 287-297.

Tinson, J. and Nancarrow, C. (2005), "The influence of children on purchases: the development of measures for gender role orientation and shopping savvy", International Journal of Market Research, Vol. 47 No. 1, pp. 5-27.

Tussyadiah, I. and Fesenmaier, D. (2009), "Mediating tourist experiences: access to places via shared videos", Annals of Tourism Research, Vol. 36 No. 1, pp. 24-40.

Wang, K., Hsieh, A., Yeh, Y. and Tsai, C. (2004), "Who is the decision-maker: the parents or the child in group package tours?", Tourism Management, Vol. 25 No. 2, pp. 183-194.

Wang, N. (1999), "Rethinking authenticity in tourism experience", Annals of Tourism Research, Vol. 26 No. 2, pp. 349-370.

Zabriskie, R.B. and McCormick, B.P. (2001), "The influences of family leisure patterns on perceptions of family functioning", Family Relations, Vol. 50 No. 3, pp. 281-289.